ANNUAL REPORT OF THE MASSACHUSETTS TRIAL COURT

1986



Fitchburg District Court

Plymouth Superior Court Plymouth

Middlesex Superior Court Cambridge

The Eighth Annual Report of the MASSACHUSETTS TRIAL COURT 1986

Dudley District Court

Springfield Juvenile Court

Fall River District Court

Annual Report

# of the

Massachusetts Trial Court

1986

Arthur M. Mason Chief Administrative Justice

TRIAL COURT OF THE COMMONWEALTH
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02108

ARTHUR M. MASON
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE

March 4, 1987

Honorable Edward F. Hennessey
Chief Justice
Supreme Judicial Court
1300 New Court House
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

Dear Chief Justice Hennessey:

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 211B, §9, I submit to you and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court the Eighth Annual Report of the Massachusetts Trial Court.

This volume represents a collective and collegial effort of the Court officials and staff of the seven Trial Court Departments, the Office of the Commissioner of Probation, the Office of Jury Commissioner, and the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice. This year's Report features more than 260 pages, 80 charts and graphs, and 65 photographs.

The Annual Report for 1986 summarizes the administrative function of the State judicial system. Please note that the statistics for the Trial Court were prepared for Fiscal Year 1986 (July 1, 1985 to June 30, 1986), and the statistics for the Office of the Commissioner of Probation and the Office of Jury Commissioner were compiled for Calendar Year 1986.

The submission of the Annual Report affords me the opportunity to thank and acknowledge the valuable assistance of Henry L. Barr, Esquire, Administrator of Courts, and to express my appreciation to the Administrative Justices, Associate Justices, the staff of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice, and the 5,500 employees of the Trial Court for their continued commitment to quality judicial performance.

To you, Chief Justice, and to the Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court, I extend my personal appreciation for your continuing interest and support in our efforts to improve the orderly administration of justice within the Trial Court.

Sincerely,

Arthur M. Mason

Arthur M. Mason
Chief Administrative Justice

AMM:SEH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Office of the Chief Administrative Justice. 1

The Trial Court 13

Statistical Appendix 31

The Boston Municipal Court Department. 35
The District Court Department. 55
The Housing Court Department 89
The Juvenile Court Department. 95
The Land Court Department. 105
The Probate and Family Court Department. 111
The Superior Court Department. 133

Office of the Commissioner of Probation. 159

Statistical Appendix. 172

Office of the Jury Commissioner 261

Massachusetts and the Bicentennial 286

Glossary 289

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE



Chief Administrative Justice

Trial Court Administrator

EMPLOYEE RELATIONS/ PERSONNEL

- Personnel services
- Affirmative Action
- Collective Bargaining
- Court Officer Services

FINANCIAL

- Budgets
- Payroll
- Purchasing
- Auditing
- Probation Receipt Accounting

LEGAL

- Real Estate
- Legislation
- Legal Research
- Contracts
- Labor Law
- Litigation

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

- Planning
- Statistical Analysis
- Law Libraries
- Education & Training
- Public Information
- Case Management
- Microcomputer Systems
- Special Projects

SYSTEMS/ DATA PROCESSING

- Data Processing
- Information Systems

Office of the Chief Administrative Justice

The Office of the Chief Administrative Justice (OCAJ) serves as the administrative center for the Massachusetts Trial Court. Chief Administrative Justice Arthur M. Mason and his staff supervises the daily operation of the state court system, containing 5,500 employees in 110 courthouses throughout the 14 counties of the Commonwealth. Located in the Suffolk County Courthouse, OCAJ is divided into six departments: Employee Relations, Personnel, Fiscal Affairs, Legal, Planning and Development, and Systems/Data Processing.

The Eighth Annual Report of the Massachusetts Trial Court is printed in compliance with G.L.M. c. 211B, sec.9. This report summarizes the administrative functions of the seven Trial Court Departments, the Office of the Commissioner of Probation, the Office of the Jury Commissioner, and OCAJ. This volume presents administrative narratives for these agencies for Calendar Year 1986 and their statistical reports for Fiscal Year 1986.

Administrator of Courts Henry L. Barr

1986 in Review. The Affirmative Action Office conducted research on the number of handicapped and Vietnam veteran employees and will encourage the hiring of these two groups in the future. In December 1986, minorities comprised almost 11 percent of the roster of personnel, an increase from 1985, when minorities filled 10 percent of the work force.

Employee Relations negotiated a new three-year contract (July 1, 1986, to June 30, 1989), for O.P.E.I.U., Local 6. This office implemented a dental plan for S.E.I.U., Local 254, members, and the dental plan was offered to non-union employees.

Fiscal Affairs personnel learned a new statewide accounting system, MMARS (Massachusetts Management Accounting and Reporting System), established by the Comptroller of the Commonwealth. Staffers from the Auditing and Accounting and Purchasing sections received training in MMARS and then trained Trial Court clerical personnel in all Trial Court divisions in the new MMARS system.

The Legal Department drafted and negotiated the leases for 110 buildings used by the courts. This department supervised the renovations of the Suffolk County Courthouse, the former Third District Court in East Cambridge, and the New Bedford Probate Courthouse.

In the Planning and Development Department, the Trial Court Records Storage Facility in Worcester reached 65 percent of its capacity, storing 10,500 cartons of records from 29 different courts. A coordinator for court interpreter services was hired to oversee interpreting services in the Trial Court. The Law

Libraries' Cataloging Committee prepared 18 sites for Lexis and Westlaw terminals with a target date of September 1987. The Education and Training unit trained 420 clerical and support staff at 15 regional workshops in time management, communication skills, and on-the-job training. The public information officer edited the Seventh Annual Report of the Massachusetts Trial Court, 12 issues of the monthly court newsletter, the Bay State Briefs, and was designated by the Chief Administrative Justice to assist with the coordination of the State Bicentennial Writing Contest.

The Systems/Data Processing Department supervised a statewide data communications network of 247 CRT terminals and 80 printers throughout the Commonwealth.

Employee Relations/ Personnel Department

Collective Bargaining. On August 20, 1986, a collective bargaining agreement was executed with the Office and Professional Employees International Union (O.P.E.I.U.), Local 6, Staff and Clerical Unit. This agreement covers the three-year period from July 1, 1986 through June 30, 1989 and affects approximately 2,900 employees.

The Office of Employee Relations presently is engaged in negotiations with the O.P.E.I.U., Local 6, for a new collective bargaining Agreement covering employees in the Professional Unit.

Position Reclassifications. The Office of the Chief Administrative Justice has reclassified the position level of 22 positions within the Personnel Classification and Compensation Plan for The Trial Court. The new position levels are scheduled to be implemented during Fiscal Years 1987 and 1988. About 2,039 employees will receive a salary increase as a result of the change in position levels. Under Section 4.600 of the Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual, this office received 112 requests for review of position classifications. Of this total, 44 were approved, 30 were disapproved and 38 are under review.

This office processed approximately 1,400 new hires and promotions, 174 employee requests for leave of absence without pay, approximately 2,100 step increases, and 108 requests for sick leave buy back and vacation pay in lieu of time off. The sick leave buy back program applies to employees retiring under G.L.M. c. 32 or employees who die before retirement.

During the year, this office received and processed 681 applications for tuition remission from employees seeking to take courses at state colleges and universities (except the University of Massachusetts Medical Center). Under this program employees matriculating for a degree may receive remission of full tuition and employees enrolled in continuing education courses may receive remission of 50 percent of tuition cost. During the year, this office arranged with the University of Massachusetts to hold several courses at the Suffolk County Courthouse, that qualify under the tuition remission program.

Fair Labor Standards Act. The United States Supreme Court on February 19, 1985 ruled in Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority (53 U.S. L.W. 4135) that Congress is empowered to impose the minimum wage, overtime, and reporting requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 as amended (Act) on state and local government employers. Subsequently, Congress amended the Act by providing for further changes concerning overtime/compensatory time off for certain public agency employees, effective April 15, 1986. The provisions of the Act for covered employees of The Trial Court is set forth in Section 9.000 of the Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual. Amendments, pursuant to the provisions of the Act, were negotiated with each union having a collective bargaining agreement with The Trial Court. The significant operational changes required by the Act are (1) covered employees will receive compensatory time off at the rate of time and one-half for hours actually worked after April 15, 1986 in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, and (2) department heads are required to make and retain records containing specified information concerning personnel data, rate of pay, earnings and work schedules on each of their employees.

Dental Plans. Effective November 1, 1986, a dental plan was implemented for all employees covered by the collective bargaining agreement entered into with the Service Employees International Union, Local 254. The plan is overseen by a board of trustees composed of two members appointed by the Chief Administrative Justice and two members appointed by the Service Employees International Union, Local 254, and is underwritten by Blue Cross, Blue Shield of Massachusetts. Some of the unique features of the plan include no deductibles, no contributions on the part of the employee, a $1,500 maximum annual dental benefit level and unlimited lifetime dental benefit levels, and a vision plan which provides for routine eye care and includes a fee schedule for eye exams, lenses and frames.

Effective October 1, 1986, dental benefits were extended to all employees of the judiciary who are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement. This group of people was integrated into the dental plan developed with the Office & Professional Employees International Union, Local 6, underwritten by Delta Dental Plan.

Effective in November, 1986, the Legislature enacted legislation amending Worker's Compensation as contained in G.L.M. c. 152. This office participated in seminars arranged with the Public Employees Retirement Administration, aimed at providing the courts with information dealing with the statutory changes. During the year we processed 301 industrial accident claims filed by employees; 209 of which were not lost time accidents.

This office coordinated several seminars with the State Group Insurance Commission to inform Court personnel regarding changes in coverage pursuant to the provisions of G.L.M. c. 32A.

Affirmative Action/E.E.O. This summary of the Affirmative Action Office covers the period from January 1, 1986 through December 31, 1986.

The Trial Court minority employment hiring shows an increase in the hiring of minorities in the December quarterly report of 544 minorities or 10.78% compared to the November 22, 1985 report of 505 minorities or 10.02%.

This office has concentrated on determining how many employees of the Trial Court are handicapped or are Vietnam Veterans. We have established an initiative to encourage and increase the employment of handicapped and Vietnam Veterans within the Trial Court.

We continued our effort of giving special attention and technical assistance to those courts that lack minorities and women.

The Affirmative Action Resource List is in the process of being revised and will be distributed in the early part of 1987 to all courts.

The EEO/Affirmative Action Officer attended a number of important conferences throughout the year, and received a briefing on a recent Supreme Court decision that had an effect on affirmative action.

The consensus is that affirmative action is alive and well, and more meaningful today than it ever was.

It was apparent this past year that the courts are making a concerted effort to recruit and hire qualified minorities and women, pursuant to the goals and objectives as set forth in the Trial Courts' Affirmative Action Plan.

L-R, Judge William Tierney, BMC, John Ritchie, Mgr. Personnel/Employee Relations Dept., OCAJ, Michael Edgerton, Mgr., Legal Dept., OCAJ, and Chief Administrative Justice Arthur M. Mason

Fiscal Affairs Department

In Fiscal Year 1986 (July 1, 1985-June 30, 1986) budget requests, appropriations, and central accounts were set up for justices' salaries, clerical assistance, new positions, warranty services, travel, printing, law libraries, jury and witness expenses, equipment purchases, facility rentals and renovations of two newly-acquired facilities, indigent expenses of counsel to juveniles and of court costs for indigent cases.

Preparation of Fiscal Year 1987 budget requests included the request for centralization of Trial Court non-employee services (court-ordered services of masters, court reporters, interpreters, investigators, psychiatrists, etc., and contracted services of consultants and social service agencies). Centralized funding also was requested for health and welfare costs associated with collective bargaining agreements.

Fiscal Year 1987 appropriations included funding for these two new central accounts and continued to fund all existing central accounts except for the indigent accounts which were transferred to the Committee on Public Counsel, consolidating payment of all indigent expenses under one operation.

Through centralization, expenditure control has been improved and

L-R, John McGlone and Tom McGee, Fiscal Affairs Dept.

Paul Magee, Fiscal Affairs Dept.

purchasing power increased by competitive bidding procedures and bulk purchases. Centralization of non-employee services will help to eliminate deficiencies experienced when funding in many individual court accounts was inadequate to cover these costs largely mandated by statute and not controllable. At the same time, surpluses of such funding existed in other court accounts.

In 1986, major central account purchasing efforts included initial restoration, preservation/binding of old books and records, continuation of the program of standardizing forms, continuation of typewriter replacements and of provision of filing systems and library shelving. The funding of equipment enabled the automated cataloging of all law library materials and provided access to a nationwide network of library catalogs. The critical need for replacement of old telephone systems was addressed, and a program of installation of new systems was implemented.

In 1986, the Comptroller of the Commonwealth instituted a new accounting system for all Commonwealth agencies the Massachusetts Management Accounting and Reporting System (MMARS). This effort represented the first major change in the Commonwealth's accounting system in more than ten years. The advent of the MMARS system also meant that for the second time in seven years the Trial Court financial personnel had to learn a new accounting system.

Updating and refining of all budget and operation procedures and policies to reflect changing fiscal systems was initiated and will continue into 1987.

L-R, William Marchant, Paul Flanagan, and Jean Frassica, Fiscal Affairs Dept.

Legal Department

The Legal Department of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice is responsible for the oversight of legal matters within the Trial Court and confers regularly with persons within the executive and legislative branches of state government concerning legal and administrative matters.

Legislation. The Legal Department is involved in the preparation, review, and filing of legislation on behalf of the judicial branch as well as the daily monitoring of the legislative process. Reports and research material on legislation are also provided to the Massachusetts Judicial Conference at its regularly scheduled meetings. The department responds to inquiries from legislative committees, the Governor's Legislative Office, and interested citizens groups on proposed legislation.

Contracts. The Legal Department is responsible for the review and approval of all contracts entered into by the Trial Court including contracts to purchase or lease equipment, furnishings, or services. Any necessary amendments to contracts are negotiated and drafted by the department. The Legal Department reviewed, negotiated and, where appropriate, drafted amendments to more than 500 contracts during calendar year 1986.

Labor. The Legal Department is responsible for the conduct of litigation of labor issues before State, and, on occasion, Federal Courts, the State Labor Relations Commission, the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination, the Civil Service Commission, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Department of Employment Security and for research, preparation of briefs and development of information necessary for litigation, negotiations, grievances, and other related matters. Grievance arbitration is conducted when necessary before arbitrators, and advice is provided on labor issues, negotiations, labor contracts, and personnel and employment law to the Chief Administrative Justice, the Trial Court Administrator, department heads, and the director of Employee Relations.

Real Property. The Legal Department is responsible for the drafting and negotiation of leases for 87 county-owned buildings, 15 city and town-owned buildings, and 8 privately-owned buildings. It is anticipated that the total rental monies that will be paid under these lease agreements for Fiscal Year 1987 will be approximately $34,000,000. The department participates in rental hearings under G.L. Chapter 29A and appeals to the Supreme Judicial Court.

The department oversees new construction and renovation of courthouse facilities and is presently participating in projects involving (1) renovations to the Suffolk County Courthouse, the old Third District Courthouse in East Cambridge, and the New Bedford Probate Courthouse; and (2) new construction of courthouses for the Amesbury, Newburyport, and Palmer Divisions. A capital outlay budget for the Judicial Branch is developed annually by the department after consultation with the Judicial Facilities Committee. The capital outlay recommendations for Fiscal Year 1988 totaled $5,000,000.

Litigation. Justices, clerk-magistrates, registers of probate, chief probation officers, and other personnel in the Trial Court are on occasion made parties to litigation before a court or administrative agency. Many of these cases have broad implications for the entire court system and often require representation of multiple party defendants on identical issues. The Legal Department determines whether the matter should be handled in-house or referred to the Department of the Attorney General for representation. Forty-nine actions, exclusive of labor cases, required Legal Department involvement within the Trial Court in Calendar Year 1986.

General. The Legal Department, in its principal function to provide research assistance to the Chief Administrative Justice and the Trial Court Administrator, prepares memoranda in response to inquiries from the Legislative and Executive Branches of government and responds to questions of a legal nature from within the judicial system and the general public on a variety of subjects. The department drafts and submits to the Chief Administrative Justice proposed administrative directives, orders, correspondence, memoranda, and informational bulletins. It also assists the Chief Administrative Justice with his responsibility to review all proposed rules, rules amendments, and standing orders of the various departments of the Trial Court and provides support to Trial Court committees working in these areas. The Legal Department also provides support assistance in personnel matters, in the development of standard personnel policies and procedures and has participated in the continuing effort to develop and standardize forms and procedures throughout the departments of the Trial Court. It also coordinated 343 interdepartmental assignments of Justices pursuant to G.L. Chapter 211B, Section 9 for the Chief Administrative Justice during calendar year 1986.

Planning and Development Department

Trial Court Records Storage Facility. The Trial Court Record Storage Facility, located on the grounds of the Worcester State Hospital, provides a permanent storage facility for court records that by statute, court rule, or retention schedule requirements must be kept permanently. Completing its third full year of operation in 1986, the facility has reached 65 percent of its capacity, storing more than 10,500 cartons of records from 29 different court divisions throughout the Commonwealth.

At the facility are 1,530 cartons of pre-1860 records which are scheduled to be moved to the new Judicial Archives at the State Archives Building at Columbia Point.

Under the supervision of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice, the record center is open and staffed two days per week. Joseph Baniukiewicz, Record Center Supervisor, works at the facility on Wednesdays and Thursdays, which allows for the predictable scheduling of box delivery to the center, for record retrieval by court personnel requiring them, or for access by researchers studying archival records with the permission of the records' custodians.

Once a court has undertaken a records' management program, the center provides the space for storage of permanently retained records, thus alleviating crowded storage conditions at the courthouse.

Judicial Response System. The Judicial Response System completed its second year of operation in July 1986. This statewide system is designed to allow judicial hearings to be conducted anywhere within the state 24 hours per day; the program goes into operation when the courts are closed or are not normally open for business. A total of 223 Trial Court justices voluntarily participated in the second year of the program's operation.

The system began operation on July 13, 1984. During the second year, 698 calls were received and responded to by the participating justices; calls increased 115 percent over the first year, when 324 calls were responded to. The system works through the cooperation of the Massachusetts State Police and its communication network.

During the second year, 30 percent of the participating judges received no calls, 19 percent received only one call, and 10 percent received six or more calls during their rotation. Sixty-two percent of the calls were received during the weekends; 73 percent were received in the p.m. hours.

On-call judges received 78 percent of the calls, while their backups handled 20 percent. Ninety-three percent of the judges called were reached by telephone; the remainder were reached by pager.

In terms of the number of calls received, Region C (Worcester County) was the busiest region during the second year.

Over the two-year period, Chapter 209A (Spousal Abuse) requests comprised 62 percent of all the requests, emergency medical cases 10 percent, and mental health cases 11 percent.

Ninety-five percent of the cases required some form of judicial response or consultation; in 69 percent of the cases, orders were issued.

Coordinator of Court Interpreter Services Maribel Pintado-Espiet

Court Interpreter Services. In December 1986, the Trial Court hired a Coordinator of Court Interpreter Services, Maribel Pintado-Espiet, who will oversee interpreting services for the entire Trial Court. This includes providing services for both the non-English speaking and hearing impaired persons coming before the court. A statewide network of interpreters will be developed, as will criteria for the hiring of language interpreters and the training and monitoring of interpreter performance. Initial efforts will be focused on the interpreter needs in the courts of Essex County, where a large Hispanic and Southeast Asian population resides.

Education and Training. During 1986 the major effort of the education unit of Planning and Development was the design and implementation of a core curriculum of training programs for the 3,000 clerical and support staff of the Trial Court. During the fall, 420 employees participated in the three workshops which were offered at 15 locations across the state. The programs were designed to reflect the working environment of the Trial Court and yet accommodate the needs of all Trial Court departments.

More than 300 clerical staffers across the state attended workshops for on-the-job training, time management, and communication skills.

The three initial designs included workshops in communication skills, on-the-job training, and telephone and time management techniques.

Besides clerical programs, the design of a basic orientation program for court officers was started. Identification of training needs through job task analysis methods was completed, along with the preliminary steps for curriculum design. Implementation is expected in 1987.

The education unit continues to manage the central account for education and training which funds the programs conducted by the seven Trial Court Departments and the Office of the Commissioner of Probation.

Law Libraries. The 18 Trial Court Law Libraries serve the legal reference and research needs of judges, law clerks, attorneys, and other court personnel. The law libraries also serve the public (G.L.M. 78. 3) including municipal officials, students, and the general public. During 1986, 79 percent of the patrons were part of the legal community, and 21 percent of the users were public patrons. The Trial Court Law Libraries are located in Barnstable, Brockton, Cambridge, Dedham, Edgartown, Fall River, Fitchburg, Greenfield, Lawrence, Lowell, New Bedford, Northampton, Pittsfield, Plymouth, Salem, Springfield, Taunton, and Worcester.

The daily responsibility of the librarian and library staff is to help patrons locate materials to answer their legal questions. To accomplish this objective, librarians provide instruction on how to use resources, update the collection with new materials, and locate needed items in other libraries. Although the library staff prefers to work with people in person, telephone calls to determine if the library can be helpful are welcomed.

During 1986, steps were undertaken to install OCLC M300XT terminals in the libraries. During 1987, the data will be entered to create a union list of Trial Court Law Libraries collections. Libraries will also have access to other law library holdings. By September 1987, Lexis and Westlaw will be available to trained Trial Court personnel in the library.

Three committees were active this year. The Cataloging Committee diligently worked to prepare the 18 sites for the new terminals. The Collection Development Committee began the process of updating the collection component of the Guidelines for the Delivery of Library Services to the Trial Court. The

Periodicals Committee developed a policy for collecting periodicals so that patrons needing copies of articles can access them without having to go to several locations.

Departmental Librarian Lois Kane continued to make site visits to the 110 court locations to inventory library and lobby collections, to advise and train regarding shelf arrangements and weeding of outdated and replaced materials, and to assist in the adequate planning for fiscal maintenance of the court legal materials. Bulk purchasing of several major resources were implemented for the District, Juvenile, and Probate Courts allowing substantial savings in per volume costs. Transfer of materials from court to court through the discard/weeding lists also allowed cost savings in some courts. A trial pilot program of lending collections was implemented for 10 District Court justices who consistently sit in several court locations away from their home court.

Revision of the 1987 Guidelines for the Delivery of Library Services to the Trial Court was begun with an eye toward lobby/court collections with newer and more workable legal materials.

Computer-Assisted Legal Research (CALR) is available to judges, law clerks, and other appropriate Trial Court personnel who have been trained. During 1986, the emphasis has been on accessing CALR through court-owned equipment. Now judges with compatible home computers and modems can access CALR.

Public Information Officer Mike Ryan

Public Information. During 1986, the public information officer edited two kinds of newsletters and an annual report. The Bay State Briefs is the official monthly publication of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice. It reports court news to 5,500 employees in 110 courts throughout 14 counties. The Gavel is printed monthly for the employees of OCAJ. It reports in-house business and social news.

The public information officer writes all the stories, shoots pictures, and edits every issue for these two newsletters. Newsgathering was conducted by telephone or by visits to courthouses throughout the Commonwealth to interview court personnel.

Every year, OCAJ publishes The Annual Report of the Massachusetts Trial Court. Responsibility for the production of the report rests with the public information officer, who edits this volume. The 1985 report contained 263 pages of administrative reports and caseload statistics of all Trial Court departments.

He responds to inquiries from the media, researchers, and the public.

This officer serves as the liaison with the Registry of Motor Vehicles to procure and produce judicial identification cards for new members of the judiciary. Judicial cards were devised by Chief Administrative Justice Arthur M. Mason for the Judicial Response System in 1984. The I.D. cards are needed for judges when they intervene in court-related matters during and after court hours in out-of-court locations and for those judges who travel frequently to hear cases in different courts. OCAJ appreciates the generousity and cooperation of the Registry for its time and use of its photographic equipment.

In November 1986, this officer was designated by Chief Justice Mason to assist with the state coordination for the National Bicentennial Commission on the U.S. Constitution's Writing Contest. Sponsored by the U.S. Bicentennial Commission, the American Bar Association, and USA Today, the contest will bring the state winners to Washington, DC, to meet with the President, the Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court, and other national leaders. He will assist in the compilation of essay evaluators, the grading of contestants, selection of top essayists, and notification of the winners. This project culminates on September 17, 1987, the 200th anniversary of the signing of the U.S. Constitution.

Systems/Data Processing Department

Data Processing. The Data Processing Department acquires, develops, and supports computer-based systems for the Trial Court.

During 1986, the department continued to support a number of applications running on a pair of Burroughs mainframe computer systems. Users in the Trial Court access these applications through a statewide data communications network, which includes 247 CRT terminals and 80 printers installed in various court departments and divisions. In 1987, the department will upgrade its pair of computer systems to a more powerful dual-processor Burroughs mainframe intended to provide a highly available online environment supporting Trial Court business. The department supports these facilities 24 hours per day, five days per week with staff of only nine people.

The applications presently operated on these systems include: Probation Receipt Accounting (PRA), Jury Selection Management, Central Offender Record Information System (CORI), Superior Court Case Management, including support for civil case "tracking" and for assignment of cases to individual sessions.

The department works closely with various departments within the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice and within the Trial Court to support these systems. It depends on cooperative efforts with the Fiscal Department, the Office of the Jury Commissioner, and the Office of the Commissioner of Probation to deliver services to its user base in the Trial Court.

MASSACHUSETTS JUDICIAL SYSTEM


Supreme Judicial Court
Appeals Court
The Trial Court
District Court Department
Appellate Division
Boston Municipal Court Department
Appellate Division
Land Court Department
Superior Court Department
Appellate Division
Housing Court Department
Probate and Family Department
Juvenile Court Department
Commissioner of Probation

Massachusetts Trial Court

The Massachusetts Trial Court consists of seven court departments and the Office of the Commissioner of Probation. A total of 5,500 personnel work at 110 court locations throughout the 14 counties of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

All funding of the court system is borne by the Commonwealth. The Trial Court was formed as a result of the Court Reorganization Act of 1978. Before 1978, there were 417 separate budgets dependent upon municipal, county, and state funding. Now there is one unified court system with one budget.

Each Trial Court department is supervised by an Administrative Justice. They are Chief Justice Joseph F. Feeney, Boston Municipal Court Department; Chief Justice Samuel E. Zoll, District Court Department, Chief Justice E. George Daher, Housing Court Department; Chief Justice Francis G. Poitrast, Juvenile Court Department; Chief Justice Marilyn M. Sullivan, Land Court Department; Chief Justice Alfred L. Podolski, Probate and Family Court Department; and Chief Justice Thomas R. Morse, Jr., Superior Court Department.

Fourteen Justices were sworn in during 1986 for the Trial Court to fill existing vacancies caused by retirements. By statute, there are 281 Justices. The governor appoints Judges subject to the approval of the Executive Council.

New Judges in the District Court Department were Nancy Dusek-Gomez, Isaac Borenstein, Charles T. Spurlock, Paul Menton, Peter J. Rutherford, and Joseph A. Travaline. Theodore A. Bakas joined the Boston Municipal Court Department as a new Judge. Robert V. Cauchon wore the black robe in the Land Court Department. New Superior Court Department Justices were William H. Welch and Constance M. Sweeney. Others included Rebekah J. Crampton, Juvenile Court Department, William H. Abrashkin, Housing Court Department, and Mary McCauley Manzi and David Sacks, Probate and Family Court Department.

The Trial Court opened the former Third District Court in East Cambridge on April 1, 1986. The Boston Municipal Court used the facility to hear civil remand cases. Two retired recall Judges heard the cases. The Trial Court leases most of its courthouses, but in late 1986, it acquired the old Durfee High School in Fall River for court use. It also owns the old New Bedford District Court, currently used as Bristol Probate and Family Court.

Although operational in 1985, the Chicopee Division of the District Court Department was dedicated on September 19, 1986. The new facility was built by Hampden County.

1986 Department Highlights. BMC civil entries increased by 16 percent to 44,022, and its probation office collected $400,000 in restitution.

In the District Court, a bill was approved by the Legislature that eliminated trial de novo for two years in Essex and Hampden Counties on an experimental basis. The first statewide analysis of mediation, Community Mediation, A Decade of Development, 1975-1985, was published. In December 1986, the department launched its LRE (Law-Related Education) Partnership Program. Goal: to promote LRE in 30 communities through 10 district courts.

On December 15, 1985, Worcester Housing Court celebrated its first year of operation. Governor Michael S. Dukakis was the keynote speaker.

Probation Officers of the Boston Division of the Juvenile Court Department were assigned to public schools in Boston as a liaison/resource persons. The purpose is to identify youths with discipline, attendance, and family problems.

In mid-1986, Land Court Justices were assigned to handle all non-trial contested matters in one session, expediting the trial calendar.

The Probate and Family Court Department committed significant effort in the development and implementation of the new Child Support Enforcement Act, enacted by the Legislature in July 1986. The new law gives the Probate and Family and District Courts concurrent jurisdiction over paternity actions and civil support collections.

Modified calendar sessions were implemented for Superior Court Justices in Suffolk and Middlesex Counties. A Judge sits for four months and monitors the cases assigned to him. A pilot program for civil case tracking started in Plymouth County, where civil cases are subject to accelerated handling.

Boston Municipal Court Department

Chief Justice Joseph F. Feeney, BMC

Jurisdiction. See District Court jurisdiction.

Administration. Both the number of daily sessions and the number of cases heard in the Boston Municipal Court Department (BMC) increased significantly during Fiscal Year 1986. With 11 Justices sitting in the Suffolk County Courthouse and two full-time recall Justices hearing civil remand cases in Cambridge, the court experienced its busiest year since Court Reorganization.

The workload increased by the number of cases remanded from Superior Court, the new limit of $1,500 in small claims cases, the opening of a small claims jury session, and in the number of jury trial requests sent to the court in the First Instance (i.e., cases not disposed of at the primary court level).

To meet the needs of this increased workload, the Justices rescheduled and redistributed the hearing of cases within the workday and, when staffing permits, opened additional sessions in courtrooms normally assigned to other departments. The BMC operates eight to ten sessions in Boston and one to two sessions in Cambridge every day.

L-R, BMC Judges Barbara Dortch and George O'Toole

The Trial Court assigned eight to ten court officers and two probation officers to the BMC on a long-term basis. The court also reassigned personnel internally to meet the changing demands of its workload.

A clerical backlog in the probation reporting system has developed; with the cooperation of the Office of the Commissioner of Probation (OCP), the probation department has undertaken an automation project to clear up this backlog and prevent its recurrence through early entry into the OCP on-line probation system.

The court continued its joint mediation project with the Crime and Justice Foundation and explored community sentencing alternatives, bail guidelines, and other projects to reduce the burden on criminal justice facilities, and provide more appropriate disposition alternatives in criminal cases.

Caseload. BMC civil entries increased by 16 percent to 44,022 this fiscal year; to meet the added demand for hearing sessions, the court opened two additional sessions in Cambridge to hear remand cases and rescheduled work within the Suffolk County Courthouse.

An additional session was opened to hear small claims jury session cases originating throughout Suffolk County. According to the Civil Clerk's office, first-year business is expected to approach 300 cases.

Besides the new remand and small claims jurisdiction, the BMC Civil Clerk's Office now has responsibility for hearing spousal abuse, non-support, and paternity cases brought in the BMC. The Civil Clerk's office has assisted in developing training programs statewide to assist clerk-magistrate staffs in coping with their new responsibilities.

The number of criminal sessions held daily in the court increased from three to four in 1974 to seven to eight in 1986. During this period, the number of Judges and courtroom clerks required to staff these sessions has more than doubled. Criminal cases entered in the BMC between 1974 and 1986 more than doubled to 22,409, exclusive of parking and minor motor vehicle offenses.

The jury-of-six session more than doubled its caseload between the year it was created in Fiscal Year 1978 and Fiscal Year 1986. The clerk estimates as many as 8,000 cases will be entered in Fiscal Year 1987. First Instance jury requests exceeded de novo requests by a significant amount, indicating an increasing shift of criminal work from Primary Court Bench sessions to the BMC Jury Session. This new caseload created a difficult situation in the BMC. Despite the opening of additional filing facilities and the use of automated equipment to track cases and generate notices, a backlog has developed in the BMC Jury Session.

Although the relationship between probable cause hearing and trials is often unnoticed, the former represents a significant drain on court personnel and facilities, since probable cause hearings require the same resources as trials while generally taking longer to hear. This is a particularly important consideration in the BMC because its downtown jurisdiction brings a higher proportion of serious offenses to the BMC than is typical for most other courts of the Commonwealth.

Probation. The Probation Department interviewed more than 11,000 criminal defendants to determine indigency, roots in the community, and criminal history in Fiscal Year 1986. Seventy-two pretrial investigation were conducted. More than 3,100 offenders were placed under BMC Probation Supervision; 1,081 of these were classified into the Risk/Need system more than twice the number so classified in 1983. Nine hundred sixty-eight offenders were surrendered for violation of the terms of their probation.

Approximately $413,000 in non-support money was collected by one probation officer. The Probation Department is also responsible for collecting another $400,000 in restitution funds.

The court attempted to automate operations through acquisition of five microcomputers. These are used for jury session case tracking, Probation Department case tracking and backlog reduction, systems development work, lobby work processing, and management and word processing support in the administrative office. BMC staff has been developed to assist in creating and maintaining automated systems; all department heads agree on the need to automate the court, and there is strong support among the Justices for doing so.

The court has submitted a proposal to the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice for creating an integrated, court-wide automation system. If approved, the new system will facilitate caseflow management, resource planning, and the handling of cash and appropriated funds; it will also create new communications and security systems.

District Court Department

Jurisdiction. The District Court handles civil matters, small claims (maximum of $1,500), summary process, consumer rights concurrent with the Superior Court Department, death inquests, civil commitments to hospitals, and compensation claims for victims of violent crimes. The department also has concurrent jurisdiction with the Juvenile and Housing Courts.

In criminal matters, all misdemeanors and felonies with a maximum sentence of two and one-half years at a House of Correction or at MCI (Massachusetts Correctional Institute) Concord and Framingham are heard in the District Court.

The Boston Municipal Court, created in 1866, has the same jurisdiction as the District Court except its territorial jurisdiction entails downtown Boston. It does not hear juvenile matters.

Regional Coordinators. The regional coordinators are field personnel of the District Court Administrative Office and spend most of their time in the courts on

Clerk-Magistrate William DiGregorio, Dudley District

Rose Merritt, Cambridge District

Walter Reed and David Biggs, Dorchester District

various matters. Their main functions are to improve communications among the local courts and between the courts and the administrative office, improve administrative office policymaking through heightened awareness of local court problems and views, assist the courts in obtaining necessary resources, provide technical assistance, help to resolve local court problems, and assess the effectiveness of the centralized systems placed in the District Court.

During 1986, the regional coordinators were involved in many court management issues, including continuing education for court personnel, the use of credit cards for payment of fees and fines, courthouse design and repair, victim-witness programs in the courts, treatment programs in drunk driving cases, the use of computers in the local courts, forms design, and statistics. They also conduct a number of surveys pertaining to the allocation of resources among the district courts and performed many other local services.

Trial De Novo. In December 1984, Chief Justice Samuel E. Zoll of the District Court filed legislation to establish a one-trial system to replace the present de novo system in the District Court. The legislation was based on a comprehensive report by the District Court Committee on Juries of Six, which recommended the elimination of the de novo system.

After careful consideration, the Legislature passed, and the Governor signed into law a bill eliminating trial de novo on an experimental basis in two counties (Essex and Hampden) for two years. This will provide an opportunity to determine what the impact of this reform on a systemwide basis will be. This constitutes a major achievement for the enhancement of the role of the District Court in the Commonwealth's court system.

Decriminalization. In 1986, the administrative office's efforts to simplify the handling of minor motor vehicle cases was rewarded with the passage of legislation proposed by Chief Justice Zoll that makes most minor motor vehicle offenses non-criminal infractions, enforceable by fines and the loss of one's license if the violator ignores the court process. The legislation has the potential to increase revenues as a result of improved motor vehicle offense processing and will also provide major relief to overburdened clerks' offices. The new law took effect on July 1, 1986, and appears to be working well. Much work on forms and procedures was done to faciliate implementation.

Standards of Judicial Practice. A new volume in the District Court Standards of Judicial Practice series was issued in 198, covering family abuse proceedings under Chapter 209A. Family abuse cases, which permit the issuance of protective orders on an emergency basis, are a growing aspect of District Court business. The statute establishing these procedures is general, and the standards have been developed to bring needed uniformity and clarify court policy in certain areas. The standards, developed by the District Court Committee on Standards, cover such topics as filing the complaint, the ex parte hearing, temporary relief orders, permanent relief orders, violation of orders, relationship of abuse prevention action to other actions, etc.

Also in progress in 1986 was a review of the District Court Standards on Care and Protection Proceedings. A number of important changes in the law have occurred since the standards were promulgated in 1982. To update them and make them more comprehensive, the District Court Committee on Care and Protection and CHINS (Children in Need of Services) Proceedings studied the standards with an eye toward reissuing them in revised form in the near future.

The District Court Committee on Standards also began working on standards for inquest proceedings.

Mediation. During 1986, the District Court Mediation Project focused on four primary goals: continuing research into the appropriate use of mediation in the District Court, public education and networking activities, technical assistance, and exploration of methods of supporting mediation services financially.

Community Mediation in Massachusetts, A Decade of Development: 1975-1985, a 200-page analysis of the history and current status of community mediation, was published in January 1986. A second printing was required to meet demand. The report proved an invaluable agenda-setting device for the future and provided the central theme for a day-long District Court conference for mediation program administrators.

Throughout the summer and fall, Mediation Project Director Albie Davis conducted a series of intensive interviews with court personnel in order to obtain impressions, expectations, and concerns about mediation. Since many referrals to mediation come from clerk-magistrates, attention was given to an understanding of the show-cause process. Besides improving systems for identifying and referring cases to mediation, the director assisted the clerk-magistrates' education committee in designing a training module for show cause hearings.

Judge Milton R. Silva, Fall River District

Asst. Clerk-Magistrate Maureen Callan, Brighton District

Community mediation is a new concept for many court personnel and the public. The Mediation Project conducted a variety of public education activities to heighten awareness, working with the Massachusetts Association of Mediation Programs (MAMP) on its annual conference, publishing the remarks of the keynote speaker from the MAMP conference, publishing The Mediator's Caucus, a monthly newsletter for program administrators, and collaborating with family mediation programs to conduct workshops on CHINS mediation for the Office of the Commissioner of Probation. Several articles about community mediation and mediation in elementary and secondary schools were published in national journals, including Judicature.

Technical assistance took several forms. Ongoing support was given to mediation programs and court personnel seeking advice on specific issues. New programs were initiated in several courts, and some existing programs were encouraged to extend their services to additional courts. Advanced workshops for mediators were offered, and the training of mediators and trainers was provided.

To build a stable funding base for community mediation, the Mediation Project worked with the public and private sectors. Several private foundations were asked to support a Community Mediation Fund and a Community Mediation Institute, and legislation was proposed to encourage state funding of mediation services.

Continuing Education. Two major continuing education events for District Court personnel took place in 1986. One was a three-day conference for all District Court Judges. Held on the campus of Williams College in Williamstown, the conference featured an extensive educational program, including lectures by two Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court on recent developments in the civil and criminal law of the Commonwealth, a presentation about judicial stress by the District Court Committee on Stress Management, small group sessions on matters pertaining to judicial stress, and workshops on the law of search and seizure. Other topics included selected problems in District Court civil procedure, issues in operating under the influence cases, problems in civil family abuse cases, and law and practice in care and protection cases, an evening presentation by David J. Sargent, Dean of Suffolk University School of Law, and a participating demonstration of practical trial evidence problems for Judges, featuring Justice John J. McNaught of the United States District Court and a panel of distinguished counsel.

A three-day conference was also held for all District Court clerk-magistrates. Among the topics covered in lecture and question-and-answer format were the new statute governing procedures in minor motor vehicle cases, the role of the clerk-magistrate in family abuse cases, and bail law and practice. Workshops were presented on the law of search and seizure, selected problems in civil procedure, issues in show cause hearings, and records management and the public records law. Attorney General Francis X. Bellotti spoke on "Professionalism in the Criminal Justice System."

There also was a series of regional conferences for the Judges and another series for the clerk-magistrates. These late afternoon-early evening meetings presented an opportunity for the attendees to address problems in an informal way. The main topic at the Judges' meetings was drunk driving cases, and the main topic at the clerk-magistrates' meetings was the new law on minor motor vehicle proceedings and motor vehicle abstract submission procedures.

During 1986, the District Court assumed jurisdiction for appeals in small claims cases, which may be heard by juries in the District Court. As a result, the Committee on Juries of Six has begun preparing a group of model jury instructions for small claims cases to parallel the jury instructions that have been issued in District Court criminal jury cases and that have been in active use throughout the District Court for many years.

Asst. Clerk-Magistrate Brent Ferriter, Clerk-Magistrate Carlo Tagliavini, Westfield District

Judge James J. Landers, Chicopee District

Support Enforcement. The establishment of paternity and the setting and enforcement of family support orders are among the most important services provided by the District Court. Despite the difficulty and complexity of these matters, particularly in the URESA context, collections have continued to climb. Receipts over the first eight months of 1986 represented an increase of approximately 8 percent over the same period in 1985, and nearly 20 percent as compared to the first eight months of 1984. Total District Court collections are expected to exceed a record $34.5 million.

The year 1986 marked a turning point in the history of District Court support activities. With the advent of legislation in July 1986, most in-state support orders and paternity adjudications will now be established and enforced via a civil action rather than through the criminal process. New procedures which encourage the entry of orders, whenever possible, within 45 days from the filing date of the complaint represent a major step forward and reflect the District Court's commitment to strengthening and improving its support enforcement program. Some important features of the new law are the court's ability to set an order notwithstanding the defendant's failure to appear, presumptive wage assignments which may be administratively transferred to new employers without further judicial action, and a process for the voluntary registration and court approval of paternity acknowledgements and support agreements which are enforceable as orders. While the full effect of the new legislation upon the volume and composition of the District Court's caseload remains uncertain, it is hoped that the swifter and more efficient delivery of support payments will benefit those who need it.

Law-Related Education. In May 1986, the District Court LRE Program was one of eight in the country invited to participate in the National Training and Dissemination Program in LRE. This District Court LRE Partnership Program will



Chief Justice Edward F. Hennessey of the Supreme Judicial Court

LRE Coordinator Robert Clayman

involve 10 district courts and 30 communities. Court-school teams will participate in LRE leadership training, organize in-service training for court and school personnel, and participate in a conference for students and a conference for the community. The purpose of the partnership program is to institutionalize LRE and to create support for LRE through community partnerships.

To institutionalize LRE, the District Court organized successful teacher-training workshops in Worcester and Salem. These projects were cooperative efforts with local school systems, local newspapers, other state agencies, and natural resources. Working in cooperation with the Office of the Commissioner of Probation, 60 probation officers received training at the "ABC's of LRE" Conference in the basics of LRE and how to set up a court LRE program. Probation officers from other Trial Court departments joined District Court LRE coordinators as they looked toward LRE as a step in the process of Probation Accreditation. The conference was held on September 30, 1986.

On October 28, 1986, the largest LRE conference in the state's history was sponsored and organized by the Massachusetts Association for Law-Related Education and the District Court and the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. The conference theme, "The Constitution in the Classroom for Another 200 Years," attracted more than 300 court personnel, teachers, lawyers, and civic leaders.



Pat Crowley, Milford District

Judge Darrell H. Outlaw, Dorchester District

For the fifth year, the District Court and the Supreme Judicial Court provided 24 student Justices with an unforgettable experience through the year-long Student Government Day Program. Judges from the District Courts introduced more than 500 students to the functions of the judiciary and gave them some insight into a Judge's decision-making powers. Supreme Judicial Court Justices conducted discussions about issues that affect young people, such as roadblocks and students' rights. The Boston Bar Association provided assistance at these workshops.

The Bicentennial of the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights offers a unique opportunity to use our LRE experience, curricula and community resources to enhance citizen education in the law. The District Court is part of a National Bicentennial Curriculum Field Testing Program. Through this project, more than 600 prototype curricula were distributed to 17 high schools, and teachers received training from state and national leaders in LRE.

As part of the LRE Partnership Program, the Constitution and the Bill of Rights will serve as the centerpiece of many events. More than 300 students will participate in a conference designed for them and entitled "Your Rights, Responsibilities, and Obligations Under the Constitution." To complement the Partnership Program, the District Court will be involved in the National Bicentennial Writing Competition, mock trial programming, and other educational programs.

With the continuing support of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court and the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice, the District Court LRE program continues to provide a critical and pivotal link between the education and legal professions. Through LRE programs the courts are open to public scrutiny and learning. This cooperative relationship provides important citizenship skills training to the Commonwealth's youth and gives them an environment for positive and constructive interaction with the legal system.

Housing Court Department

The Housing Court Department in the past year has once again experienced an increased demand upon the court's staff. The caseload continues to increase. The Housing Court Department is being utilized by a more diverse group of governmental agencies and individuals. Thus, the increased caseload along with the diversity of issues presented to the department has continued to cause the operating divisions to remain innovative in caseflow management techniques.

Jurisdiction. The Housing Court, existing since 1972, handles landlord-tenant disputes, small claims, and violations of housing and sanitary codes. There are three divisions, Boston, Worcester County, and Hampden County.

The Worcester County Division of the Housing Court Department opened for operation on December 1, 1985. The First Justice of the division, John G. Martin, and Clerk Magistrate James A. Bisceglia have not only had the challenge of operating a new court but also the opportunity of educating the public in Worcester County as to substantive housing law and the court's ready accessibility to serve their needs. The clerk magistrate and the First Justice of this division have done

Eneida Lopez-de-Victoria, Worcester Housing

John Laurenti, Boston Housing, and Judge John G. Martin, Worcester Housing

an extraordinary job of providing the staff and the public with a modern operation. It is hoped that in the near future the computer system which is operational in the Worcester County Housing Court would be extended to the entire department.

In the Boston Division, the City of Boston is beginning an alternative non-criminal disposition ticketing system. During the past fiscal year, the City of Boston has given well over 100,000 warnings. The court, in cooperation with the Suffolk County Courthouse Commission and the office of Clerk Magistrate, is coordinating space allocation to addess the needs of the Housing Court Department.

Juvenile Court Department

The Juvenile Court Department consists of four divisions in Boston, Bristol County, Springfield, and Worcester. Within their respective jurisdictions, the divisions deal with all cases of delinquency, CHINS (Children in Need of Services), and Care and Protection petitions. In those areas without juvenile courts, similar jurisdiction is exercised through the juvenile sessions of the District Courts. The divisions of the Juvenile Court Department also exercise jurisdiction over all de novo appeals within their respective counties.

During the past year the department has continued to encourage the implementation of imaginative client support services and the expansion of several long existing successful ones. The Boston Division's Citizenship Training Group (C.T.G.) celebrates its fiftieth anniversary this year, and, together with the Springfield Division's Youth Development and the Worcester Division's Youth Inc. Programs, continues the tradition of providing intensive supervision and rehabilitative services to court-involved youth.

To establish healthy work habits and a sense of accountability, as well as provide for court-directed restitution and other monetary assessments, community service work assignments and some income providing work projects have been developed through the Boston C.T.G., the Bristol Community Service, the Springfield Clean Slate and the Worcester Youth Inc. Programs.

Involvement with the local school systems continues via Springfield "Law-Related-Education," and staff continues to serve on the advisory committees of two area colleges bringing the philosophy of the Juvenile Court to the community. In Bristol County, the New Bedford office continues its orientation and education programs for secondary school students which includes opportunity to visit and discuss roles with court personnel. The Worcester Division has an ongoing truancy screening committee chaired by an assistant chief probation officer which includes school attendance, school administration, clients' parents, attorney if desired, and representation from the Department of Social Services who attempt resolution of truancy problems. Worcester also has expanded its two-year-old CHINS mediation program and its alternative sentencing program wherein community service hours of work are a condition of probation.

The Boston Division, with the cooperation of the Boston Public Schools, has assigned to each school a probation officer, who in addition to his/her normal supervisory duties acts as a liaison/resource person for school personnel. The program's purpose is to identify those children, especially at the elementary level, who display attendance, discipline, and family problems. It is expected that early identification coupled with proper services will prevent these children from entering the juvenile justice system.

The Boston Division's CASA Program (Court Appointed Special Advocates) continues to recruit and train qualified volunteers from diverse backgrounds, who act as guardians ad litem in care and protection proceedings. Their goals are to advocate for a child's best interest and to help insure that child abuse and neglect victims who have been removed from their own homes do not languish in temporary foster homes. The volunteers have on their own reached out to recruit other volunteers, and substantial moral support and personnel have been forthcoming from several private sector companies. Boston hosted this year's National CASA Conference.

Consistent with its commitment to educate the public in the area of childrens' needs and the role of the court in addressing these needs, court staff from all divisions continue to appear, upon request, before various medical, legal, social, and other community and child advocacy groups.

Staff continues to participate in court operated and outside training sessions both as faculty and students and further serve, upon request, on various judicial and legislative committees. Department staff actively assisted in the research and drafting of the Foster Care Review Legislation enacted by the General Court and are actively involved in its implementation.

In cooperation with the Massachusetts General Hospital, the Boston Division has been involved in a research and gradation study regarding risk factors in child abuse and neglect to assist in prevention by developing a degree of predictablity. This study is in the process of expansion to some of the divisions of the department.

The Juvenile Court Clinic constantly refines and expands the delivery of psychiatric and pyschological services throughout its jurisdiction, with both direct and purchase of services methods. It also caters to the particular needs of court-involved youth in the Boston Division through voluntary group programs.

The implementation of the department's automated information and records access system is proceeding with delivery of initial equipment to the Worcester Division and specialty training of some personnel scheduled for November 1986.

Completion of this project will improve the department's ability to fulfill its obligation to both its clients and the community, but its resolution still depends on the securing of ongoing funding and satisfactory physical facilities in Boston and Worcester.

Land Court Department

Jurisdiction. Established in 1898, the Land Court hears all cases pertaining to land registration and real estate titles. The court is based in Boston in the Suffolk County Courthouse.

Increased prosperity in Massachusetts in 1986 proved to be a two-edged sword for the Land Court Department of the Trial Court. While the number of real estate tax foreclosure and mortgage foreclosure actions entered remained almost the same as in 1986, those which resulted in judgments decreased, lessening to some extent the workload of the tax and mortgage departments of the court. On the other hand, improved economic conditions precipitated a real estate boom in the Commonwealth which severely tested other court resources.

Lower mortgage interest rates touched off frenetic real estate development, including a significant amount of refinancing. The state's 21 Registry of Deeds bore the direct, well publicized impact of this increased conveyancing. Although the Land Court's statutory authority over the Registries is limited to their registered land offices. Registers of Deeds, conveyancers, and members of the public turned to the court throughout the year for assistance and guidance in dealing with all manner of transactions involving unregistered as well as registered land.

Serious delays in processing papers and preparing certificates of title, the increased risk of error in record-keeping, and the vastly increased volume of transactions served to bring the court and its personnel into much closer contact with the Registers of Deeds and their staffs. 1986 marked a subtle change in the Land Court's role from overseer of registered land transactions to that of resource center for the Registries of Deeds, those who operate them and those who use them.

In mid-year, this expanded relationship culminated in the formation of a committee chaired by Chief Justice Marilyn M. Sullivan and consisting a senior court attorneys, several Registers of Deeds, and a number of active conveyancers.

Judge John E. Fenton, Jr.

Cindy Gilmore and Ellen Kelly, Land Court

the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act of 1940, commonly called mortgage foreclosure cases, are included under this heading. Of 3,278 miscellaneous cases filed in Fiscal Year 1986, 2,174 fell into this category. Soldiers' and Sailors' cases are handled in a quasi-judicial manner and only infrequently is any kind of court hearing required. The remaining 1,104 cases include all manner of action: low value tax cases, complaints to remove clouds on title to unregistered land, complaints to establish title to land by adverse posession or to establish easements by prescription and the like.

At the Land Court, trials continue to be heard concerning matters arising out of the court's traditional jurisdiction, such as registration, tax lien foreclosures, post-registration matters, and contests concerning adverse possesion and prescriptive rights. More and more frequently, however, the Justices of the court are presiding over trials involving zoning and subdivision control matters. Since 1934, the court has had exclusive jurisdiction over the determination of the validity and extent of municipal zoning ordinances, by-laws, and regulations. Such complaints under 1984 G.L.M. c. 240:14A for declaratory judgments continue to be heard. However, the court's newer, shared jurisdiction under 1984 G.L.M. c. 41:81BB over decisions of planning boards and its jurisdiction pursuant to 1984 G.L.M. c. 40A:17 over matters arising from decisions of zoning boards of appeal are the subject of many more of the trials heard by the court's three Justices. As towns and individuals learn gradually of the better chance for a speedy hearing at the court as well as it growing expertise in this area, the number of trials concerning these matters continues to increase. This gradual change in the court's focus, not unlike its changing role in relation to the Registries of Deeds, can only serve to revitalize the court and secure it for its reputation as a specialized resource for the people it serves throughout the state.

Probate and Family Court Department

Chief Justice Alfred L. Podolski, Mass. Probate and Family Court, and Chief Justice Francis X. Hennessy, Conn. Family Court

Jurisdiction. The Probate and Family Court Department, the third largest department of the Trial Court, has jurisdiction over such family-related matters as divorce, separate support, family abuse (concurrent), custody and adoptions, as well as probate matters such as wills, trusts, guardianships, conservatorships, etc. It also has general equity jurisdiction, the basis for new types of cases such as "right to die" actions, medical treatment of incompetents and administration of anti-psychotic medications. It was recently given concurrent jurisdiction with the District Court Department over civil paternity and non-support actions.

There are 37 judgeships and four recall Justices assigned to the department's 14 divisions, one per county in the Commonwealth. Each division has an elected Register of Probate and various assistant registers and clerical employees. Each division, except the two island counties, also has a Family Service (Probation) Office which provides support enforcement, mediation, and investigation services for the court.

Education, case management, child support enforcement, and automation all received substantial attention in the department during the fiscal year.

There were five statewide conferences held during Fiscal Year 1986. Two semi-annual conferences were held for the Justices of the department, focusing on legislation, new cases, case management, and rules and practice issues. Attention was given to pre-trial techniques at one conference and discussions with the state agencies which practice before the court at the other. A special conference on valuation of marital assets was also held for the Justices of the court, featuring experts in the valuation of real estate, pensions, closely-held corporations, professional practices, and personal property.

One of the other conferences was held for registers and assistant registers on administrative and legal issues. Of importance were appellate procedure, guidelines for handling anti-psychotic drug administration cases, and procedures under the revised no-fault divorce statute. The final conference was for statistic and trial assignment clerks to review new forms and procedures.

The department continued its case management initiatives during the fiscal year. The continued use of full-time satellite sessions in Concord, Marlborough, Lawrence, Wrentham, and the Boston University School of Law assisted the court to dispose many complex and long cases. Using the part-time satellite sessions in Fitchburg, Lowell, Westborough, and various state schools and hospitals, the court conducted regular sessions for cases in which trials at the shire towns would have been inconvenient or difficult.

The Case Flow Management Committee continued its annual visits to each division; by the end of Calendar Year 1986, it visited each division three times. The committee meets with local Justices, registers, registry and probation staff, and local bar associations and makes recommendations to the Chief Justice Alfred L. Podolski and Chief Administrative Justice Arthur M. Mason on its findings.

Child support enforcement was an important topic in Fiscal Year 1986, as the court worked with the Governor's Office and Legislature in crafting Chapter 310, the state implementation of the federal child support mandates. As enacted, the act gives the court concurrent jurisdiction with the District Court Department over paternity actions and civil child suport complaints. Mandatory wage withholding is expected to increase child support collections by the court's family service officers from the 1986 level of $63 million to a record high level of $75 million.

The statewide automation project continued during Fiscal Year 1986. After an initial evaluation and report by the Planning and Development Department of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice, the Automation Committee made recommendations to the Chief Justice for an automation approach for the court. The committee then worked with the staff of the OCAJ to develop a detailed systems specification which will be the basis for competitive bidding.

In Fiscal Year 1987, the department expects to focus on the implementation of the new child support legislation and case flow management.

Superior Court Department

The Superior Court maintains sessions throughout the Commonwealth. During most months, the court is able to conduct an average of 74 civil and criminal sessions as a result of assistance from retired Justices who have been "recalled" to service and from Justices designated by the Chief Administrative Justice from other departments of the Trial Court.

Superior Court Justices' assignments take them on circuit throughout the counties, and the master schedule is prepared in advance for the entire calendar year. The plan for regional administration of some functions of the court that was first implemented in 1980 was amended in 1986 to allow Regional Justices in some counties control of both civil and criminal business. Although this change has been in effect for only a short time, it has been an effective "fine tuning" of the system for controlling and reducing caseload. To combat the backlog of civil cases, Chief Justice Thomas R. Morse has instituted several case management techniques. These include the implementation of modified individual calendar sessions in Suffolk and Middlesex Counties wherein a Judge sits for a period of four months and has total control of the cases assigned to him, and a pilot program in Plymouth County where civil cases are presumptively placed on a "track," to accelerated handling. This kind of aggressive judicial management is quite effective in bringing to an appropriate conclusion litigation that would languish on an overcrowded docket.

Jurisdiction. The Superior Court, concurrently with the Supreme Judicial Court, has original jurisdiction of civil actions and matters in which equitable relief is sought, cognizant under the general principles of equity jurisprudence and exclusive original jurisdiction of all actions in which injunctive relief is sought in any matter involving or growing out of a labor dispute. The court has original jurisdiction over the processing of all petitions on motions seeking authorization for an abortion under G.L.M. c. 112, s.12S, as well as exclusive jurisdiction for the convening of medical malpractice tribunals under the provisions of G.L.M. c. 231, s.60B.

In criminal matters, the court has original jurisdiction of all crimes and generally exercises jurisdiction over all felony matters except those which other courts, by statute, have original and/or concurrent jurisdiction.

Law Clerks. Each year 30 law school graduates are selected for one-year appointments as law clerks to the Superior Court Justices. The competition for these positions is keen, and only those who have demonstrated outstanding legal ability are chosen. Although generally supervised by the Chief Justice, a chief law clerk and assistant chief law clerk are chosen from among the previous year's clerks to supervise the program.

As the Justices do, the law clerks "ride circuit" on a monthly basis and are assigned to work with the Justices at the various Superior Courthouses throughout the counties. The kinds of legal work that may be assigned to a clerk include

Judge Mel L. Greenberg

Sherry Gomes, Plymouth Superior

Suzanne Seguin, Hampden Superior

preparing memoranda on points of law, assisting in the writing of draft decisions and proposed jury instruction, and preparing written summaries of new appellate decisions. Since assignments derive from current litigation, subject matter research can cover any aspect of criminal or civil law and may involve either in-depth study and the preparation of detailed written work or quick-answer research on admissability of evidence or some other matter that may arise during the course of a trial or hearing.

During 1986, the law clerks began the process of computerizing their files and indexes of decision memoranda and the files containing findings, rulings, and orders. The project begun last year to revise the civil charge book for Judges is continuing and is expected to be completed next year.

The Superior Court Judicial Internship Program held its twelfth successful program in 1986. Selected students from each of the law schools in the Commonwealth were permitted to intern with different Justices each month during the spring semester. Students earned course credit while at the same time having a unique opportunity to observe the role of the Judge and the attorneys in the presentation and determination of matters before the court.

Bail. With strong support from the Chief Justice and the Committee on Bail, the Superior Court this past year continued its involvement in a study directed by Dr. John S. Goldkamp of Temple University on the development of guidelines to be used in bail determinations. Extensive data on past bail determinations has been collected in three sites, Miami, Phoenix, and Boston, and the results are expected to provide the court with significant information on the relationship of particluar circumstances and the weight given to them in past release decisions, and thus provide a more rational framework in which to make such decisions in the future. The study also serves as a tool in alleviating local jail overcrowding.

Trial Court

Caseload

Statistical Appendix

Introduction

The following section presents detailed data on the various caseloads of the seven departments of the Trial Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Different departments record and report caseload-related data at various levels of detail and in categories best suited to explain caseflow within their respective legal jurisdiction.

This report presents tables and graphs which, as data permits, includes the following:

- cases pending at the start of the fiscal year
- cases added
- cases disposed of or otherwise terminated
- cases pending at the end of the fiscal year
- age of the caseload pending at year-end.

These five basic data elements are also used to generate a series of indicators of a department's effectiveness in meeting its demands. These indicators include:

- comparison of end pending volume to start pending volume
- throughput or rate of dispositions relative to rate of cases added
- historic comparisons of cases pending, cases added or cases disposed
- analyses of the composition of the categories of cases pending or added and of the types of dispositions.

Finally, the report presents a brief narrative overview of the data from each department. The narrative points out and attempts to explain any trends, highlights, and peculiarities of the data which follow.

Please note that the Trial Court statistics are based on Fiscal Year 1986 (July 1, 1985, to June 30, 1986). The statistics for the Office of the Commissioner of Probation and the Office of the Jury Commissioner were compiled during Calendar Year 1986.

Boston

Municipal

Court

Department

Boston Municipal Court Department

This section contains the data tables and graphs displaying information on the movement of cases in five casetype areas through the Boston Municipal Court Department during Fiscal Year 1986.

Criminal

Criminal caseload data comprise of motor vehicle, domestic relations, and other criminal complaints.

During Fiscal Year 1986, the Boston Municipal Court Department received 17,220 criminal complaints. This is a decrease of 956 complaints or 5.3 percent from Fiscal Year 1985. Domestic relation complaints increased by 86 entries or 200 percent over Fiscal Year 1985, while motor vehicle and other criminal complaints have decreased by 12.8 and 2.9 percent respectively. Overall, entries have steadily decreased for the past two fiscal years.

The Boston Municipal Court Department was not able to provide the Fiscal Year 1986 dispositions as a result of an automation change over during the fiscal year. The disposition figure appearing for Fiscal Year 1986 is for comparison use only and was ascertained from 1986 calendar year statistics. However, it should be noted that during the period January 1, 1986 through December 31, 1986, dispositions decreased by 49.4 percent from the Fiscal Year 1985 figure.

Decriminalized Motor Vehicle Violations

Effective on January 1, 1979, all motor vehicle violations which do not carry the penalty of imprisonment and for which the maximum penalty does not exceed $100 for the first offense are classified as decriminalized matters.

In Fiscal Year 1986, the department recorded 16,690 citations returned from area law enforcement agencies. This is an increase of 8,506 citations or 103.9 percent over Fiscal Year 1985. The citations issued have been steadily increasing since Fiscal Year 1983.

Jury-of-Six Caseload

The department began Fiscal Year 1986 with 679 active jury requests awaiting trial. At the close of Fiscal Year 1986, the pending caseload increased by 281 defendants to 960 jury trial requests awaiting trial. The end pending has been steadily increasing since Fiscal Year 1982 and has more than doubled since that time.

During Fiscal Year 1986, the department received 3,261 additional requests for jury trial compared to 2,799 during Fiscal Year 1985. Fifty-eight percent, compared to 55 percent the previous fiscal year, of these requests were for a jury trial in the first instance. The remainder of these requests were de novo appeals. All juvenile delinquency cases initiated in Boston are under the jurisdiction of the Boston Juvenile Court Division.

Requests received have steadily increased in each of the five years since the establishment of the jury-of-six caseload in the department. The most consistent aspect of this growth has been in the increase of first instance jury requests. First instance requests have tripled in six years and are up 263 percent from Fiscal Year 1981 levels and are up 24 percent from Fiscal Year 1985.

There are three ways in which a jury request can be terminated as an active status case: withdrawal of appeal, disposition by the court, and failure to appear or default by the defendant.

Fiscal Year 1986 withdrawal of appeals have decreased by 48 cases or 23 percent, the first decrease in five years. The 159 withdrawals equal 11.6 percent of the total de novo appeal requests received.

Dispositions for Fiscal Year 1986 totalled 2,527 defendants, an increase of 73 from Fiscal Year 1985. Over one-half or 63.6 percent of initial jury requests were disposed of at a nonjury or bench trial.

Jury session dispositions for Fiscal Year 1986 break down as follows:

- 63.6 percent after bench trial (1,607)
- 14.4 percent after guilty plea (365)
- 4.2 percent after jury trial (106)
- 17.8 percent by other means of disposition (449)

Relative to the District Court Department jury sessions, the Boston Municipal Court Department disposes of a much larger proportion of its jury caseload by bench trial and a much smaller proportion by guilty pleas.

Throughput for Fiscal Year 1986 was 77.5 percent. Throughput is the ratio of cases received and disposed.

The cumulative case aging analysis for the end of the last two fiscal years is as follows:

	Fiscal Year 1985 (679 defendants)	Fiscal Year 1986 (960 defendants)
0-30 days	32.7%	24.6
0-60 days	55.1%	42.6
0-90 days	70.0%	59.6
0-120 days	78.0%	67.7
Over 120 days	15.6%	24.7

Civil Caseload

A total of 47,468 non-criminal matters were initiated during the year. This is an increase of 4,200 cases or 9.7 percent over Fiscal Year 1985 and continues the pattern of annual increases in civil filings begun in Fiscal Year 1981.

Civil cases and small claims, which comprise 85.1 percent of the department's civil case filings, have risen consistently over the past six years.

Small claims supplementary process, which comprise of 11 percent of the department's filings, has decreased for the first time in six years.

During Fiscal Year 1986, the Probation Department received 453 U.R.E.S.A. cases. This added to a workload of 201 cases already open and under supervision and 79 cases under investigation. Four hundred and two cases were redirected to the court division with the appropriate geographic jurisdiction. Total collections of support payments for the year totalled $188,166.05.

In Fiscal Year 1986, the department disposed of 41,166 non-criminal matters. This is an increase of 4,143 dispositions or 11.2 percent from Fiscal Year 1985. The Fiscal Year 1986 disposition volume resulted in a throughput ratio (dispositions per 100 filings) of 87 percent.

Appellate Division

The Boston Municipal Court Department Appellate Division is composed of three justices. Panels are composed of not more than three justices; two justices constitute a quorum. The panel is authorized to hear appeals on points of law only from the department's civil session.

Data is included for Fiscal Year 1986 division activity.

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT
PRIMARY COURT BUSINESS
FIVE YEAR TREND IN CRIMINAL ENTRIES AND DISPOSITIONS

COMPLAINT TYPES	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	CHG FY'85-FY'86 #	%
MOTOR VEHICLE	4258	4383	7627	5221	4555	-666	-12.8%
DOMESTIC RELATIONS	64	41	49	43	129	86	200.0%
OTHER CRIMINAL	11998	12114	12577	12912	12536	-376	-2.9%
TOTAL ENTERED	16320	16538	20253	18176	17220	-956	-5.3%
TOTAL DISPOSED	8107	8405	8048	9093	4599	-4494	-49.4%

*Please note, the BMC Department was not able to provide the FY 1986 dispositions due to an automation change over during the Fiscal Year. The disposition figure appearing under FY'86 is for comparison use only and was ascertained from 1986 calendar year.

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

COMPARISON OF ENTRIES AND DISPOSITIONS



FY'86 COMPLAINTS ENTERED

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT
PRIMARY CRIMINAL COURT BUSINESS
CASETYPE BREAKDOWN-FISCAL YEAR 1986

COMPLAINT TYPES	#	%
MOTOR VEHICLE COMPLAINTS		
OUI	324	1.88%
OTHER MOTOR VEHICLE	4231	24.57%
TOTAL MOTOR VEHICLE	4555	26.45%
ALL OTHER COMPLAINTS		
NON-SUPPORT	129	0.75%
ASSAULT	2333	13.55%
BREAK AND ENTER	852	4.95%
LARCENY AND FRAUD	3814	22.15%
NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE	1	0.01%
NARCOTICS	1011	5.87%
DISTURBING THE PEACE	2359	13.70%
OTHER	2166	12.58%
TOTAL OTHER COMPLAINTS	12665	73.55%
TOTAL ENTERED	17220	100.00%

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT

CASELOAD BREAKDOWN FY 1986



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT
PRIMARY CRIMINAL COURT BUSINESS
DECRIMINALIZED MOTOR VEHICLE COMPARISONS

	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	CHG FY'85-FY'86 #	CHG FY'85-FY'86 %
CITATIONS ISSUED	4744	3102	6663	8184	16690	8506	103.9%



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
JURY OF SIX CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
MONTHLY COMPARISONS FY 1986

FY'86 MONTH	START PEND	CASES RECEIVED	APPEALS WITHDRAWN	DIS- POSED	DEFAULT DIFFERENCE	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU- PUT
JUL '85	679	252	15	122	8	786	107	48.4%
AUG '85	786	306	5	156	20	911	125	51.0%
SEP '85	911	209	10	165	22	923	12	78.9%
OCT '85	923	307	14	318	2	896	-27	103.6%
NOV '85	896	238	15	214	40	865	-31	89.9%
DEC '85	865	252	12	211	-16	910	45	83.7%
JAN '86	910	325	17	240	-1	979	69	73.8%
FEB '86	979	292	25	164	63	1019	40	56.2%
MAR '86	1019	249	9	194	124	941	-78	77.9%
APR '86	941	272	16	205	-78	1070	129	75.4%
MAY '86	1070	298	12	303	70	983	-87	101.7%
JUN '86	983	261	9	235	40	960	-23	90.0%
FY '86 TOTAL	679	3261	159	2527	294	960	281	77.5%



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
JURY OF SIX CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	START PEND	JURY REQUESTS	APPEALS RECEIVED	APPEALS WITHDRAWN	DIS- POSED	DEFAULT DIFFERENCE	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU- PUT
FY '81	288	522	1474	41	1507	271	465	177	75.5%
FY '82	465	820	1647	57	2141	364	370	-95	86.8%
FY '83	370	955	1563	62	2308	38	480	110	91.7%
FY '84	480	1205	1383	130	2277	119	542	62	88.0%
FY '85	542	1525	1274	207	2454	1	679	137	87.7%
FY '86	679	1894	1367	159	2527	294	960	281	77.5%



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
REQUESTS FOR JURY TRIAL RECEIVED
FISCAL YEAR 1986

COURT OF ORIGIN	1ST INSTANCE JURY REQUESTS		DE NOVO APPEALS REC'D		TOTAL REQUESTS	% OF TOTAL REQUESTS
	#	%	#	%		
BMC	202	35.2%	372	64.8%	574	17.6%
BRI	150	64.9%	81	35.1%	231	7.1%
C'TWN	378	94.5%	22	5.5%	400	12.3%
CHEL	252	74.8%	85	25.2%	337	10.3%
DORCH	183	35.3%	335	64.7%	518	15.9%
E BOS	222	60.3%	146	39.7%	368	11.3%
S BOS	128	62.7%	76	37.3%	204	6.3%
ROX	225	57.5%	166	42.5%	391	12.0%
W ROX	154	64.7%	84	35.3%	238	7.3%
TOTAL	1894	58.1%	1367	41.9%	3261	100.0%



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
ANALYSIS OF JURY OF SIX DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1981-1986

FISCAL YEAR	GUILTY PLEA #	GUILTY PLEA %	JURY TRIAL #	JURY TRIAL %	BENCH TRIAL #	BENCH TRIAL %	OTHER #	OTHER %	TOTAL
FY '81	496	32.9%	120	8.0%	651	43.2%	240	15.9%	1507
FY '82	630	29.4%	214	10.0%	851	39.7%	446	20.8%	2141
FY '83	771	33.4%	223	9.7%	840	36.4%	474	20.5%	2308
FY '84	633	27.8%	187	8.2%	1036	45.5%	421	18.5%	2277
FY '85	304	12.4%	130	5.3%	1546	63.0%	474	19.3%	2454
FY '86	365	14.4%	106	4.2%	1607	63.6%	449	17.8%	2527

JURY OF SIX DISPOSITIONS

FISCAL YEAR 1986



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
AGE OF PENDING CASELOAD AT YEAR END
FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1981-1986

FISCAL YEAR	0-30 DAYS #	0-30 DAYS %	31-60 DAYS #	31-60 DAYS %	61-90 DAYS #	61-90 DAYS %	91-120 DAYS #	91-120 DAYS %	OVER 120 DAYS #	OVER 120 DAYS %	DEFAULTS REMOVED #	DEFAULTS REMOVED %	TOTAL
FY '81	164	35.3%	134	28.8%	115	24.7%	34	7.3%	18	3.9%	0	0.0%	465
FY '82	177	47.8%	141	38.1%	39	10.5%	8	2.2%	5	1.4%	0	0.0%	370
FY '83	197	41.0%	113	23.5%	56	11.7%	27	5.6%	49	10.2%	38	7.9%	480
FY '84	190	35.1%	138	25.5%	80	14.8%	29	5.4%	52	9.6%	53	9.8%	542
FY '85	222	32.7%	152	22.4%	101	14.9%	54	8.0%	106	15.6%	44	6.5%	679
FY '86	236	24.6%	173	18.0%	163	17.0%	78	8.1%	237	24.7%	73	7.6%	960

DEFAULTS REMOVED- Fiscal year 1983 is the first year for which default removed data is available.

BMC—FISCAL YEAR 1986

PENDING CASELOAD BY DAYS




BMC--JURY OF SIX PENDING CASELOAD
FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1981-1986
PENDING CASES
(Thousands)
1
0.9
0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
FY'81
FY'82
FY'83
FY'84
FY'85
FY'86
FISCAL YEAR

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
CIVIL CASELOAD ANALYSIS
ENTRIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1981-1986

							CHG FY'85-FY'86	
CIVIL CASE TYPES	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	#	%
GENERAL CIVIL CASES	20042	22229	25410	25873	29407	32052	2645	9.0%
TRANSFER	256	205	166	199	182	635	453	248.9%
MENTAL COMMITMENTS	32	50	85	71	51	54	3	5.9%
SUMMARY PROCESS	561	534	411	401	417	442	25	6.0%
SMALL CLAIMS	2402	3144	5774	6457	7149	8334	1185	16.6%
SUPPLEMENTARY PROCESS								
GENERAL CIVIL	940	790	705	653	502	545	43	8.6%
SMALL CLAIMS	660	675	1465	3901	5542	5382	-160	-2.9%
VICTIMS OF VIOLENT CRIMES	25	26	17	20	18	24	6	33.3%
TOTAL	24918	27653	34033	37575	43268	47468	4200	9.7%



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
CIVIL CASELOAD ANALYSIS
DISPOSITIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1981-1986

CIVIL CASE TYPES	FY'81	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	CHG FY'84-FY'85 #	CHG FY'84-FY'85 %
GENERAL CIVIL CASES	13973	16370	18128	18697	22853	25440	2587	11.3%
TRANSFER	403	234	153	122	55	405	350	636.4%
MENTAL COMMITMENTS	32	50	85	71	32	44	12	37.5%
SUMMARY PROCESS	389	500	404	387	427	410	-17	-4.0%
SMALL-CLAIMS	917	1202	2420	4051	6318	7209	891	14.1%
SUPPLEMENTARY PROCESS								
GENERAL CIVIL	478	325	306	276	166	161	-5	-3.0%
SMALL CLAIMS	488	1024	2509	4316	7159	7482	323	4.5%
VICTIMS OF VIOLENT CRIMES	10	20	14	11	13	15	2	15.4%
TOTAL	16690	19725	24019	27931	37023	41166	4143	11.2%


BMC CIVIL DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1981—1986



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

CIVIL ENTRIES AND DISPOSITIONS

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

Appellate Division Report

From July 1, 1985, through June 30, 1986

Requests for Report	13
Reports Allowed	11
Reports Disallowed	1
Petitions to Establish	1
Cases Decided	3
Affirmed	3
Reversed	0
Entire Retrial Ordered	0
Appeals to Supreme Judicial Court	2
Appeals to Supreme Judicial Court - Perfected	2

District

Court

Department

District Court Department

The District Court Department records detailed caseflow data in six areas of the legal jurisdiction of its 69 divisions.

Criminal Caseload

Criminal complaints filed in the District Court Department were at the highest levels of the past six years in Fiscal Year 1986.

Criminal complaints filed in Fiscal Year 1986 totalled 696,514. This was an increase of 6,766 complaints or 0.98 percent from Fiscal Year 1985. Motor vehicle complaints comprised of 64 percent of all complaints filed. The motor vehicle and non-motor vehicle complaints slightly increased in numbers from last fiscal year.

The complaints comprising the caseload break down as follows:

- 6% Operating Under the Influence
- 4% Serious Motor Vehicle
- 54% Other Motor Vehicle Complaints
- 2% Nonsupport
- 5% Assault Crimes
- 2% Breaking and Entering
- 10% Larceny and Fraud
- 4% Narcotics
- 3% Disorderly Conduct
- 10% Other Criminal Complaints

There were 525,156 criminal complaints disposed of by the department in Fiscal Year 1986. This is a 4.4 percent increase from last year. Throughput for the fiscal year was 75 percent.

Jury-of-Six Caseload

There were 3,374 active jury requests pending before the 18 jury session divisions at the start of the fiscal year.

During the year, 19,038 requests for jury trial were received. This is an increase of 1,418 requests from Fiscal Year 1985's 17,620 requests. More than half of these requests, 65.4 percent, were requests for a jury trial in the first instance by adult defendants. Adult defendants requesting a de novo jury trial on appeal accounted for an additional 33.2 percent of all requests. Requests for jury trials both de novo and in the first instance for juvenile delinquency and Children in Need of Services cases made up the remaining 2.0 percent.

There were 740 appeals withdrawn in Fiscal Year 1986 compared to 774 appeals withdrawn in Fiscal Year 1985. This is 3.9 percent of all requests received, and it is 11.2 percent of all de novo appeal jury requests received.

Dispositions totalled 17,022 up for the fifth consecutive year.

- 57.2% Guilty Plea
- 13.7% Jury Trial
- 13.1% Bench Trial
- 16.0% Other

Throughput for Fiscal Year 1986 was 89.4 percent.

At the end of Fiscal Year 1986, there were 4,105 jury requests actively awaiting action by the District Court Department, up 731 pending requests from the start of the year. Seventy percent of this end pending caseload has been pending for less than 90 days.

As a result of the remand limit raised from $7,500 to $15,000 during Fiscal Year 1986, the pending cases remanded to the District Court Department have been steadily increasing since December 1985.

Decriminalized Motor Vehicle Activity

In Fiscal Year 1986, law enforcement agencies issued 869,145 citations for decriminalized motor vehicle violations. This is an increase of 78,536 citations or 9.9 percent over Fiscal Year 1985.

During the same period, 540,201 citations were disposed of non-criminally through the payment of a fine. This is a throughput rate of 62.2 percent for the year.

For the same period, there were 107,781 clerk-magistrate hearings to dispose of contested decriminalized motor vehicle complaints. This is an increase of 3,695 hearings or 3.6 percent over the Fiscal Year 1985 level.

Civil Caseload

The District Court Department reports data for 10 categories of non-criminal case activity. Overall, the changes in these case categories in Fiscal Year 1986 relative to the past several years were minor. However, several subtle but important changes are apparent in both the volume and composition of the caseload.

New cases entered for all types of non-criminal case categories totalled 255,257 for Fiscal Year 1986. This is an increase of 8.2 percent from last year.

In terms of composition, the department's Fiscal Year 1986 caseload is as follows:

- 54% Small Claims Cases
- 20% Civil Cases
- 8% Summary Process Cases
- 6% Civil Supplementary Process Cases
- 7% Spousal Abuse Petitions
- 2% U.R.E.S.A. Cases
- 2% Mental Commitment Cases
- 1% Transfer Cases, Victims of Violent Crimes, etc.

District Court Department dispositions totalled 195,784 for the fiscal year. This is an increase of 12,419 dispositions or 6.8 percent from last year which continues the general upward trend in the number of disposed cases each year. The ratio of cases disposed to entries is 77 percent.

Juvenile Caseload

Three categories of juvenile related business are reported: juvenile delinquency, children in need of services (CHINS), and care and protection cases.

In Fiscal Year 1986, there was a slight increase in juvenile delinquency activity from the previous fiscal year. Relative to last year, total juvenile delinquency complaints filed were up by 3.8 percent to 32,011 complaints. Motor vehicle related delinquency complaints, 27.4 percent of the total, were also up from Fiscal Year 1985 by 19.9 percent.

Disposition of delinquency complaints increased by 1,155 or 5.8 percent from the previous year's disposition volume. Since Fiscal Year 1982, dispositions have been on a decline with this year showing the first increase.

Fiscal Year 1986 is the fifth consecutive year in which the number of CHINS applications received and petitions issued have increased. Dispositions held roughly consistent with the past several years at between 1,800 and 2,100 per year.

Care and protection petitions received were up 8.2 percent while dispositions have remained consistent, slightly increasing by 0.4 percent over last year. In Fiscal Year 1986, 1,074 petitions were received and 577 petitions were disposed of by the court.

Appellate Division

There are three regional appellate division locations for the District Court Department. Each of these divisions are composed of five justices. The panels are authorized to hear appeals on points of law only in non-criminal cases from the divisions of the District Court Department. Panels are composed of not more than three justices; two justices constitute a quorum.

Appellate Division activity was down, in general, from Fiscal Year 1985. Average time from trial court judgment to appellate division entry decreased by 236 days from last year's average, while average days from appellate division entry to disposition increased by 63 days.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

Summary Report of Criminal Business

	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	Change FY'85 - FY'86 #	Change FY'85 - FY'86 %
Motor Vehicle Complaints	321,432	351,692	442,446	442,050	442,211	161	0.04
All Other Complaints	222,439	211,437	208,929	247,698	254,303	6,605	2.66
Total Criminal Complaints	543,871	563,129	651,375	689,748	696,514	6,766	0.08
Criminal Complaint Dispositions	379,368	436,122	525,616	503,130	525,156	22,026	4.37
Throughput-Dispositions per 100 Entries	70%	77%	79%	73%	75%		

Summary Report of Decriminalized Business*

Decriminalized Business							
Citations Returned	535,950	596,244	678,435	790,609	869,145	78,536	9.93
Citations Disposed Of	293,546	332,792	397,196	472,703	540,201	67,498	14.27
Clerk-Magistrate Hearings	59,713	58,518	95,945	104,086	107,781	3,695	3.55

*The decriminalization of certain motor vehicle offenses (see G.L.c.90, section 20F) was established by Chapter 478 of the Acts of 1978 to become effective January 1, 1979.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--CRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1986--BY COURT

Court	Non-Support	Operating Under Influence	Motor Vehicle Homicide	Serious Motor Vehicle	All Other Motor Vehicle	Break & Enter	Larceny & Fraud	Distub. & Disord.	Destruct. Personal Property	Assault	Firearms	Narcotics	All Other Cmplts.	Total Cmplts. Entered	Total Cmplts. Disposed
39 Newburyport	45	434	2	210	1,837	114	293	138	79	140	13	273	609	4,253	2,193
40 Newton	15	344	3	133	6,407	88	538	31	48	137	13	105	329	8,191	3,539
41 No. Berkshire	75	245	2	227	1,427	160	804	237	122	166	12	83	363	3,923	3,867
42 Northampton	174	687	7	348	7,463	345	1,208	242	225	462	18	363	732	12,275	12,650
43 Orange	69	207	3	85	1 122	65	203	110	65	139	4	92	205	2,369	2,169
44 Orleans	41	578	5	252	4,812	221	1,321	76	130	307	18	307	940	9,008	2,768
45 Palmer	39	384	5	271	4,284	121	329	100	116	173	4	191	265	6,282	3,004
46 Peabody	12	615	4	674	4,411	81	473	261	121	156	10	178	661	7,657	6,000
47 Pittsfield	127	475	4	153	4,873	194	615	291	76	217	10	163	478	7,676	5,183
48 Plymouth	231	724	11	584	4,499	366	1,437	233	231	493	22	364	1.168	10.363	4,116
49 Quincy	139	1,584	10	1,086	12.585	219	1,975	441	740	965	21	711	2.867	23.343	19,187
50 Roxbury	843	553	5	454	3,448	461	2,115	896	440	2,763	258	1,953	659	14,848	12,123
51 Salem	149	359	37	436	7,415	350	986	432	208	429	37	417	457	12,312	13.435
52 So. Berkshire	21	209	6	132	2,143	119	474	73	80	70	6	75	216	3.630	3,008
53 Somerville	244	587	0	318	4,988	111	1,235	115	97	321	12	515	439	8,982	3,174
54 South Boston	81	300	0	139	4,227	61	331	110	58	397	20	235	295	6,314	3.500
55 Spencer	87	351	0	141	2.630	147	294	95	112	220	14	154	240	4,485	3,192
56 Springfield	1,439	2,558	25	1,457	24,391	810	2,417	758	486	2,381	174	1,346	1,537	39,779	32,818
57 Stoughton	40	505	5	284	4,349	152	739	87	85	289	8	270	354	7,167	6,952
58 Taunton	124	912	12	1,172	4,882	313	1,653	288	326	626	45	320	550	11,223	9,184
59 Uxbridge	75	324	4	147	2,845	97	241	122	55	155	5	167	338	4,575	2,962
60 Waltham	91	674	0	325	8.015	264	1,038	230	106	401	27	420	587	12,178	9,710
61 Ware	14	128	2	72	1,431	76	106	46	50	87	3	45	259	2,319	1,611
62 Wareham	65	592	10	668	5,614	283	1,140	304	209	377	21	313	777	10,373	8,661
63 West Roxbury	428	839	14	426	11,172	352	1,276	1,144	253	1,246	111	931	676	18,868	2,624
64 Westborough	28	554	10	251	2,320	144	833	148	143	136	11	291	396	5,265	9,713
65 Westfield	49	369	5	195	1,671	141	390	81	122	107	9	119	230	3,488	1,996
66 Winchendon	33	58	0	27	292	26	40	25	26	41	2	68	75	713	572
67 Woburn	52	890	8	787	8,457	109	1,236	144	135	282	18	442	1,334	13,894	8,404
68 Worcester	771	1,175	14	817	41,957	884	3,662	1,870	511	1,802	129	1,405	2,700	57,697	45,626
69 Wrentham	138	812	16	418	6,931	233	1,124	297	232	346	23	367	633	11,570	8,292
TOTALS	13,095	41,621	426	28,811	371,353	16,051	68,255	20,383	13,176	36,175	2,291	29,639	55,238	696,514	525,156

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--CRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1986--BY COURT

Court	Non-Support	Operating Under Influence	Motor Vehicle Homicide	Serious Motor Vehicle	All Other Motor Vehicle	Break & Enter	Larceny & Fraud	Distub. & Disord.	Destruct. Personal Property	Assault	Firearms	Narcotics	All Other Cmplts.	Total Cmplts. Entered	Total Cmplts. Disposed
1 Amesbury	33	425	3	137	1,337	125	212	130	67	108	5	219	426	3,227	1,000
2 Attleboro	108	748	28	968	3,361	221	1,053	506	205	565	19	545	832	9,759	10,632
3 Ayer	84	658	1	262	4,188	181	588	212	164	344	17	355	568	7,622	5,470
4 Barnstable	274	1,020	7	582	7,021	495	4,130	388	327	719	29	324	1,169	16,485	10,971
5 Brighton	103	412	4	146	5,944	127	442	169	94	312	20	263	425	8,441	5,165
6 Brockton	293	931	5	1,511	11,633	251	2,252	799	517	1,356	90	709	2,508	22,855	21,675
7 Brookline	20	175	8	78	5,453	105	655	21	34	184	9	83	203	7,028	8,407
8 Cambridge	379	663	5	346	3,266	466	1,414	419	201	951	25	435	1,167	9,737	7,655
9 Charlestown	64	1,056	0	408	3,231	83	226	110	68	283	37	220	231	6,017	4,021
10 Chelsea	163	1,121	9	1,147	3,821	161	788	366	156	526	43	819	842	9,962	5012
11 Chicopee	88	238	1	43	2,549	52	271	102	72	99	0	127	668	4,310	2,766
12 Clinton	98	302	2	134	5,308	144	263	116	70	158	9	257	578	7,439	9,764
13 Concord	69	765	5	310	6,281	153	869	171	99	160	11	342	385	9,620	5,578
14 Dedham	60	689	9	348	6,803	258	1,639	93	128	214	12	377	578	11,207	5,592
15 Dorchester	1416	707	8	537	3,316	461	2,042	359	416	2,428	186	1,406	1,128	14,470	13,920
16 Dudley	103	581	10	278	10,388	215	683	162	181	363	35	300	470	13,769	10,103
17 East Boston	89	190	5	215	2,277	152	509	109	130	406	50	332	976	5,440	3,376
18 Edgartown	2	213	0	121	1,432	93	348	126	67	119	7	61	343	2,932	1,806
19 Fall River	610	923	4	1,340	6,914	533	2,124	764	486	1,346	96	676	828	16,644	15,772
20 Fitchburg	200	295	1	139	1,155	138	459	153	82	277	4	126	334	3,363	2,988
21 Framingham	135	893	4	329	9,176	404	2,443	427	326	655	47	907	1,297	17,103	15,058
22 Gardner	129	223	7	166	2,616	129	273	116	103	147	13	137	402	4,461	8,979
23 Gloucester	50	316	1	295	1,308	145	318	335	44	163	8	275	307	3,565	799
24 Greenfield	93	327	6	157	3,358	135	462	82	106	223	21	182	317	5,468	4,368
25 Haverhill	90	343	5	89	998	104	649	142	216	307	14	322	6,521	9,000	4,500
26 Hingham	185	752	4	517	6,913	245	1,251	216	187	428	10	363	1,114	12,185	11,367
27 Holyoke	136	274	3	194	2,818	176	903	328	169	353	23	471	268	6,122	3,561
28 Ipswich	5	68	3	63	349	28	97	25	29	26	0	46	59	738	390
29 Lawrence	389	1,267	5	568	8,265	434	1,063	1,008	521	984	71	760	1,768	17,102	12,076
30 Leominster	149	450	0	117	1,994	81	427	182	62	198	9	210	371	4,249	4,192
31 Lowell	553	1,544	8	2,010	6,080	1,004	1,321	1,097	840	2,331	41	1,635	2,027	20,551	13,888
32 Lynn	476	950	16	651	6,754	512	1,857	401	419	1,431	68	857	1,770	16,170	9,050
33 Malden	275	698	9	420	3,766	251	660	217	195	563	20	436	688	8,224	5,233
34 Marlborough	121	254	1	162	3,402	77	463	138	73	187	11	291	421	5,601	3,057
35 Milford	40	452	4	208	5,669	108	602	241	129	241	26	323	353	8,396	5,624
36 Nantucket	2	72	2	38	427	17	275	1	4	21	2	41	32	934	650
37 Natick	24	200	2	117	3,086	64	976	56	35	98	3	71	227	4,959	2,234
38 New Bedford	171	733	2	851	5,138	616	2,710	665	466	1,064	122	1,593	1,228	15,419	10,078

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

CRIMINAL CASEFLOW TRENDS



CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS FILED

BY CATEGORY



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
JURY OF SIX CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

DIVISION	START PEND	CASES RECEIVED	APPEALS WITHDRAWN	DIS-POSED	DEFAULT DIFFERENCE	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU-PUT
BARNSTABLE	175	575	65	474	8	203	28	82.4%
CAMBRIDGE	385	2115	55	1907	77	461	76	90.2%
DEDHAM	309	1854	39	1569	53	502	193	84.6%
*EDGARTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
FALL RIVER	213	1229	67	990	62	323	110	80.6%
FITCHBURG	155	1224	49	1130	44	156	1	92.3%
FRAMINGHAM	250	1065	87	941	32	255	5	88.4%
GREENFIELD	43	186	12	161	10	46	3	86.6%
HAVERHILL	125	1210	91	1140	31	73	-52	94.2%
HINGHAM	175	437	9	399	8	196	21	91.3%
LOWELL	285	1124	31	966	67	345	60	85.9%
NANTUCKET	2	24	3	14	2	7	5	58.3%
NORTHAMPTON	76	363	35	306	9	89	13	84.3%
PITTSFIELD	164	715	43	785	12	39	-125	109.8%
SALEM	332	1898	0	1684	1	545	213	88.7%
SPRINGFIELD	96	1089	96	873	3	213	117	80.2%
WAREHAM	266	818	58	744	-10	292	26	91.0%
WORCESTER	323	3112	0	2939	136	360	37	94.4%
DEPARTMENT	3374	19038	740	17022	545	4105	731	89.4%

*The Edgartown statistics have not been reported for Fiscal Year 1986.



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT FY 1986

RATIO OF CASES ENTERED AND DISPOSED

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
REQUESTS FOR JURY TRIAL RECEIVED
FISCAL YEAR 1986

FISCAL YEAR	1ST INSTANCE				1ST INSTANCE		DE NOVO APPEAL				DE NOVO		TOTAL
	CRIMINAL		JUVENILE		TOTAL		CRIMINAL		JUVENILE		TOTAL		REQUESTS
BY QUARTERS	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
1ST QUARTER	2845	64.6%	28	0.6%	2873	65.3%	1451	33.0%	77	1.7%	1528	34.7%	4401
2ND QUARTER	3340	66.2%	24	0.5%	3364	66.7%	1615	32.0%	65	1.3%	1680	33.3%	5044
3RD QUARTER	2903	63.8%	38	0.8%	2941	64.6%	1558	34.2%	54	1.2%	1612	35.4%	4553
4TH QUARTER	3249	64.5%	25	0.5%	3274	65.0%	1704	33.8%	62	1.2%	1766	35.0%	5040
FY '86 TOTAL	12337	64.8%	115	0.6%	12452	65.4%	6328	33.2%	258	1.4%	6586	34.6%	19038



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

DIVISION	GUILTY PLEA #	GUILTY PLEA %	JURY TRIAL #	JURY TRIAL %	BENCH TRIAL #	BENCH TRIAL %	OTHER #	OTHER %	TOTAL
BARNSTABLE	248	52.3%	78	16.5%	31	6.5%	117	24.7%	474
CAMBRIDGE	1206	63.2%	165	8.7%	219	11.5%	317	16.6%	1907
DEDHAM	814	51.9%	142	9.1%	338	21.5%	275	17.5%	1569
*EDGARTOWN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
FALL RIVER	697	70.4%	154	15.6%	5	0.5%	134	13.5%	990
FITCHBURG	276	24.4%	100	8.8%	671	59.4%	83	7.3%	1130
FRAMINGHAM	401	42.6%	166	17.6%	124	13.2%	250	26.6%	941
GREENFIELD	53	32.3%	32	19.5%	1	0.6%	78	47.6%	164
HAVERHILL	846	74.2%	156	13.7%	14	1.2%	124	10.9%	1140
HINGHAM	231	57.9%	85	21.3%	1	0.3%	82	20.6%	399
LOWELL	683	70.7%	143	14.8%	25	2.6%	115	11.9%	966
NANTUCKET	9	64.3%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	3	21.4%	14
NORTHAMPTON	99	32.4%	115	37.6%	28	9.2%	64	20.9%	306
PITTSFIELD	513	65.4%	113	14.4%	16	2.0%	143	18.2%	785
SALEM	1171	69.5%	293	17.4%	57	3.4%	163	9.7%	1684
SPRINGFIELD	125	14.3%	166	19.0%	444	50.9%	138	15.8%	873
WAREHAM	438	58.1%	196	26.0%	12	1.6%	108	14.3%	754
WORCESTER	1929	65.6%	221	7.5%	245	8.3%	544	18.5%	2939
DEPARTMENT	9739	57.2%	2327	13.7%	2231	13.1%	2738	16.1%	17035

*The Edgartown statistics have not been reported for Fiscal Year 1986.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSITIONS FY 1986



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
AGE OF PENDING CASELOAD
AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

	0-30 DAYS		31-60 DAYS		61-90 DAYS		91-120 DAYS		OVER 120 DAYS		
DIVISION	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	TOTAL
BARNSTABLE	84	41.4%	27	13.3%	31	15.3%	10	4.9%	51	25.1%	203
CAMBRIDGE	127	27.5%	102	22.1%	94	20.4%	58	12.6%	80	17.4%	461
DEDHAM	138	27.5%	86	17.1%	87	17.3%	76	15.1%	115	22.9%	502
*EDGARTOWN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
FALL RIVER	96	29.7%	61	18.9%	87	26.9%	36	11.1%	43	13.3%	323
FITCHBURG	86	55.1%	35	22.4%	23	14.7%	9	5.8%	3	1.9%	156
FRAMINGHAM	102	40.0%	72	28.2%	31	12.2%	20	7.8%	30	11.8%	255
GREENFIELD	19	41.3%	6	13.0%	3	6.5%	6	13.0%	12	26.1%	46
HAVERHILL	51	69.9%	15	20.5%	7	9.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	73
HINGHAM	24	12.2%	53	27.0%	32	16.3%	31	15.8%	56	28.6%	196
LOWELL	117	33.9%	57	16.5%	70	20.3%	75	21.7%	26	7.5%	345
NANTUCKET	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
NORTHAMPTON	19	21.3%	31	34.8%	7	7.9%	7	7.9%	25	28.1%	89
PITTSFIELD	25	64.1%	5	12.8%	1	2.6%	2	5.1%	6	15.4%	39
SALEM	156	28.6%	76	13.9%	91	16.7%	58	10.6%	164	30.1%	545
SPRINGFIELD	70	32.9%	53	24.9%	50	23.5%	20	9.4%	20	9.4%	213
WAREHAM	47	16.1%	54	18.5%	19	6.5%	37	12.7%	135	46.2%	292
WORCESTER	192	53.3%	73	20.3%	61	16.9%	25	6.9%	9	2.5%	360
DEPARTMENT	1355	33.0%	810	19.7%	695	16.9%	470	11.4%	775	18.9%	4105

*The Edgartown statistics have not been reported for Fiscal Year 1986.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

PENDING CASELOAD BY DAYS



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
CASES REMANDED FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT
MONTHLY COMPARISONS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

MONTHS	CASES RECEIVED	CASES DISPOSED	CASES PENDING
JULY	141	69	1003
AUGUST	68	73	990
SEPTEMBER	121	102	1022
OCTOBER	157	143	1028
NOVEMBER	71	129	971
DECEMBER	145	125	992
JANUARY	185	143	1032
FEBRUARY	292	147	1177
MARCH	443	154	1464
APRIL	483	183	1797
MAY	591	227	2162
JUNE	483	263	2362

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT





DISTRICT COURT--MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICAL INFORMATION--FISCAL 1986--BY COURT

	Decriminalized M.V. Offenses			Criminal Show Cause	Inquests	Victims of Violent Crimes		Civil Transfer Hearings		
	Citations Returned	Citations Disposed Non-Criminally	Hearings Held	Hearings Held	Hearings Held	Entered	Disposed	Received	Disposed	Retransferred
1 Amesbury	6,446	5,786	776	493	0	2	0	3	0	1
2 Attleboro	11,672	9,892	1,425	2,512	0	9	4	16	9	0
3 Ayer	17,224	11,844	1,144	571	0	3	1	18	13	0
4 Barnstable	20,379	11,618	1,810	5,386	0	5	5	34	26	2
5 Brighton	12,231	8,834	1,462	1,809	0	7	8	153	20	0
6 Brockton	16,576	8,055	2,434	2,749	0	30	11	61	31	2
7 Brookline	16,131	10,463	2,256	3,162	0	9	5	39	13	0
8 Cambridge	20,859	14,950	3,457	4,058	0	21	16	100	78	6
9 Charlestown	13,016	5,006	2,086	727	0	15	9	79	18	0
10 Chelsea	4,327	3,497	1,567	2,078	0	13	9	22	10	0
11 Chicopee	6,928	1,500	4,008	480	0	3	0	2	0	0
12 Clinton	11,377	6,490	1,025	2,195	0	4	2	7	18	0
13 Concord	16,584	9,805	1,610	1,198	0	5	2	42	32	3
14 Dedham	16,118	15,859	2,154	2,611	0	3	8	109	26	9
15 Dorchester	13,177	10,068	1,225	7,836	0	61	45	10	10	1
16 Dudley	17,528	8,188	1,006	1,404	0	1	3	13	26	2
17 East Boston	8,776	7,876	1,560	1,483	0	11	12	19	13	1
18 Edgartown	4,308	3,594	323	682	0	0	0	0	0	0
19 Fall River	14,777	9,818	2,210	3,266	0	16	14	36	11	1
20 Fitchburg	2,631	1,789	242	641	0	5	1	9	15	8
21 Framingham	21,174	12,534	2,327	2,311	0	11	2	81	57	10
22 Gardner	5,816	3,376	496	858	0	1	2	9	20	1
23 Gloucester	4,227	1,738	259	798	0	4	2	12	0	0
24 Greenfield	8,058	5,385	888	839	0	1	1	12	8	0
25 Haverhill	11,938	5,703	1,550	800	0	7	7	44	27	0
26 Hingham	14,357	8,509	1,960	1,960	0	3	1	21	5	1
27 Holyoke	5,413	3,845	354	891	1	2	2	1	5	1
28 Ipswich	525	345	27	188	0	1	0	0	0	0
29 Lawrence	22,111	14,061	3,480	3,213	0	14	6	23	10	0
30 Leominister	3,323	3,209	459	533	0	1	0	13	9	1
31 Lowell	23,398	13,787	2,503	2,770	0	17	12	103	50	2
32 Lynn	28,500	13,000	3,258	7,120	0	10	4	34	3	1
33 Malden	10,369	4,374	2,064	2,390	0	22	9	93	85	10
34 Marlborough	8,738	5,918	849	1,274	0	5	1	27	14	0
35 Milford	12,885	9,831	1,362	973	0	3	1	32	36	4
36 Nantucket	836	552	53	199	0	1	1	1	0	0
37 Natick	7,897	4,881	1,147	1,206	0	2	1	13	4	1

DISTRICT COURT--MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICAL INFORMATION--FISCAL 1986--BY COURT

	Decriminalized M.V. Offenses			Criminal Show Cause	Inquests	Victims of Violent Crimes		Civil Transfer Hearings		
	Citations Returned	Citations Disposed Non-Criminally	Hearings Held	Hearings Held	Hearings Held	Entered	Disposed	Received	Disposed	Retransferred
38 New Bedford	8,280	5,939	1,127	1,097	0	20	11	47	24	0
39 Newburyport	8,066	5,473	859	532	0	2	2	7	4	0
40 Newton	13,088	8,600	1,574	1,249	0	4	2	40	29	6
41 No. Berkshire	3418	2589	473	1,370	0	0	0	9	4	0
42 Northampton	19,051	11,478	1,480	2,507	0	1	2	47	23	5
43 Orange	1,804	1,709	268	515	0	3	0	0	0	0
44 Orleans	8,783	5,827	556	977	0	1	1	12	16	1
45 Palmer	8,128	4,460	1,140	415	2	3	1	2	0	0
46 Peabody	11,354	8,600	2,200	3,100	0	6	1	22	9	0
47 Pittsfield	6,229	4,945	1,092	2,340	0	1	3	20	3	1
48 Plymouth	20,278	15,547	2,101	2,170	0	3	1	35	17	2
49 Quincy	15,187	8,918	3,412	6,553	0	22	20	204	79	4
50 Roxbury	25,508	9,647	2,818	7,728	0	23	12	6	3	1
51 Salem	23170	15987	2644	2150	0	7	7	17	9	0
52 So. Berkshire	7,608	6,458	521	804	0	0	0	4	1	0
53 Somerville	10,423	7,670	1,808	3,169	0	27	6	87	37	0
54 South Boston	4,057	2,581	825	2,029	1	13	5	140	14	28
55 Spencer	4,830	3,550	268	771	0	0	0	4	3	0
56 Springfield	28,387	19,678	3,496	3,263	6	20	31	276	155	16
57 Stoughton	8,725	4,700	1,166	1,300	0	2	0	81	19	9
58 Taunton	9,326	6,649	2,078	2,995	0	5	4	32	7	1
59 Uxbridge	4,185	3,058	311	360	1	2	2	8	12	1
60 Waltham	20,160	14,542	3,633	834	1	8	1	61	43	1
61 Ware	4,438	3,252	500	694	0	2	1	0	0	0
62 Wareham	17,100	13,933	3,284	2,302	0	3	0	18	8	0
63 West Roxbury	22,000	8,461	1,331	3,275	0	28	2	3	2	1
64 Westborough	14,679	12,834	2,360	1,367	0	4	2	173	73	12
65 Westfield	12,120	10,250	1,096	532	0	1	0	1	4	0
66 Winchendon	477	404	65	135	0	1	1	4	2	0
67 Woburn	26,482	13,657	2,163	3,615	0	6	2	103	63	7
68 Worcester	44,805	13,461	2,683	5,095	1	26	11	187	139	22
69 Wrentham	15,109	9,184	1,013	2,468	0	4	0	69	17	2
	-------	-------	-------	-------	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	---
TOTALS	869,145	540,201	107,781	141,975	13	576	378	3,070	1,527	188

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

DECRIMINALIZED MOTOR VEHICLE CITATIONS



CLERK–MAGISTRATE HEARINGS



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary of Civil Business

	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	Change FY'85 - FY'86 #	Change FY'85 - FY'86 %
Civil Caseload							
Entries	56,707	51,587	46,184	51,302	52,076	774	1.51
Dispositions	37,633	35,396	30,288	40,155	40,928	773	1.93
Transfer Caseload							
Received	1,930	1,539	1,863	1,348	3,070	1,722	127.75
Dispositions	2,271	1,933	1,436	1,179	1,527	348	29.51
Inquests Held	27	18	16	13	13	0	0.00
Violent Crime Victims							
Claims	480	480	420	463	576	113	24.41
Dispositions	314	253	220	262	338	76	29.01
Mental Commitments							
Petitions	3,772	3,854	4,057	4,062	4,409	347	8.54
Dispositions	3,562	3,509	3,650	3,891	4,067	176	4.52
Summary Process Caseload							
Entries	18,254	16,855	17,569	18,918	19,773	855	4.52
Dispositions	15,614	14,533	15,533	17,390	17,233	-157	-0.90

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary of Civil Business

						Change FY'85 - FY'86	
Small Claims Caseload	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	#	%
Entries	121,686	121,646	122,041	123,101	136,811	13,710	11.14
Dispositions	87,956	85,758	95,635	95,972	104,859	8,887	9.26
Supplementary Process Caseload (Civil)							
Entries	21,795	18,939	16,819	15,686	15,106	-580	-3.69
Dispositions	9,416	9,078	7,829	8,572	8,468	-104	-1.21
U.R.E.S.A.							
Entries	5,396	5,297	4,716	4,902	5,187	285	5.81
Dispositions	3,042	3,450	2,714	2,892	3,042	150	5.19
Spousal Abuse Caseload							
Entries	15,283	15,017	16,631	16,160	18,236	2,076	12.85
Dispositions	10,884	12,557	13,954	13,052	15,322	2,270	17.39
Total Civil Caseload							
Entries, Petitions, etc.	245,330	235,232	230,300	235,942	255,257	19,315	8.19
Dispositions	170,692	166,467	171,259	183,365	195,784	12,419	6.77
Ratio: Dispositions per 100 Entries	70%	71%	74%	78%	77%		

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT





DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--NONCRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1986-BY COURT

	Civil		Summary Process		Small Claims		Suppl. Process		Mental Health		Spousal Abuse		U.R.E.S.A		
Court	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Initiated Locally	Received Out of State	Disposed
1 Amesbury	353	300	279	279	378	300	58	8	6	6	99	99	6	30	36
2 Attleboro	422	367	335	313	1,987	1,758	205	163	40	40	219	219	60	54	77
3 Ayer	303	247	103	96	1,626	1,188	110	41	18	16	188	153	41	53	34
4 Barnstable	1,307	742	292	194	4,012	3,855	420	101	114	114	201	n/a	47	41	92
5 Brighton	483	339	285	260	927	660	157	33	5	5	224	224	28	25	18
6 Brockton	1,638	1,156	1,424	1,246	4,104	2,044	577	462	936	895	349	349	45	77	51
7 Brookline	848	312	158	144	928	449	158	24	35	28	129	77	10	8	5
8 Cambridge	2,852	1,687	711	406	3,335	3,055	426	370	130	13	263	72	76	101	43
9 Charlestown	308	211	91	55	516	379	102	64	2	2	85	51	5	9	2
10 Chelsea	474	104	486	436	2,156	1,389	260	150	2	2	362	362	18	14	12
11 Chicopee	186	38	6	6	889	518	77	26	10	10	175	25	84	76	0
12 Clinton	159	90	67	51	635	557	68	187	11	11	133	115	21	29	23
13 Concord	888	812	97	85	1,577	1,660	188	102	26	28	104	104	34	21	65
14 Dedham	1,229	1,447	188	179	2,636	3,036	309	156	3	8	85	76	18	25	70
15 Dorchester	373	180	596	588	2,634	1,658	712	356	0	0	1,454	1,454	34	184	138
16 Dudley	320	138	243	218	1,317	1,061	141	125	25	22	248	216	66	52	113
17 East Boston	281	209	225	162	2,152	1,381	194	10	8	8	214	182	9	19	28
18 Edgartown	155	75	13	13	795	562	156	37	1	1	35	31	2	4	4
19 Fall River	997	754	605	501	5,017	3,969	268	142	36	36	402	223	31	29	85
20 Fitchburg	417	518	237	256	3,063	2,134	117	41	46	42	212	90	41	43	42
21 Framingham	1,248	1,210	668	1,087	2,808	1,767	368	141	3	1	257	143	42	41	30
22 Gardner	497	161	109	81	869	808	52	17	1	2	49	36	23	20	41
23 Gloucester	259	37	106	13	835	702	96	40	7	7	95	75	26	15	13
24 Greenfield	140	139	199	180	1,184	894	61	22	20	2	205	205	57	41	75
25 Haverhill	1,774	500	357	340	1,171	71	191	11	39	39	370	325	17	23	15
26 Hingham	733	431	159	124	1,739	1,400	263	70	22	22	198	252	23	16	18
27 Holyoke	162	79	25	21	879	596	62	30	12	12	307	276	26	56	37
28 Ipswich	126	25	16	10	240	142	37	4	1	0	34	16	2	3	0
29 Lawrence	2,301	1,659	908	956	2,953	1,497	160	445	16	16	859	859	27	81	161
30 Leominster	284	192	127	117	1,446	1,349	79	60	44	11	133	89	26	37	35
31 Lowell	1,780	916	1,217	1,045	6,144	5,761	648	172	81	57	585	575	129	95	49
32 Lynn	2,359	1,423	1,042	961	3,324	3,140	499	78	135	61	721	470	50	69	57
33 Malden	1,817	378	435	469	3,436	2,456	466	440	8	5	580	526	47	30	30
34 Marlborough	270	167	247	229	1,039	879	120	68	16	16	173	96	44	18	29
35 Milford	404	224	128	95	1,182	1,125	128	20	25	24	185	152	21	25	19
36 Nantucket	140	68	8	6	298	275	31	15	0	0	9	13	0	4	3
37 Natick	222	151	79	56	996	785	105	77	6	6	71	49	14	11	3

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT--NONCRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1986-BY COURT

	Civil		Summary Process		Small Claims		Suppl. Process		Mental Health		Spousal Abuse		U.R.E.S.A		
Court	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Entered	Disposed	Initiated Locally	Received Out of State	Disposed
38 New Bedford	939	610	1,166	1,019	6974	3,032	234	113	75	75	402	118	55	46	87
39 Newburyport	300	160	95	92	672	480	110	53	12	12	73	73	9	13	18
40 Newton	603	367	91	74	1,508	1,175	184	120	24	24	53	51	14	12	10
41 Northampton	708	280	300	231	1,660	1,538	83	27	266	251	236	217	77	38	54
42 No. Berkshire	215	181	86	109	1,521	1,319	29	20	3	3	161	142	38	38	66
43 Orange	70	21	64	56	420	355	11	4	5	4	53	42	34	12	10
44 Orleans	398	271	87	91	1,570	792	124	41	49	45	104	80	30	25	47
45 Palmer	148	68	35	24	781	688	76	13	85	85	154	49	57	39	7
46 Peabody	475	187	95	80	1,175	500	150	85	25	25	162	162	16	11	0
47 Pittsfield	665	591	231	139	1,827	1,307	108	69	22	15	250	127	77	41	23
48 Plymouth	695	346	274	254	2,550	2,579	319	168	72	72	291	201	55	32	30
49 Quincy	2,672	1,313	674	638	5,287	3,618	771	237	183	180	750	748	86	58	131
50 Roxbury	134	59	382	205	1,103	1,050	414	346	27	27	537	537	38	70	104
51 Salem	1,433	5,472	372	315	2,693	2,693	326	326	170	170	441	441	27	13	10
52 Somerville	1,098	566	622	489	3,211	1,996	410	115	26	10	313	225	32	12	33
53 South Boston	97	20	144	144	884	600	155	30	7	7	303	263	8	11	16
54 So. Berkshire	195	112	56	53	751	499	19	5	5	5	68	34	16	25	14
55 Spencer	126	67	61	55	649	639	44	33	3	3	126	126	34	4	21
56 Springfield	2,747	6,948	89	112	6,342	5,336	414	76	531	512	992	992	154	147	177
57 Stoughton	867	575	103	78	1,318	1,492	196	89	49	48	84	86	17	10	21
58 Taunton	605	384	417	303	2,243	1,647	256	115	145	132	301	301	45	47	117
59 Uxbridge	105	68	52	58	518	502	65	110	1	1	137	137	13	34	7
60 Waltham	1,557	-724	185	137	2,832	2,124	464	196	211	211	177	82	27	23	4
61 Ware	46	29	54	26	313	125	10	1	5	5	109	84	9	11	8
62 Wareham	445	392	194	147	1,616	2,112	197	332	32	32	181	131	25	36	73
63 Westborough	439	390	79	73	1,138	532	133	48	78	60	99	87	32	27	38
64 Westfield	386	225	63	61	1,015	719	66	9	24	23	139	140	21	29	37
65 West Roxbury	247	82	460	110	1,430	1,065	447	119	239	230	583	583	43	34	51
66 Winchendon	95	57	23	15	235	170	24	6	5	5	42	27	9	2	4
67 Woburn	1512	666	267	169	4321	2992	529	930	43	43	338	238	35	31	143
68 Worcester	3,076	1,971	545	545	5,207	4,508	466	268	96	177	326	326	113	102	135
69 Wrentham	399	240	136	53	1,760	1,415	203	50	1	1	227	193	20	27	34
TOTALS	52,076	40,928	19,773	17,233	136,811	104,859	15,106	8,468	4,409	4,067	18,236	15,322	2,642	2,545	3,042

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

CIVIL CASEFLOW TRENDS



CIVIL ENTRIES FISCAL YEAR 1986

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary Report of Juvenile Business

						Change FY'85 - FY'86	
Juvenile Delinquency	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	#	%
Motor Vehicle Complaints	7,705	8,286	6,298	7,325	8,785	1,460	19.93
Total Juvenile Complaints	36,254	31,712	27,296	30,834	32,011	1,177	3.82
Complaints Disposed Of	28,603	25,968	22,268	20,065	21,220	1,155	5.76
Children In Need Of Services							
Applications	2,847	2,849	2,974	3,099	3,685	586	18.91
Petitions Issued	1,760	1,842	1,855	1,935	2,496	561	28.99
Petitions Disposed Of	2,004	1,859	1,961	2,078	2,145	67	3.22
Care and Protection							
Petitions Received	894	934	1,027	993	1,074	81	8.16
Petitions Disposed Of	668	515	555	575	577	2	0.35

DISTRICT COURT--JUVENILE FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1986--BY COURT

	JUVENILE									C.H.I.N.S			CARE & PROTECTION	
	Motor Vehicle Offenses	Break. & Enter	Larceny & Fraud	Disturb & Disord.	Assault	Narcotics	All Other Offenses	Total Complts. Entered	Total Complts. Disposed	Applic. Received	Petitions Issued	Petitions Disposed	Petitions Received	Petitions Disposed
1 Amesbury	55	15	37	8	13	1	40	169	94	27	25	25	1	0
2 Attleboro							BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT							
3 Ayer	124	78	75	12	30	21	159	499	571	38	11	33	7	6
4 Barnstable	297	196	235	14	79	28	106	955	941	144	144	107	29	23
5 Brighton	44	13	57	4	26	5	21	170	147	0	0	0	0	0
6 Brockton	280	99	247	75	137	39	373	1,250	956	133	120	82	72	80
7 Brookline	11	16	44	2	20	1	14	108	18	29	29	29	6	8
8 Cambridge	155	72	154	39	180	16	128	744	593	130	128	123	27	16
9 Charlestown	74	22	29	31	29	19	37	241	197	0	0	0	0	0
10 Chelsea	178	42	150	73	56	37	137	673	146	0	0	0	0	0
11 Chicopee	175	55	95	11	31	21	165	553	298	240	240	29	60	10
12 Clinton	105	72	27	11	7	21	89	332	474	43	6	43	0	0
13 Concord	163	86	116	22	25	6	135	553	528	18	18	8	3	9
14 Dedham	140	41	76	5	6	16	106	390	219	32	18	41	7	6
15 Dorchester	173	147	292	53	422	93	248	1,428	1,380	0	0	0	0	0
16 Dudley	208	68	124	18	27	8	150	603	458	61	43	62	8	8
17 East Boston	85	21	31	6	27	42	44	256	242	0	0	0	0	0
18 Edgartown	72	8	21	1	5	2	24	133	52	N/A	5	5	2	1
19 Fall River							BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT							
20 Fitchburg	97	42	74	6	50	7	90	366	178	57	23	74	12	1
21 Framingham	170	120	210	32	42	17	217	808	452	55	22	26	21	8
22 Gardner	28	20	27	7	13	3	23	121	140	34	27	30	11	10
23 Gloucester	45	42	33	40	22	28	63	273	102	47	7	25	7	2
24 Greenfield	119	71	145	12	34	14	120	515	420	55	54	51	11	14
25 Haverhill	62	19	58	22	35	12	76	284	143	34	11	8	62	3
26 Hingham	135	143	144	30	29	23	264	768	685	N/A	15	23	18	3
27 Holyoke	47	104	186	31	53	14	95	530	417	179	58	74	33	16
28 Ipswich	18	13	10	3	2	3	9	58	26	1	0	0	0	0
29 Lawrence	322	284	391	93	109	31	380	1,610	270	134	97	33	113	39
30 Leominster	93	23	61	13	18	5	22	235	247	48	2	35	11	7
31 Lowell	203	147	198	47	85	59	150	889	280	205	123	70	55	18
32 Lynn	285	183	232	39	144	57	269	1,209	475	258	39	92	52	7
33 Malden	222	107	163	34	85	67	135	813	487	76	53	55	27	19
34 Marlborough	73	33	35	20	13	8	51	233	240	70	26	58	16	17
35 Milford	227	42	106	34	18	23	106	556	384	39	10	58	7	5
36 Nantucket	5	1	2	0	0	4	7	19	11	0	0	0	0	0
37 Natick	77	30	68	8	13	8	52	256	2	33	31	13	1	1

DISTRICT COURT--JUVENILE FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS--FISCAL 1986--BY COURT

	JUVENILE									C.H.I.N.S			CARE & PROTECTION	
	Motor Vehicle Offenses	Break. & Enter	Larceny & Fraud	Disturb & Discrd.	Assault	Narcotics	All Other Offenses	Total Complts. Entered	Total Complts. Disposed	Applic. Received	Petitions Issued	Petitions Disposed	Petitions Received	Petitions Disposed
38 New Bedford							BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT							
39 Newburyport	60	26	28	11	1	17	47	190	177	129	129	23	7	6
40 Newton	27	34	43	4	36	0	100	244	93	34	34	10	2	1
41 No. Berkshire	87	27	66	16	13	15	120	344	243	63	53	51	18	11
42 Northampton	129	96	160	37	93	10	106	631	357	54	31	91	30	23
43 Orange	101	72	150	10	23	5	84	445	385	21	18	10	25	3
44 Orleans	91	25	43	4	14	24	68	269	170	41	38	36	6	[illegible]
45 Palmer	122	38	71	12	15	23	130	411	156	50	50	24	14	[illegible]
46 Peabody	42	10	20	15	10	15	72	184	125	57	57	22	7	7
47 Pittsfield	74	79	139	43	72	12	99	518	495	83	68	58	30	13
48 Plymouth	172	150	113	14	42	29	158	678	307	73	70	10	17	[illegible]
49 Quincy	228	138	248	[illegible]	127	33	237	1,060	577	139	131	99	101	56
50 Roxbury	32	43	100	22	174	71	311	813	478	0	0	0	0	0
51 Salem	1449	37	104	75	58	25	130	1,878	1,759	25	25	16	21	3
52 So. Berkshire	84	34	135	23	17	11	56	363	198	29	28	14	1	0
53 So. Boston	23	12	54	18	41	6	41	135	157	0	0	0	0	0
54 Somerville	111	37	138	9	45	30	111	481	139	169	66	15	22	5
55 Spencer	54	25	40	5	14	5	31	174	114	62	49	32	4	2
56 Springfield							SPRINGFIELD JUVENILE COURT							
57 Stoughton	72	28	49	6	29	6	34	224	230	58	14	56	9	5
58 Taunton							BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT							
59 Uxbridge	122	52	54	12	8	3	62	317	264	50	50	45	6	6
60 Waltham	107	97	132	14	27	23	74	474	483	50	50	43	8	6
61 Ware	[illegible]	27	32	6	9	3	18	130	116	14	6	7	7	3
62 Wareham	130	79	109	39	42	8	126	533	595	42	20	41	13	7
63 West Roxbury	135	104	109	184	199	47	119	897	164	0	0	0	0	0
64 Westborough	133	50	56	22	44	17	92	414	305	38	23	13	3	3
65 Westfield	107	41	79	6	13	7	49	302	223	55	24	25	18	9
66 Winchendon	56	12	29	3	16	1	39	157	78	10	16	13	2	1
67 Woburn	207	82	121	7	40	20	146	623	374	67	43	26	16	11
~~68 Worcester~~							WORCESTER JUVENILE COURT							
69 Wrentham	168	57	64	13	20	3	131	462	190	44	2	41	8	8
TOTALS	8,785	3,988	6,444	1.535	3,127	1,234	6.898	32,011	21,220	3,685	2,436	2,145	1,074	[illegible]

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT





DISTRICT COURT--APPELLATE DIVISION STATISTICS--Fiscal 1986

	Southern District	Northern District	Western District
Appeals Received	24	20	13
Proceedings on Appeals			
On Merits	23	11	17
On Petitions to Establish a Report	1	7	2
Other	2	0	4
Future Proceedings on Appeal	26	18	23
Dispositions of Appeals			
Report Dismissed	14	7	5
New Trial Ordered	2	4	3
Finding Reversed	7	6	1
Petition Allowed	1	2	1
Petition Denied/Dismissed	0	5	1
Other	2	2	4
TOTAL Appeals Disposed of	26	26	15
Average Duration of Appeals (days)			
Trial Court Judgment to Appellate Division Entry	145	137	223
Appellate Division Entry to Disposition	177	140	163
Motions			
Motions to Consolidate	1	5	3
Other Motions	0	3	5
Total Motions Received	0	8	8
Proceedings on Motions	1	2	8
Motions Disposed	1	8	8

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

APPELLATE DIVISION—FISCAL YEAR 1986



APPELLATE DIVISION

FISCAL COMPARISONS—1983 THROUGH 1986



Housing

Court

Department

Housing Court Department

Four categories of caseload data are included for three divisions of the Housing Court Department. During Fiscal Year 1986 (December 1, 1985), Worcester, the third division of the Housing Court, began operation. The section contains a data table and graphs which present criminal, summary process, small claims, and civil cases entered in the department during Fiscal Year 1986.

The department received a total of 20,031 new entries in four case categories in Fiscal Year 1986, up 11.9 percent from last year. Fifty-nine percent of these entries were in the Boston Division.

Fiscal Year 1986 total entries are comprised of the following:

- 43% summary process cases
- 36% criminal cases
- 12% civil cases
- 9% small claims cases

Increased entries were reported in three of the four categories in Fiscal Year 1986. Criminal cases (10.4 percent); Summary Process cases (19.2 percent); and Civil cases (14.9 percent). Small Claims cases decreased (11.3 percent) as a result of a significant decline in the Hampden Division.

Overall, Boston Division entries increased from the Fiscal Year 1985 volume by 339 to a total of 11,848 entries, while the Hampden County Division entries increased by 86 to a total of 6,468 entries.

HOUSING COURT DEPARTMENT
Comparison of Entries by Fiscal Year

						Change FY'85 - FY'86	
Housing Court Department	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	#	%
Criminal Cases	5,279	7,838	5,808	6,600	7,286	686	10.4
Summary Process Cases	5,767	5,720	6,452	7,163	8,535	1,372	19.2
Small Claims Cases	2,113	2,301	1,855	2,034	1,804	-230	-11.3
Civil Cases	1,797	1,749	1,904	2,094	2,406	312	14.9
TOTAL New Entries	14,956	17,608	16,019	17,891	20,031	2,140	11.9
Boston Division							
Criminal Cases	3,696	4,571	4,057	4,914	4,965	51	1.0
Summary Process Cases	3,426	3,506	4,035	4,351	4,460	109	2.5
Small Claims Cases	367	426	422	374	393	19	5.1
Civil Cases	1,612	1,564	1,714	1,870	2,030	160	8.6
TOTAL New Entries	9,101	10,013	10,228	11,509	11,848	339	2.9
Hampden Division							
Criminal Cases	1,583	3,321	1,751	1,686	1,876	190	11.3
Summary Process Cases	2,341	2,214	2,417	2,812	3,314	502	17.9
Small Claims Cases	1,746	1,875	1,433	1,660	1,042	-618	-37.2
Civil Cases	185	185	190	224	236	12	5.4
TOTAL New Entries	5,855	7,595	5,791	6,382	6,468	86	1.3
Worcester Division							
Criminal Cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	445	NA	NA
Summary Process Cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	761	NA	NA
Small Claims Cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	369	NA	NA
Civil Cases	NA	NA	NA	NA	140	NA	NA
TOTAL NEW ENTRIES	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,715	NA	NA

*Worcester Division began operation on December 1, 1985.

HOUSING COURT DEPARTMENT

TREND IN ENTRIES FISCAL YEAR 1982–1986



FY'86 DEPARTMENTAL ENTRIES

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



Juvenile

Court

Department

Juvenile Court Department

The Juvenile Court Department is composed of four divisions which have juvenile jurisdiction in four geographic areas: the cities of Boston, Springfield, and Worcester and the County of Bristol. The department collects and reports data on five casetypes, a count of judicial determinations, and Juvenile Court Department jury-of-six activity for the fiscal year.

Juvenile Caseload

Within the five casetypes there has been considerable fluctuation in the number of filings in each of the past five fiscal years. In Fiscal Year 1985, increases were reported for four of five casetypes.

Juvenile delinquency complaints, 6,492 in Fiscal Year 1986, decreased 6.6 percent after an increase of 11.4 percent the previous fiscal year, while the volume in the remaining casetypes present no clear trend.

Children in Need of Service (CHINS) filings, 2,125 in Fiscal Year 1986, rose to its highest figure of the past five fiscal years, showing a steady increase over this five-year period.

In Fiscal Year 1986, 622 care and protection petitions were filed, representing 975 children. In Fiscal Year 1984, the comparable figures were 490 petitions involving 798 children.

Filings for contributing to the delinquency of a minor has quadrupled since Fiscal Year 1982. Ninety-six filings were reported for Fiscal Year 1986, a 26.2 percent decrease from Fiscal Year 1985.

Jury-of-Six Caseload

Jury-of-Six caseload data is reported for three divisions of the department.Bristol Division cases are heard and reported by the New Bedford Division of the District Court Department.

The department began the fiscal year with 108 jury requests actively pending before the court. During the year, an additional 321 jury requests were received. Eighty-two percent of these requests were for a jury on appeal de novo. The remaining were first instance requests.

Thirty-seven appeals were withdrawn during Fiscal Year 1986. This amounted to 14 percent of all de novo appeal requests filed and 11.5 percent of total requests filed.

The department disposed of 298 requests during the year.

The disposition categories are:

- 58.7% by Guilty Plea/Admission of Guilt
- 8.7% by Jury Trial
- 15.1% by Jury-Waived Trial
- 17.4% by Other Means of Disposition

Throughput for Fiscal Year 1986 was 92.8 percent.

At the end of the fiscal year, there were 107 cases actively pending, a decrease of one case from the beginning of the fiscal year. Of the 107 active requests pending, 39.3 percent had been pending for less than 60 days.

JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
CASES INITIATED-FISCAL YEAR 1985

JUVENILE CASE TYPE	BOSTON		BRISTOL		SPRINGFIELD		WORCESTER		DEPARTMENT
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	TOTALS
DELINQUENTS	1478	22.8%	3172	48.9%	1107	17.1%	735	11.3%	6492
CRIMINAL (ADULT)	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
ADULTS CONTRIBUTING TO DELINQUENCY OF MINOR	75	78.1%	10	10.4%	0	0.0%	11	11.5%	96
CHILDREN IN NEED OF SERVICES (CHINS)	1067	50.2%	439	20.7%	290	13.6%	329	15.5%	2125
CARE AND PROTECTION	249	40.0%	157	25.2%	161	25.9%	55	8.8%	622
CHILDREN REPRESENTED	428	43.9%	193	19.8%	268	27.5%	86	8.8%	975
*JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS	28710		20524		10251		7536		67021

*Judicial Determinations include all matters concerning all cases that are brought before the court. These include arraignments, hearings, findings and dispositions, all orders and all changes in custody.

DELINQUENCY COMPLAINTS FILED
JUVENILE COURT COMPARISONS—FY '86



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
CASES INITIATED-FISCAL YEAR 1982 THROUGH 1986

JUVENILE CASE TYPE	FY '82	FY '83	FY '84	FY '85	FY '86	CHG FY'85-FY'86 #	CHG FY'85-FY'86 %
DELINQUENTS	7169	7151	6242	6954	6492	-462	-6.6%
CRIMINAL (ADULT)	15	38	9	8	11	3	37.5%
ADULTS CONTRIBUTING TO DELINQUENCY OF MINOR	26	46	95	130	96	-34	-26.2%
CHILDREN IN NEED OF SERVICES (CHINS)	1473	1728	1698	2110	2125	15	0.7%
CARE AND PROTECTION	296	552	490	538	622	84	15.6%
CHILDREN REPRESENTED	453	980	798	922	975	53	5.7%
*JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS	64653	60637	52869	66632	67021	389	0.6%

*Judicial Determinations include all matters concerning all cases that are brought before the court. These include arraignments, hearings, findings and dispositions, all orders and all changes in custody.



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
JURY OF SIX CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

DIVISIONS	START PEND	JURY REQUESTS	APPEALS RECEIVED	APPEALS WITHDRAWN	DIS-POSED	DEFAULT DIFFERENCE	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU-PUT
BOSTON	79	14	172	22	186	-17	74	-5	100.0%
SPRINGFIELD	24	10	48	9	49	3	21	-3	84.5%
WORCESTER	5	33	44	6	63	1	12	7	81.8%
DEPARTMENT	108	57	264	37	298	-13	107	-1	92.8%

*Bristol Division cases heard and reported by the New Bedford Court Division.



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
REQUESTS FOR JURY TRIAL RECEIVED
FISCAL YEAR 1986

DIVISIONS	1ST INSTANCE JURY REQUESTS #	%	DE NOVO APPEALS REC'D #	%	TOTAL REQUESTS
BOSTON	14	7.5%	172	92.5%	186
SPRINGFIELD	10	17.2%	48	82.8%	58
WORCESTER	33	42.9%	44	57.1%	77
DEPARTMENT	57	17.8%	264	82.2%	321



JUVENILE COURT JURY TRIAL REQUESTS

JULY 1,1985 THROUGH JUNE 30,1988

JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

	PLEA/ ADMISSIONS		JURY TRIAL		BENCH TRIAL		OTHER		TOTAL
DIVISIONS	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
BOSTON	95	51.1%	14	7.5%	36	19.4%	41	22.0%	186
SPRINGFIELD	28	57.1%	4	8.2%	9	18.4%	8	16.3%	49
WORCESTER	52	82.5%	8	12.7%	0	0.0%	3	4.8%	63
DEPARTMENT	175	58.7%	26	8.7%	45	15.1%	52	17.4%	298

JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITION BREAKDOWN
FISCAL YEAR 1986



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
AGE OF PENDING CASELOAD
AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

	0-60 DAYS		OVER 60 DAYS		
DIVISIONS	#	%	#	%	TOTAL
BOSTON	25	33.8%	49	66.2%	74
SPRINGFIELD	7	33.3%	14	66.7%	21
WORCESTER	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12
DEPARTMENT	42	39.3%	65	60.7%	107



Land Court Department

Land Court Department

The Land Court Department reports caseload data in four categories. In addition, the department presents a count of the number of decree and subdivision plans drawn up by its Engineering Department as well as a general report of the department's cost to the Commonwealth.

Caseload

The Land Court Department began Fiscal Year 1986 with 23,748 cases awaiting action by the court. During the year, an additional 9,631 cases were entered bringing the total caseload available for action by the court to 33,379 cases.

Case entries increased by 5.8 percent in Fiscal Year 1986 relative to Fiscal Year 1985. While entries in the land registration, subsequent category have increased in recent years, the opposite trend is indicated for land registration/confirmation. For the first time in four years, equity/miscellaneous entries showed an increase of 8.4 percent in Fiscal Year 1986. For the past two years, tax lien entries have decreased.

The Department disposed of 8,367 cases in Fiscal Year 1986, a 4 percent decrease over last year. This disposition level gives the department an annual throughput rate of 87 dispositions per 100 cases entered.

Calculating this throughput ratio for each of the individual casetypes, it is clear that the department disposes cases with a high degree of efficiency. However, the end pending caseload has steadily increased since 1982, while the entries and dispositions show no clear trend. The pending caseload increased by only 5.3 percent during Fiscal Year 1986.

Plans

In recent years, there has been a shift in the workload of the Land Court Department's Engineering staff from decree plans to subdivision plans. The number of decree plans required of the department has decreased in the past several years, while subdivision plans decreased for the first time in three years. As a result, total plan production for Fiscal Year 1986 decreased by 366 plans over last fiscal year.

LAND COURT DEPARTMENT

Report on Court Statistics for the Period July 1, 1985 through June 30, 1986

	Cases Pending 7/1/85	Cases Entered During FY'86	Total Yearly Caseload	Cases Disposed of During FY'86	Cases Pending on 6/30/85	Change in Pending Caseload	Ratio of Dispositions to Cases Entered
Land Reg./Conf.	1,184	172	1,356	* 173	1,183	-1	101%
Land Reg., Sub.	288	3,303	3,591	3,269	322	34	91%
Tax Liens	9,593	2,878	12,471	**3,363	9,108	-485	117%
Equity & Miscellaneous	12,683	3,278	15,961	***1,562	****14,399	1,716	48%
TOTAL	23,748	9,631	33,379	8,367	25,012	1,264	87%

	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	Change FY'85 - FY'86
Decree Plans Made	297	222	201	179	144	-35
Subdivision Plans Made	602	582	746	859	528	-331
Total Plans Made	899	804	947	1,038	672	-366

	FY'86
Total Appropriation	$1,955,197.00
Total Expenditures:	1,744,495.00
Less: Fees Sent State Treasurer	579,470.00
Income from Assurance Fund Applicable to Expenses	31,250.85
Net Cost to Commonwealth	1,133,774.15
Assurance Fund Balance, June 30, 1986	403,385.29
Assessed Value of Land on Petitions in Land Registration Cases Entered during Fiscal Year 1986	$14,749,140.00

---

*Includes 11 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

**Includes 5 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

***Includes 326 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

****Includes 960 miscellaneous cases marked inactive to be dismissed in Fiscal Year 1987.

LAND COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Caseload Analysis
(Fiscal Years)

Entries	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	Change FY'85 - FY'86 #	Change FY'85 - FY'86 %
Land Registration & Confirmation	280	246	213	217	172	-45	-20.7
Land Registration, Subsequent	1,840	2,067	2,542	2,789	3,303	514	18.4
Tax Liens	2,696	3,531	4,027	3,070	2,878	-192	-6.3
Equity & Miscellaneous	4,017	3,334	3,136	3,025	3,278	253	8.4
TOTAL	8,833	9,178	9,918	9,101	9,631	530	5.8
Dispositions							
Land Registration & Confirmation	263	283	279	181	173*	-8	-4.4
Land Registration, Subsequent	1,810	2,047	2,470	2,700	3,269	569	21.1
Tax Liens	2,327	2,332	2,311	3,079	3,363**	284	9.2
Equity & Miscellaneous	2,618	2,680	3,177	2,756	1,562***	-1,194	-43.3
TOTAL	7,018	7,342	8,237	8,716	8,367	-349	-4.0
End Pending							
Land Registration & Confirmation	1,251	1,214	1,148	1,184	1,183	-1	-0.1
Land Registration, Subsequent	107	127	199	288	322	34	11.8
Tax Liens	6,687	7,996	9,602	9,593	9,108	-485	-5.1
Equity & Miscellaneous	11,801	12,455	12,414	12,683	14,399****	1,716	13.5
TOTAL	19,846	21,682	23,363	23,748	25,012	1,264	5.3

*Includes 11 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

**Includes 5 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

***Includes 326 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

****Includes 960 miscellaneous cases marked inactive to be dismissed in Fiscal Year 1987.

LAND COURT DEPARTMENT

CASELOAD TRENDS FISCAL YEAR 1982–1986



FY'86 CASE ENTRIES

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



Probate

and

Family

Court

Department

Probate and Family Court Department

The Probate and Family Court Department reports detailed case data on 20 separate categories of case filings and nine categories of dispositions. The department also presents information on support collections and fees and charges assessed during the year.

Filings

In Fiscal Year 1986, a total of 116,283 original entries, including all partitions, accounts, complaints, were filed in the Probate and Family Court Department. This is a decrease of 2,177 filings or 1.8 percent from Fiscal Year 1985 filings.

Forty-nine percent (57,195) of the filings in Fiscal Year 1986 were probate-related matters. Total probate matters filed were down by 1 percent over last year.

Probate cases are reported in eight general categories. Decreased filings were reported in seven categories:

- Administrations - down 2.3 percent over Fiscal Year 1985.
- Wills - down 2.4 percent over Fiscal Year 1985, this casetype comprises 27 percent of all probate matters filed in Fiscal Year 1986.
- Trusteeships - down 1.8 percent over Fiscal Year 1985.
- Guardianships - down 5.1 percent over Fiscal Year 1985.
- Conservatorships - down 14.4 percent over Fiscal Year 1985.
- Partitions - down 9.8 percent over Fiscal Year 1985.
- Real Estate Sales - down 7.5 perent over Fiscal Year 1985.

Divorces filed in Fiscal Year 1986 totalled 23,201, down 2.2 percent or 519 filings from last year. Divorce filings accounted for 20 percent of total filings, a proportion roughly consistent with past years.

The remaining 31 percent of the department's Fiscal Year 1986 filings is composed of various smaller volume casetypes. There are two points to note in this grouping.

- Separate Support and Maintenance filings continued the trend of the past five years and decreased by 14.8 percent over last year.

- Chapter 209A Petitions (abuse prevention) increased by 7.8 percent over last year.

Eight divisions recorded increased filings, and six recorded decreases relative to last year. The largest changes in the volume of filings occurred in Hampden (-35.3 percent), Barnstable (-26.2 percent), and Suffolk (25.0 percent) Divisions.

Dispositions

A total of 159,805 matters were disposed of, down 1.6 percent from last year. The contested matters represent 28.8 percent of the total disposed, while the uncontested matters represent 71.2 percent.

Disposed contested matters for Fiscal Year 1986 increased by 1.9 percent over Fiscal Year 1985.

Disposed contested matters break down as follows:

- 43.3% Motions
- 32.7% Contempts and contempts continued
- 8.6% Divorce
- 15.4% All other casetypes

Disposed uncontested matters for Fiscal Year 1986 decreased by 3 percent over Fiscal Year 1985.

Disposed uncontested matters break down as follows:

- 44.9% Probate
- 30.8% Motions
- 14.6% Divorces
- 9.7% All other casetypes

The department held 6,403 pretrials and settled 2,477 or 38.68 percent during Fiscal Year 1986. Bristol, Dukes, and Hampshire Divisions had a settlement rate of 50 percent or greater.

Support Collections

The 12 Family Service Offices collected a total of $62,795,048 in Fiscal Year 1986. This is an increase of $10,415,209 over last year's collection level, a single year increase of 20 percent. Eighty-two percent of these support collections went directly to litigants, while the remainder was sent to the Massachusetts Department of Public Welfare.

Increases in support monies collected were recorded in all 12 Family Service Office collection areas. The largest relative increase in monies collected was recorded for the Franklin Division, which reported an increase of 90 percent in total monies collected over Fiscal Year 1985.

Fees Collected

The department collected $4,061,129 in fees. This is a 5 percent decrease of fees collected in Fiscal Year 1985. Fees collected break down as follows:

- 45.0% Probate and Fiduciary Filing Fees
- 27.8% Domestic Relations Filing Fees
- 17.0% Certificate Copies and Other Fees
- 6.6% Surcharges
- 3.6% Equity and Other Filing Fees

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1986

	Barn.	Berk.	Bristol	Dukes	Essex	Franklin	Hampden
ORIGINAL ENTRIES:							
All complaints, petitions, and accounts filed	3,753	2,768	7,951	432	12,008	1,829	5,902
PROBATE MATTERS:							
Administrations filed	424	261	808	32	619	73	800
Administrations allowed	407	249	766	20	589	90	806
Wills filed	418	491	1,331	123	1,702	212	911
Wills allowed	398	353	789	81	1,343	181	746
Trusteeships filed	44	21	29	5	122	11	15
Trusteeships allowed	45	8	26	5	106	10	25
Guard. (minors) filed	54	39	181	5	167	30	180
Guard. (minors) allowed	50	7	126	3	128	29	132
Guard. (men. ill) filed	84	34	198	3	282	18	99
Guard. (men. ill) allowed	96	14	128	1	185	16	82
Guard. (men. ret.) filed	7	16	69	2	108	3	26
Guard. (men. ret.) allowed	8	2	36	2	81	3	42
Conservatorships filed	47	35	67	7	171	10	115
Conservatorships allowed	36	31	40	7	124	10	91
Acts. & Dist. filed	979	704	901	76	2,337	228	1,499
Accts. & Dist. allowed	656	538	663	78	2,076	227	1,372
Partitions filed	25	8	14	8	24	1	1
Partitions mallowed	12	7	12	2	1	1	0
Real Estate Sales filed	222	52	291	14	355	57	161
Real Estate Sales allowed	208	42	278	14	390	57	183
EQUITABLE RELIEF:							
Complaints filed	66	20	168	4	160	12	13
Prelim. Injunctions issued	8	2	29	0	9	0	0
Temp. Res. Orders issued	17	2	59	0	31	0	5
Default Judgments	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Final Jdgmts. after Hrng.	45	2	20	5	59	20	41

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1986

Hamp.	Midd.	Nant.	Norf.	Plym.	Suff.	Worc.	Totals
1,821	24,742	286	13,180	6,768	13,131	21,712	116,283
231	2,305	26	1,447	600	1,547	1,172	10,345
222	1,082	27	1,241	512	891	1,122	8,024
335	3,058	60	1,643	1,359	1,551	2,326	15,520
231	3,174	61	1,545	701	968	1,506	12,077
6	217	6	183	12	144	46	861
7	173	6	158	25	94	74	762
42	172	3	156	161	281	171	1,642
29	388	2	126	83	292	107	1,502
74	238	14	526	218	281	116	2,185
59	345	10	370	98	125	359	1,888
19	52	0	214	54	10	30	610
24	231	0	119	25	45	62	680
20	332	1	320	92	106	82	1,405
13	182	1	203	41	113	139	1,031
395	5,568	75	2,732	1,066	2,696	2,248	21,504
384	3,870	77	1,882	875	2,079	1,458	16,235
4	4	4	29	26	12	14	174
5	0	0	13	1	14	10	78
71	702	11	190	121	369	333	2,949
81	860	9	85	214	331	375	3,127
16	301	13	159	115	137	128	1,312
3	64	1	16	16	3	25	176
2	85	2	40	44	21	14	322
0	0	0	4	1	0	5	12
4	67	6	83	48	97	74	571

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1986

	Barn.	Berk.	Bristol	Dukes	Essex	Franklin	Hampden
SEPARATE SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE							
Complaints filed	52	8	195	1	118	3	5
Sep. Sup. Comp. allowed	3	1	96	0	0	3	11
Sep. Sup. Comp. dismissed	1	1	80	6	69	0	0
Temp. Ord. of Sup. allowed	14	2	112	0	229	2	0
Mod. Judgments entered	4	0	0	0	15	0	2
Contempt Complaints filed	6	0	14	0	29	0	1
DESERTIONS AND LIVING APART							
Filed	0	5	20	0	0	0	0
Allowed	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
CUSTODY OF MINORS							
Petitions filed	41	10	24	1	80	6	0
Petitions allowed	36	3	1	0	9	4	0
Ch.119, s.23C Ptns. filed	10	2	2	0	32	10	0
Ch.119, s.23C Ptns. allowed	3	0	0	0	10	8	0
DIVORCE							
Original entries	737	615	1,964	67	2,431	418	1,679
Decrees Nisi	553	671	2,039	49	2,366	415	1,960
Complaints dismissed	36	4	120	6	91	40	3
Dismissals under Rule 408	101	77	183	7	349	30	144
Divorce Complaints pending (at the end of FY'85)	147	609	1,537	85	0	305	2,710
Temp. orders of sup. allowed	234	47	673	17	1,484	309	3,642
Mod. Judgments entered	83	104	259	7	192	131	776
IR. Brkdwn. 209, s.1A filed	242	155	304	26	616	248	580
IR. Brkdwn. 209, s.1A jds.en.	294	97	610	35	790	105	538
IR. Brkdwn. 208, s.1B filed	148	30	1,235	11	1,133	5	104
IR. Brkdwn. 208, s.1B jds.en.	116	5	58	6	731	3	107
Contempt Complaints filed	452	121	1,111	26	1,277	71	806
Wage Assignments ordered	148	609	1,326	16	178	247	839
ADOPTIONS	99	53	169	5	284	33	169
210 SECTION 3							
Term. Petitions filed	7	7	60	0	78	5	53
Term. Petitions allowed	6	1	26	0	74	3	70
Term. Ptns. denied/dismissed	1	0	3	0	0	1	0
CHAPTER 209A PETITIONS							
Filed	263	23	63	10	65	123	448
Allowed	340	23	61	9	61	118	417
ELDER ABUSE PROTECTION							
Petitions filed	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Petitions allowed	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1986

Hamp.	Midd.	Nant.	Norf.	Plym.	Suff.	Worc.	Totals
12	180	0	100	92	97	98	961
3	4	0	8	4	25	17	175
2	12	0	111	91	13	3	389
1	20	0	91	17	7	29	524
0	0	0	1	0	162	6	190
0	1	0	17	50	62	27	207
0	0	0	40	3	0	0	68
0	0	0	10	0	0	0	11
10	75	1	5	90	0	19	362
0	23	1	2	6	0	15	100
3	41	1	3	4	50	66	224
1	25	0	16	0	88	12	163
523	4,733	36	2,559	1,848	2,325	3,203	23,201
532	4,461	34	2,745	1,676	1,767	2,585	21,853
176	474	0	293	76	92	238	1,649
47	497	1	226	206	137	373	2,378
447	2,713	31	1,671	1,361	617	2,423	14,656
574	2,228	8	1,231	373	406	2,953	14,179
122	540	8	294	235	161	537	3,449
143	1,248	7	688	427	446	355	5,485
215	2,185	19	860	773	493	778	7,792
95	1,431	13	413	503	447	627	6,195
68	1,003	7	348	324	22	602	3,400
195	2,444	11	1,483	1,091	1,153	2,692	12,933
419	2,611	8	404	1,126	934	430	9,295
38	709	0	229	160	147	239	2,334
3	75	0	30	29	211	79	637
3	63	0	24	22	181	79	552
0	2	0	27	2	1	0	37
68	230	2	194	575	278	782	3,124
75	215	2	44	575	294	1,145	3,379
0	7	0	5	1	6	5	26
0	0	0	1	0	5	5	13

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Trend in Original Entries
All Complaints, Petitions, and Accounts Filed

						Change FY'85 - FY'86	
	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	#	%
Original Entries	118,012	117,636	113,292	118,460	116,283	-2,177	-1.8
Probate							
Administration	9,734	9,295	10,029	10,585	10,345	-240	-2.3
Wills	14,004	15,389	14,029	15,896	15,520	-376	-2.4
Trusteeships	824	886	861	877	861	-16	-1.8
Guardianships	3,329	3,976	3,804	4,677	4,437	-240	-5.1
Conservatorships	1,686	1,623	1,602	1,641	1,405	-236	-14.4
Accts. & Distrib.	27,159	22,020	23,617	20,967	21,504	537	2.6
Partitions	217	239	206	193	174	-19	-9.8
Real Estate Sales	2,665	3,124	3,322	3,188	2,949	-239	-7.5
Equitable Relief	1,073	1,241	1,148	1,305	1,312	7	0.5
Separate Support & Maintenance	1,786	1,500	1,378	1,128	961	-167	-14.8
Desertions & Living Apart	100	109	94	83	68	-15	-18.1
Custody of Minors	555	507	561	421	586	165	39.2
Divorce - Original Entries	25,048	25,556	24,156	23,720	23,201	-519	-2.2
Adoptions	2,692	2,982	2,755	2,428	2,334	-94	-3.9
210 Sect. 3 - Termination of Parental Rights Petitions	726	567	952	692	637	-55	-7.9
Chapter 209A Petitions (abuse prevention)	2,279	2,235	2,269	2,898	3,124	226	7.8
Elder Abuse Protection	---	---	11	17	26	9	52.9
All Other	26,547	26,387	22,498	27,744	26,839	-905	-3.3

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Trend in Original Entries
All Complaints, Petitions, and Accounts Filed

	FY'82	FY'83	FY'84	FY'85	FY'86	Change FY'85 - FY'86 #	Change FY'85 - FY'86 %
Barnstable	5,344	3,927	4,628	5,087	3,753	-1,334	-26.2
Berkshire	2,848	2,833	2,732	2,454	2,768	314	12.8
Bristol	8,986	7,858	8,164	8,024	7,951	-73	-0.9
Dukes	399	369	337	424	432	8	1.9
Essex	10,782	11,334	10,453	11,866	12,008	142	1.2
Franklin	1,524	1,681	1,702	1,534	1,829	295	19.2
Hampden	8,773	8,582	6,866	9,127	5,902	-3,225	35.3
Hampshire	2,268	2,700	2,972	1,884	1,821	-63	-3.3
Middlesex	21,435	25,238	26,346	24,483	24,742	259	1.1
Nantucket	265	296	331	304	286	-18	-5.9
Norfolk	14,242	14,317	14,515	14,635	13,180	-1,455	-9.9
Plymouth	6,067	6,399	5,489	6,646	6,768	122	1.8
Suffolk	15,110	13,185	10,702	10,501	13,131	2,630	25.0
Worcester	19,969	18,867	18,415	21,491	21,712	221	1.0
Department	118,012	117,636	113,292	118,460	116,283	-2,177	-1.8

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

PERCENT OF TOTAL ENTRIES FY'86



TREND IN ORIGINAL ENTRIES

FISCAL YEAR COMPARISONS 1982–1986



PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSED CONTESTED MATTERS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

	DIVORCES		SEPARATE SUPPORT		CONTEMPTS		MODIFICATIONS		EQUITY		ADOPTS/ 210'S		209A'S		PROBATE		MOTIONS		CONTEMPTS CONTINUED		
DIVISIONS	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	TOTAL
BAR	54	3.32%	2	0.12%	434	26.66%	28	1.72%	13	0.80%	7	0.43%	232	14.25%	22	1.35%	684	42.01%	152	9.34%	1628
BER	145	18.76%	1	0.13%	66	8.54%	65	8.41%	8	1.03%	8	1.03%	19	2.46%	62	8.02%	303	39.20%	96	12.42%	773
BRI	713	16.06%	105	2.37%	537	12.10%	179	4.03%	26	0.59%	19	0.43%	0	0.00%	31	0.70%	1765	39.76%	1064	23.97%	4439
DUK	22	12.72%	0	0.00%	15	8.67%	5	2.89%	5	2.89%	0	0.00%	3	1.73%	7	4.05%	80	46.24%	36	20.81%	173
ESS	264	11.81%	7	0.31%	192	8.59%	57	2.55%	45	2.01%	51	2.28%	6	0.27%	93	4.16%	1402	62.70%	119	5.32%	2236
FRA	55	7.85%	0	0.00%	26	3.71%	53	7.56%	5	0.71%	7	1.00%	68	9.70%	13	1.85%	316	45.08%	158	22.54%	701
HMD	353	8.43%	1	0.02%	242	5.78%	461	11.01%	24	0.57%	40	0.96%	417	9.96%	159	3.80%	1678	40.07%	813	19.41%	4188
HAM	40	3.39%	2	0.17%	110	9.32%	42	3.56%	3	0.25%	4	0.34%	84	7.12%	65	5.51%	331	28.05%	499	42.29%	1180
MID	677	6.80%	4	0.04%	1552	15.58%	224	2.25%	59	0.59%	40	0.40%	14	0.14%	762	7.65%	5371	53.91%	1259	12.64%	9962
NAN	12	9.38%	0	0.00%	19	14.84%	3	2.34%	3	2.34%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	10	7.81%	62	48.44%	19	14.84%	128
NOR	484	9.67%	20	0.40%	823	16.44%	172	3.44%	50	1.00%	35	0.70%	96	1.92%	529	10.57%	2320	46.35%	476	9.51%	5005
PLY	179	3.50%	13	0.25%	390	7.62%	94	1.84%	30	0.59%	14	0.27%	439	8.58%	88	1.72%	2394	46.79%	1475	28.83%	5116
SUF	140	2.39%	4	0.07%	952	16.23%	161	2.75%	54	0.92%	62	1.06%	49	0.84%	211	3.60%	1862	31.75%	2370	40.41%	5865
WOR	835	17.96%	8	0.17%	628	13.51%	332	7.14%	34	0.73%	64	1.38%	489	10.52%	378	8.13%	1369	29.44%	513	11.03%	4650
FY'86 TOTAL	3973	8.63%	167	0.36%	5986	13.00%	1876	4.07%	359	0.78%	351	0.76%	1916	4.16%	2430	5.28%	19937	43.30%	9049	19.65%	46044
FY'85 TOTAL	3976	8.80%	220	0.49%	6150	13.61%	2037	4.51%	443	0.98%	276	0.61%	1699	3.76%	2021	4.47%	20140	44.56%	8232	18.21%	45194
CHANGE																					
#	-3		-53		-164		-161		-84		75		217		409		-203		817		850
%	-0.1%		-24.1%		-2.7%		-7.9%		-19.0%		27.2%		12.8%		20.2%		-1.0%		9.9%		1.9%

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
BREAKDOWN OF DISPOSED UNCONTESTED MATTERS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

	DIVORCES		SEPARATE SUPPORT		CONTEMPTS		MODIFICATIONS		EQUITY		ADOPTS/ 210'S		209A'S		PROBATE		MOTIONS		
DIVISIONS	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	TOTAL
BAR	637	10.3%	12	0.2%	139	2.3%	60	1.0%	19	0.3%	93	1.6%	279	4.5%	2833	46.0%	2087	33.9%	6165
BER	439	20.1%	1	.0%	117	5.4%	49	2.2%	1	.0%	68	3.1%	13	0.6%	1199	55.0%	294	13.5%	2181
BRI	1326	14.5%	69	0.8%	375	4.1%	80	0.9%	35	0.4%	175	1.9%	63	0.7%	3003	32.8%	4016	43.9%	9142
DUK	43	8.2%	6	1.1%	7	1.3%	0	0.0%	10	1.9%	4	0.8%	6	1.1%	215	40.9%	235	44.7%	526
ESS	1200	11.4%	14	0.1%	297	2.8%	93	0.9%	26	0.2%	320	3.0%	27	0.3%	5860	55.8%	2674	25.4%	10511
FRA	360	17.4%	3	0.1%	45	2.2%	78	3.8%	15	0.7%	34	1.6%	50	2.4%	754	36.4%	733	35.4%	2072
HMD	1650	19.0%	10	0.1%	463	5.3%	315	3.6%	22	0.3%	174	2.0%	431	5.0%	3642	42.0%	1964	22.7%	8671
HAM	451	13.3%	0	0.0%	102	3.0%	92	2.7%	12	0.4%	34	1.0%	87	2.6%	1558	45.9%	1062	31.3%	3398
MID	3667	13.3%	56	0.2%	501	1.8%	283	1.0%	60	0.2%	257	0.9%	37	0.1%	13792	50.1%	8898	32.3%	27551
NAN	15	5.8%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	3	1.2%	4	1.5%	0	0.0%	2	0.8%	173	66.5%	61	23.5%	260
NOR	1390	12.4%	20	0.2%	630	5.6%	74	0.7%	33	0.3%	202	1.8%	127	1.1%	4986	44.3%	3787	33.7%	11249
PLY	1461	16.2%	83	0.9%	160	1.8%	164	1.8%	47	0.5%	185	2.1%	741	8.2%	2712	30.2%	3441	38.3%	8994
SUF	2220	18.5%	42	0.3%	0	0.0%	40	0.3%	32	0.3%	288	2.4%	341	2.8%	5322	44.3%	3726	31.0%	12011
WOR	1750	15.9%	9	0.1%	910	8.3%	205	1.9%	40	0.4%	325	2.9%	656	5.9%	5068	45.9%	2067	18.7%	11030
FY'86 TOTAL	16609	14.6%	326	0.3%	3747	3.3%	1536	1.4%	356	0.3%	2165	1.9%	2860	2.5%	51117	44.9%	35045	30.8%	113761
FY'85 TOTAL	16802	14.3%	474	0.4%	3994	3.4%	1523	1.3%	351	0.3%	2615-	2.2%	2413	2.1%	53364	45.5%	35702	30.5%	117238
CHANGE																			
#	-193		-148		-247		13		5		-450		447		-2247		-657		-3477
%	-1.1%		-31.2%		-6.2%		0.9%		1.4%		-17.2%		18.5%		-4.2%		-1.8%		-3.0%

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

TOTAL DISPOSED—FISCAL YEAR 1986



PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
PRE-TRIAL ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

DIVISIONS	PRE-TRIALS HELD	PRE-TRIALS SETTLED	% SETTLED
BARNSTABLE	277	107	38.63%
BERKSHIRE	154	71	46.10%
BRISTOL	1343	723	53.83%
DUKES	23	12	52.17%
ESSEX	431	158	36.66%
FRANKLIN	197	60	30.46%
HAMPDEN	404	148	36.63%
HAMPSHIRE	321	169	52.65%
MIDDLESEX	1160	457	39.40%
NANTUCKET	7	2	28.57%
NORFOLK	804	226	28.11%
PLYMOUTH	411	128	31.14%
SUFFOLK	689	174	25.25%
WORCESTER	182	42	23.08%
FY'86 TOTAL	6403	2477	38.68%



PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
FAMILY SERVICE OFFICE
Support Collection Figures
Fiscal Year 1986

By Division

Division	Litigants	Mass. DPW	Total	
			FY'86	FY'85
Barnstable	$ 2,499,753	$ 361,604	$2,861,357	$2,463,965
Berkshire	750,214	310,892	1,061,106	652,648
Bristol	5,858,597	569,618	6,428,215	5,224,229
Dukes	No Family Service Office			
Essex	6,111,288	1,104,283	7,215,571	6,003,252
Franklin	756,425	176,211	932,636	490,157
Hampden	1,856,250	563,707	2,419957	2,028,855
Hampshire	1,037,355	460,867	1,498,222	1,181,945
Middlesex	9,488,836	2,064,328	11,553,164	9,971,171
Nantucket	No Family Service Office			
Norfolk	7,534,855	1,117,978	8,652,833	7,720,730
Plymouth	6,251,861	1,217,360	7,469,221	6,076,872
Suffolk	3,784,184	1,048,076	4,832,260	4,258,873
Worcester	5,825,892	2,044,614	7,870,506	6,307,142
TOTAL:	$51,755,510	$11,039,538	$62,795,048	$52,379,839

An additional $103,968 was collected and sent to public assistance agencies in other states.

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Synopsis of Support Collections

Fiscal Year	Litigants	DPW	Total
1975	$ 1,723,844	$ 947,932	$ 2,671,776
1976	3,028,513	1,538,394	4,566,907
1977	5,499,738	2,251,928	7,751,666
1978	7,950,419	3,393,239	11,343,658
1979	9,731,651	4,162,038	13,893,689
1980	14,417,850	5,728,049	20,145,899
1981	17,574,858	7,645,849	25,220,707
1982	21,621,266	8,388,861	30,010,087
1983	26,444,032	7,231,473	33,675,105
1984	33,332,421	8,721,891	42,054,312
1985	43,199,798	9,180,071	52,379,869
1986	51,859,478	11,039,538	62,899,016
TOTAL:	$236,383,868	$70,229,263	$306,613,131


PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT
SYNOPSIS OF SUPPORT COLLECTIONS
DOLLARS COLLECTED (Millions)
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
2.6
4.5
7.7
11.3
13.6
20.1
25.2
30
33.6
42.1
52.3
62.8
FY'75
FY'76
FY'77
FY'78
FY'79
FY'80
FY'81
FY'82
FY'83
FY'84
FY'85
FY'86
FISCAL YEAR


SUPPORT COLLECTIONS BY COURT
COMPARISON OF FY'85 AND FY'86
DOLLARS COLLECTED (Millions)
12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
BAR
BER
BRI
DUK
ESS
FRA
HMD
HAM
MID
NAN
NOR
PLY
SUF
WOR
COURTS

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Fees Collected During Fiscal Year 1986

Division	Domestic Relations Filing Fees	Probate and Fiduciary Filing Fees	Equity and Other Filing Fees	Surcharges	Certificates Copies and Other Fees	Total
Barnstable	$ 38,275.00	$ 118,137.94	$ 3,411.00	$ 12,267.00	$ 47,827.72	$ 219,918.56
Berkshire	31,530.00	57.063.00	550.00	7,105.00	17,819.90	114,067.90
Bristol	103,300.00	134,430.00	.00	21,655.00	42,690.00	302,075.00
Dukes	3,425.00	9,970.00	250.00	1,045.00	5,466.27	20,156.27
Essex	128,250.00	239,930.00	.00	28,720.00	74,234.89	471,134.89
Franklin	15,418.50	28,544.00	721.50	3,520.00	6,852.80	55,056.80
Hampden	95,977.25	56,691.50	104,644.00	20,747.00	40,374.75	318,434.50
Hampshire	22,915.00	46,800.00	960.00	5,945.00	17,964.94	94,584.94
Middlesex	234,600.00	468,693.00	7,025.00	58,905.00	175,754.35	944,977.35
Nantucket	2,050.00	8,241.00	350.00	895.00	2,886.60	14,422.60
Norfolk	99,213.00	99,213.00	4,680.00	29,175.00	89,884.39	322,165.39
Plymouth	96,975.00	96,975.00	.00	20,550.00	52,062.17	266,562.17
Suffolk	108,443.30	260,243.47	24,150.68	26,075.50	55,967.44	474,880.39
Worcester	147,180.00	204,126.00	.00	30,770.00	60,616.86	442,692.86
TOTAL:	$1,127,552.05	$1,829,057.81	$146,742.18	$267,374.50	$690,403.08	$4,061,129.62

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

BREAKDOWN OF FEES COLLECTED FY'86



Superior

Court

Department

Superior Court Department

Criminal Caseload

The department began the fiscal year (July 1, 1985) with 4,268 defendants awaiting trial. As of the end of the fiscal year (June 30, 1986), the number of defendants awaiting trial increased by 267 defendants to 4,535 defendants awaiting trial. This increase in pending criminal defendants marks the first time in six years that the Superior Court Department has grown in the pending criminal caseload.

The department throughput for the fiscal year was 95.5 percent. That is, for every 100 cases (defendants) entered, 95.5 defendants were disposed. Throughput is the ratio of dispositions to entries. Seven of the fourteen divisions achieved a throughput of 100 percent or higher.

Of the mainland counties, Barnstable (79.52 percent) Division has the highest percentage of its caseload over 12 months of age.

Norfolk (6.38 percent) and Worcester (8.92 percent) Divisions have the lowest percentage of cases (defendants) over 12 months of age, while the remaining divisions range between 12 and 33 percent of cases over 12 months of age.

Criminal defendants were disposed of in the following manner for the fiscal year:

	#	%
Trial	948	16.85
Plea	3,485	61.94
Other	1,193	21.21
Total	5,626	100.00

For the first time in five years, there were more criminal cases entered than disposed.

Appellate Division

The Appellate Division of the Superior Court Department is authorized to review state prison sentences imposed from the several criminal sessions of the Superior Court Department.

The division began the fiscal year with 579 sentences pending review. During the year 1,152 sentence reviews were entered and 1,156 sentence reviews were heard by the division. As of the end of the fiscal year, there were 595 sentence reviews pending.

Civil Caseload

The department began the fiscal year with 77,119 civil actions pending. As of the end of the fiscal year, the pending civil caseload increased by 526 cases to 77,645 pending civil cases, the highest level in five years.

The median age of pending civil cases as of June 30, 1986, was 18.9 months. This compares to a median age of 18.2 months as of the beginning of the fiscal year.

The department throughput for the fiscal year was 98.5 percent. Seven of the fourteen divisions achieved a throughput of 100 percent or higher.

The department reports for Fiscal Year 1986 a 5 percent increase of entries and a 4.1 percent decrease of dispositions from the previous fiscal year. Tort cases comprised of 44.1 percent of all entries and 40.3 percent of all dispositions.

For Fiscal Year 1986, the Suffolk and Middlesex Divisions accounted for 49 percent of the department pending total, 44 percent of the department entries, and 49 percent of the department dispositions.

The substantial increase in the number of actions remanded to the Boston Municipal and District Court Departments is largely attributable to the implementation of Standing Order 1-86 (Transfer Procedure under G.L. c. 231, s.102C and Superior Court Rule 29) which was prompted by an increase in the remand limit from $7,500 to $15,000 as of December 1985.

The reported number of cases remanded from the Superior Court Department shows a significant increase during this fiscal year in comparison to the previous fiscal years.

Fiscal Year	Number of Cases Reportedly Remanded
1982	1,227
1983	1,827
1984	2,272
1985	1,532
1986	3,423

Remands for Fiscal Year 1986 increased by 123.4 percent from Fiscal Year 1985.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
CRIMINAL CASELOAD ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

DIVISIONS	START PEND	COM- MENCED	TRIAL	PLEA	OTHER	TOTAL DISP	END PEND	CHNG IN PEND	THRU- PUT	CHG BY %
BARNSTABLE	118	26	9	34	18	61	83	-35	234.6%	-29.7%
BRISTOL	500	527	137	322	199	658	369	-131	124.9%	-26.2%
DUKES	4	3	0	5	1	6	1	-3	200.0%	-75.0%
NANTUCKET	1	6	0	0	1	1	6	5	16.7%	500.0%
BERKSHIRE	45	92	15	55	10	80	57	12	87.0%	26.7%
ESSEX	242	382	50	225	109	384	240	-2	100.5%	-0.8%
FRANKLIN	52	57	13	35	10	58	51	-1	101.8%	-1.9%
HAMDEN	836	991	72	487	249	808	1019	183	81.5%	21.9%
HAMPSHIRE	40	102	20	59	5	84	58	18	82.4%	45.0%
MIDDLESEX	580	1078	140	622	84	846	812	232	78.5%	40.0%
NORFOLK	95	200	29	142	30	201	94	-1	100.5%	-1.1%
PLYMOUTH	162	207	49	108	20	177	192	30	0.0%	18.5%
SUFFOLK	1391	1533	271	935	378	1584	1340	-51	103.3%	-3.7%
WORCESTER	202	689	143	456	79	678	213	11	98.4%	5.4%
DEPARTMENT	4268	5893	948	3485	1193	5626	4535	267	95.5%	6.3%

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

PENDING CRIMINAL COMPARISONS



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

PENDING CRIMINAL CASES



CRIMINAL CASES ENTERED AND DISPOSED



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
ANALYSIS OF CRIMINAL DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

	TRIAL		PLEA		OTHER		TOTAL
DIVISIONS	#	%	#	%	#	%	
BARNSTABLE	9	14.75%	34	55.74%	18	29.51%	61
BERKSHIRE	15	18.75%	55	68.75%	10	12.50%	80
BRISTOL	137	20.82%	322	48.94%	199	30.24%	658
DUKES	0	0.00%	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	6
ESSEX	50	13.02%	225	58.59%	109	28.39%	384
FRANKLIN	13	22.41%	35	60.34%	10	17.24%	58
HAMPDEN	72	8.91%	487	60.27%	249	30.82%	808
HAMPSHIRE	20	23.81%	59	70.24%	5	5.95%	84
MIDDLESEX	140	16.55%	622	73.52%	84	9.93%	846
NANTUCKET	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	1
NORFOLK	29	14.43%	142	70.65%	30	14.93%	201
PLYMOUTH	49	27.68%	108	61.02%	20	11.30%	177
SUFFOLK	271	17.11%	935	59.03%	378	23.86%	1584
WORCESTER	143	21.09%	456	67.26%	79	11.65%	678
DEPARTMENT	948	16.85%	3485	61.94%	1193	21.21%	5626

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
CRIMINAL DISPOSITION BREAKDOWN—FY'86



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
AGE OF PENDING CRIMINAL CASELOAD
AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

DIVISIONS	0-6 MTHS #	0-6 MTHS %	7-12 MTHS #	7-12 MTHS %	OVER 12 MTHS #	OVER 12 MTHS %	TOTAL #	TOTAL %
BARNSTABLE	1	1.20%	16	19.28%	66	79.52%	83	100.00%
BRISTOL	193	52.30%	55	14.91%	121	32.79%	369	100.00%
DUKES	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
NANTUCKET	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	6	100.00%
BERKSHIRE	34	59.65%	10	17.54%	13	22.81%	57	100.00%
ESSEX	175	72.92%	25	10.42%	40	16.67%	240	100.00%
FRANKLIN	29	56.86%	10	19.61%	12	23.53%	51	100.00%
HAMPDEN	513	50.34%	199	19.53%	307	30.13%	1019	100.00%
HAMPSHIRE	33	56.90%	18	31.03%	7	12.07%	58	100.00%
MIDDLESEX	515	63.42%	137	16.87%	160	19.70%	812	100.00%
NORFOLK	81	86.17%	7	7.45%	6	6.38%	94	100.00%
PLYMOUTH	104	54.17%	41	21.35%	47	24.48%	192	100.00%
SUFFOLK	669	49.93%	290	21.64%	381	28.43%	1340	100.00%
WORCESTER	170	79.81%	24	11.27%	19	8.92%	213	100.00%
DEPARTMENT	2523	55.63%	832	18.35%	1180	26.02%	4535	100.00%

PENDING CRIMINAL CASELOAD BREAKDOWN

JUNE 30,1986




SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
PENDING CRIMINAL CASELOAD–JUNE 30,1986
PERCENTAGE OF DEFENDANTS PENDING
110%
100%
90%
80%
70%
60%
50%
40%
30%
20%
10%
0%
BAR
BRI
DUK
NAN
BER
ESS
FRA
HDN
HAM
MID
NOR
PLY
SUF
WOR
COURTS
0–6 MTHS
7–12 MTHS
OVER 12 MTHS

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
CIVIL CASEFLOW ANALYSIS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

	START PEND	TOTAL ENTERED	TOTAL DISP	END PEND	THRU-PUT	CHG IN PEND	CHG BY %
REGION I							
NORFOLK	7397	3497	3965	6929	113.38%	-468	-6.33%
SUFFOLK	19801	7268	7815	19254	107.53%	-547	-2.76%
REGION	27198	10765	11780	26183	109.43%	-1015	-3.73%
REGION II							
ESSEX	7746	3456	2205	8997	63.80%	1251	16.15%
MIDDLESEX	19788	7848	8547	19089	108.91%	-699	-3.53%
REGION	27534	11304	10752	28086	95.12%	552	2.00%
REGION III							
BARNSTABLE	2405	1070	1049	2426	98.04%	21	0.87%
BRISTOL	2960	2183	1834	3309	84.01%	349	11.79%
DUKES	155	0	0	155	0.00%	0	0.00%
NANTUCKET	81	66	53	94	80.30%	13	16.05%
PLYMOUTH	4383	2279	1811	4851	79.46%	468	10.68%
REGION	9984	5598	4747	10835	84.80%	851	8.52%
REGION IV							
WORCESTER	5422	3023	2821	5624	93.32%	202	3.73%
REGION V							
BERKSHIRE	1093	539	546	1086	101.30%	-7	-0.64%
FRANKLIN	269	181	209	241	115.47%	-28	-10.41%
HAMPDEN	4839	2201	2201	4839	100.00%	0	0.00%
HAMPSHIRE	780	476	505	751	106.09%	-29.	-3.72%
REGION	6981	3397	3461	6917	101.88%	-64	-0.92%
DEPARTMENT	77119	34087	33561	77645	98.46%	526	0.68%

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
ANALYSIS OF CIVIL ENTRIES
FISCAL YEAR, 1986
JULY 1, 1985 - JUNE 30, 1986

	ORIGINAL ENTRIES		REMOVED FROM DCD		RETRANSFER FROM DCD		DCD APPEALS		RE-ACTIVATED		TOTAL ENTERED
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
REGION I											
NORFOLK	3160	90.4%	253	7.2%	25	0.7%	59	1.7%	0	0.0%	3497
SUFFOLK	6547	90.1%	712	9.8%	1	.0%	8	0.1%	0	0.0%	7268
REGION	9707	90.2%	965	9.0%	26	0.2%	67	0.6%	0	0.0%	10765
REGION II											
ESSEX	2863	82.8%	346	10.0%	70	2.0%	150	4.3%	27	0.8%	3456
MIDDLESEX	6943	88.5%	727	9.3%	32	0.4%	145	1.8%	1	.0%	7848
REGION	9806	86.7%	1073	9.5%	102	0.9%	295	2.6%	28	0.2%	11304
REGION III											
BARNSTABLE	997	93.2%	44	4.1%	0	0.0%	29	2.7%	0	0.0%	1070
BRISTOL	2001	91.7%	145	6.6%	11	0.5%	14	0.6%	12	0.5%	2183
DUKES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
NANTUCKET	59	89.4%	5	7.6%	0	0.0%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	66
PLYMOUTH	2009	88.2%	194	8.5%	1	.0%	75	3.3%	0	0.0%	2279
REGION	5066	90.5%	388	6.9%	12	0.2%	120	2.1%	12	0.2%	5598
REGION IV											
WORCESTER	2791	92.3%	131	4.3%	89	2.9%	11	0.4%	1	.0%	3023
REGION V											
BERKSHIRE	475	88.1%	39	7.2%	0	0.0%	25	4.6%	0	0.0%	539
FRANKLIN	145	80.1%	11	6.1%	0	0.0%	25	13.8%	0	0.0%	181
HAMPDEN	1927	87.6%	274	12.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2201
HAMPSHIRE	451	94.7%	21	4.4%	0	0.0%	4	0.8%	0	0.0%	476
REGION	2998	88.3%	345	10.2%	0	0.0%	54	1.6%	0	0.0%	3397
DEPARTMENT	30368	89.1%	2902	8.5%	229	0.7%	547	1.6%	41	0.1%	34087

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL

ENTRY COMPARISONS—FY 1985 & 1986



FISCAL YEAR 1986

CIVIL ENTRY BREAKDOWN



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
ANALYSIS OF CIVIL DISPOSITIONS
FISCAL YEAR 1986

	BEFORE TRIAL		DURING TRIAL		JURY TRIAL		BENCH TRIAL		POST-TRIAL MOTION		CASES REMANDED		TOTAL DISPOSED
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
REGION I													
NORFOLK	3333	84.1%	0	0.0%	50	1.3%	22	0.6%	21	0.5%	539	13.6%	3965
SUFFOLK	5770	73.8%	261	3.3%	114	1.5%	782	10.0%	88	1.1%	800	10.2%	7815
REGION	9103	77.3%	261	2.2%	164	1.4%	804	6.8%	109	0.9%	1339	11.4%	11780
REGION II													
ESSEX	1603	72.7%	263	11.9%	9	0.4%	167	7.6%	3	0.1%	160	7.3%	2205
MIDDLESEX	7160	83.8%	51	0.6%	190	2.2%	279	3.3%	62	0.7%	805	9.4%	8547
REGION	8763	81.5%	314	2.9%	199	1.9%	446	4.1%	65	0.6%	965	9.0%	10752
REGION III													
BARNSTABLE	927	88.4%	10	1.0%	12	1.1%	62	5.9%	1	0.1%	37	3.5%	1049
BRISTOL	1316	71.8%	73	4.0%	68	3.7%	248	13.5%	0	0.0%	129	7.0%	1834
DUKES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
NANTUCKET	43	81.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	9	17.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	53
PLYMOUTH	1527	84.3%	4	0.2%	67	3.7%	70	3.9%	1	0.1%	142	7.8%	1811
REGION	3813	80.3%	87	1.8%	148	3.1%	389	8.2%	2	.0%	308	6.5%	4747
REGION IV													
WORCESTER	2393	84.8%	3	0.1%	17	0.6%	14	0.5%	1	.0%	393	13.9%	2821
REGION V													
BERKSHIRE	441	80.8%	0	0.0%	5	0.9%	51	9.3%	0	0.0%	49	9.0%	546
FRANKLIN	130	62.2%	6	2.9%	10	4.8%	45	21.5%	0	0.0%	18	8.6%	209
HAMPDEN	1542	70.1%	8	0.4%	35	1.6%	283	12.9%	33	1.5%	300	13.6%	2201
HAMPSHIRE	292	57.8%	8	1.6%	12	2.4%	142	28.1%	0	0.0%	51	10.1%	505
REGION	2405	69.5%	22	0.6%	62	1.8%	521	15.1%	33	1.0%	418	12.1%	3461
DEPARTMENT	26477	78.9%	687	2.0%	590	1.8%	2174	6.5%	210	0.6%	3423	10.2%	33561


SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL
DISPOSITION COMPARISONS—FY 1985 & 1986
TOTAL DISPOSED (Thousands)
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
29997
26477
1547
887
522
590
1055
2174
327
210
1532
3423
BEFORE
DURING
JURY
BENCH
MOTION
REMAND
FY 1985
FY 1986


FISCAL YEAR 1986
CIVIL DISPOSITION BREAKDOWN
TRIAL (8.9%)
DURING (2.0%)
REMANDS (10.2%)
BEFORE (78.9%)

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
ENTRIES AND DISPOSITIONS BY CASE TYPE
FISCAL YEAR 1986

			DISPOSITIONS													
	ENTRIES		BEFORE TRIAL		DURING TRIAL		JURY TRIAL		BENCH TRIAL		POST-TRIAL MOTION		CASES REMANDED		TOTAL	
CASE TYPE	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
CONTRACTS																
ALL CONTRACTS	4864	14.3%	3962	15.0%	85	12.4%	47	8.0%	184	8.5%	20	9.5%	696	20.3%	4994	14.9%
TORTS																
MVT PERSONAL INJ/PROP DAMAGE	7446	21.8%	5634	21.3%	83	12.1%	120	20.3%	62	2.9%	6	2.9%	897	26.2%	6802	20.3%
PRODUCTS LIABILITY	480	1.4%	239	0.9%	4	0.6%	4	0.7%	6	0.3%	2	1.0%	44	1.3%	299	0.9%
MED-MALPRACTICE	897	2.6%	517	2.0%	18	2.6%	32	5.4%	34	1.6%	6	2.9%	13	0.4%	620	1.8%
ALL OTHER TORTS	6217	18.2%	4542	17.2%	88	12.8%	122	20.7%	171	7.9%	33	15.7%	859	25.1%	5815	17.3%
TOTAL TORTS	15040	44.1%	10932	41.3%	193	28.1%	278	47.1%	273	12.6%	47	22.4%	1813	53.0%	13536	40.3%
REAL PROPERTY																
ALL REAL PROP	2023	5.9%	1802	6.8%	71	10.3%	18	3.1%	124	5.7%	6	2.9%	19	0.6%	2040	6.1%
EQUITABLE REMEDIES																
ALL EQUITY	4330	12.7%	3366	12.7%	123	17.9%	17	2.9%	281	12.9%	46	21.9%	94	2.7%	3927	11.7%
MISCELLANEOUS																
GL C258 ACTION VS STATE/TOWN	295	0.9%	146	0.6%	6	0.9%	2	0.3%	13	0.6%	2	1.0%	1	.0%	170	0.5%
ALL OTHER MISC	7535	22.1%	6269	23.7%	209	30.4%	228	38.6%	1299	59.8%	89	42.4%	800	23.4%	8894	26.5%
TOTAL MISC	7830	23.0%	6415	24.2%	215	31.3%	230	39.0%	1312	60.3%	91	43.3%	801	23.4%	9064	27.0%
TOTAL	34087	100.0%	26477	100.0%	687	100.0%	590	100.0%	2174	100.0%	210	100.0%	3423	100.0%	33561	100.0%

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

ENTRIES BY CASE TYPE



DISPOSITIONS BY CASETYPE





SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

ENTRIES AND DISPOSITIONS BY CASE TYPE


SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL
CASES COMMENCED AND DISPOSED
TOTAL CASES ENTERED AND DISPOSED (Thousdnds)
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40
FY'80
FY'81
FY'82
FY'83
FY'84
FY'85
FY'86
FISCAL YEAR
COMMENCED
DISPOSED

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
REGIONAL ANALYSIS OF PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD
AS OF JUNE 30, 1986

DIVISIONS	0-12 MTHS #	%	13-24 MTHS #	%	25-36 MTHS #	%	OVER 36 MTHS #	%	TOTAL PEND #	%
REGION I										
NORFOLK	2813	10.2%	1920	10.6%	1136	9.2%	1060	5.4%	6929	8.9%
SUFFOLK	5573	20.3%	3867	21.3%	3292	26.7%	6522	33.1%	19254	24.8%
REGION	8386	30.5%	5787	31.9%	4428	35.9%	7582	38.5%	26183	33.7%
REGION II										
ESSEX	2866	10.4%	1967	10.8%	1440	11.7%	2724	13.8%	8997	11.6%
MIDDLESEX	6683	24.3%	4562	25.1%	2880	23.3%	4964	25.2%	19089	24.6%
REGION	9549	34.8%	6529	36.0%	4320	35.0%	7688	39.0%	28086	36.2%
REGION III										
BARNSTABLE	860	3.1%	556	3.1%	390	3.2%	620	3.1%	2426	3.1%
BRISTOL	1667	6.1%	1011	5.6%	400	3.2%	231	1.2%	3309	4.3%
DUKES	0	0.0%	22	0.1%	67	0.5%	66	0.3%	155	0.2%
NANTUCKET	45	0.2%	30	0.2%	13	0.1%	6	.0%	94	0.1%
PLYMOUTH	1793	6.5%	1045	5.8%	762	6.2%	1251	6.4%	4851	6.2%
REGION	4365	15.9%	2664	14.7%	1632	13.2%	2174	11.0%	10835	14.0%
REGION IV										
WORCESTER	2421	8.8%	1338	7.4%	892	7.2%	973	4.9%	5624	7.2%
REGION V										
BERKSHIRE	381	1.4%	281	1.5%	214	1.7%	210	1.1%	1086	1.4%
FRANKLIN	120	0.4%	59	0.3%	41	0.3%	21	0.1%	241	0.3%
HAMPDEN	1839	6.7%	1245	6.9%	743	6.0%	1012	5.1%	4839	6.2%
HAMPSHIRE	395	1.4%	250	1.4%	68	0.6%	38	0.2%	751	1.0%
REGION	2735	10.0%	1835	10.1%	1066	8.6%	1281	6.5%	6917	8.9%
DEPARTMENT	27456	100.0%	18153	100.0%	12338	100.0%	19698	100.0%	77645	100.0%

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD—JUNE 30, 1986



PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD BREAKDOWN

JUNE 30, 1986




SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
PENDING CIVIL CASELOAD BY COURT
TOTAL CIVIL CASES PENDING
(Thousands)
20
19
18
17
16
15
14
13
12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
SUF
MID
ESS
NOR
WOR
PLY
HDN
BRI
BAR
BER
HAM
FRA
DUK
NAN
COURTS

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

CIVIL CASES PENDING



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

REMAND COMPARISONS—FY 1982 THRU FY 1986



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Appellate Division Report
Fiscal Year 1986

Appeals Pending for Review at Start of Year	579
Appeals Entered for Review During Year	1,152
Appeals Reviewed During Year	1,731
Appeals Withdrawn	441
Appeals Dismissed	636
Sentences Reduced	57
Sentences Increased	2
Appeals Pending for Review at End of Year	595

The 595 cases shown as pending on June 30, 1986, include 98 cases which have, at the request of the Appellants, been removed from the hearing list until the Appellant moves to restore thereto.

The Appellate Division was in session 36 days.

Office

# of the

Commissioner

# of Probation

The Office of the Commissioner of Probation undertook several new initiatives and refined many of its existing projects during Calendar Year 1986.

The major initiatives were the following:

Establishment of a new accreditation process and manual for the Probation Service. New "standards of excellence" were developed which make accreditation contingent upon compliance with all OCP standards as well as standards developed in three areas of innovative programs, such as volunteeers, community service restitution, community education, substance abuse, citizen advisory boards, etc.

Major revision of the Commissioner's Management Information Systems Standards (Monthly Report of Probation Activities - MRPA). The Monthly Report of Probation Activities Standards received a major revision in 1986. The number of categories were reduced, definitions clarified. The OCP and probation field personnel worked closely to develop the new "MRPA" standards, which became effective in January 1987.

Milestone achieved in financial collections. More than $128,887,000 was collected by local probation offices during 1986, and increase of more than 12.4 percent from the prior year. Of the money collected, more than $104,483,000 represented Child Support Enforcement collections, an increase of 14.6 percent from the prior year. These figures represent the most monies ever collected by the probation service.

Establishment of linkages with academic world. OCP co-sponsored an academic-practitioner symposium with Northeastern University, obtained foundation grants with University of Lowell for the Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) project, and with the Joint Center for Urban Studies at MIT and Harvard Law School for the development of a program on negotiation; utilized the services of the Institute of Governmental Services at the University of Massachusetts-Boston for the design and development of a computerization survey, and contracted with Brandeis University to conduct a series of seminars on professional and ethical issues relating to probation.

Commissioner Donald Cochran

***Operations Manager
Vincent Basile***

***Asst. Supervisor of
Court Probation Services
Ed Dalton***

Supervisor of Court Probation Services Milton Britton and Director of Training Ronald Corbett

Evening Supervisor Dick Martin

The following represents a list of highlights for the various divisions within the Office of the Commissioner of Probation.

Management Information Division. In March, the Fitchburg Division of the District Court Department became the first court in the Commonwealth to electronically transmit criminal records from the field to the Burroughs computer located at the Trial Court Computer Center in Cambridge. Six other courts were also brought on line in 1986, including Cambridge, East Boston, Somerville, Lawrence, Framingham, and Lynn.

The computerization database of criminal records achieved the following milestones: 320,000 active identifications, 370,000 inactive identifications, and 72,000 complete records.

With the increase in Criminal Offender Record Information (CORI), police teletype requests increased 55 percent over the last two years. More than 165,000 inquiries were processed in 1986.

The Probation Central File purged the records of adult offenders who have been inactive in the criminal justice system for the past 10 years. In 1986, an estimated 55,475 records met this criteria and were microfilmed with identifying information cross-referenced to the computerized database.

Deputy Commissioner Marge Brown

Computer Operations Supervisor Maria Giuliano

Increased attention to "quality control" measurements in all work areas included file work, preparation of CP-1s in accordance with standards, accuracy of data entry and "customer satisfaction."

Both inquiries and input to the manual Probation Central File continue to increase; the manual file handled an average of 1,800 inquiries per day via the telephone, with an average of 300 updates for courts, institutions, and other agencies. Record checks from bail commissioners increased to nearly 30,000 in 1986.

Four research reports of major interest to public policy makers were issued during 1986.

1. Motor Vehicle Homocide: The Offense & The Offender.

2. Driving Under the Influence of Liquor: Arraignments, Dispositions and Collections.

3. A Study of Rape Victims and Their Offenders.

4. The Hit & Run Accident: It's a Crime.

Public relations efforts increased because of input by the Public Relations Sub-Committee of the Commissioner's Citizen Advisory Board. Local news releases focused on accomplishments of individual courts.

Probation Services Administration Division. During the past year, the efforts of Probation Services Administration were directed toward important goals: standards compliance, local office conversion to automation, quality control of procedures for the reporting of criminal offender record information and monitoring and technical assistance for the Intensive Probation Supervision project (IPS).

Throughout the 12-month period, the regional administrators worked collaboratively with chief probation officers and the clerical support staff of the local offices and, as a result, were able to report an increase in the number of local offices reporting criminal offender record information that was timely, accurate, and appropriately prepared for direct computer entry. Regional administrators continued to provide technical assistance and monitor local probation offices to ensure quality control.

To ensure best professional practice as the hallmark of probation service delivery, a three-year Standards Compliance Plan has been developed to monitor and maintain local office compliance with the Investigation, Risk/Need and Supervision Standards. More than 2,000 probation folders were examined in 84 offices. Regional administrators provided 1,110 hours of monitoring to sample, score, and provide feedback throughout the initial field assessment phase of the Compliance Plan. The Compliance Plan provides for the certification of probation offices on a planned and systematic schedule.

In the course of meeting the division's principal responsibilities during the 1986 calendar year, the regional administrators conducted 27 regional chief probation officer meetings; made 673 on-site visits to local probation offices, provided 2,813 hours of on-site managerial guidance, consultation, monitoring, and technical assistance.

Internal Administration Division: Personnel. The entire Massachusetts probation officer roster has been put into computer format and distributed to the Massachusetts Probation Service.

Decisions of Interest. The following court decisions during 1986 were determined to be of interest to the probation service: Doe v Commonwealth (396 Mass 421), Commonwealth v Teixera (396 Mass 746), Commonwealth v Ford (397 Mass 290), Petition of Department of Social Services to Dispense with Consent to Adoption (397 Mass 659).

Training and Development Division. More than 350 probation officers and support staff attended workshops on the theme of "Developing Alternatives in Probation," featuring presentations by probation officials from the states of Maine, Vermont, and Connecticut as well as from other Massachusetts criminal justice agencies.

OCP sponsored several interagency collaborative training efforts with the Division of Alcoholism ("2nd offenders - DUIL" and "Assessing the Alcoholic Offender"), the Department of Youth Services ("Chapter 766"), the District Court Department and the Supreme Judicial Court ("The ABC's of Law-Related Education"), the Department of Correction (site visits to MCI Framingham and the Longwood Facility), and the American Probation and Parole Association ("The Mortimer-Filkins Test").

OCP cosponsored with Northeastern University an academic-practitioner symposium, featuring two Massachusetts criminologists, who lectured on current probation-related research.

Training in OCP falls into four general categories: training for new probation officers, management/supervisory training, topical workshops, and correctional site visits.

The majority of the training offered by OCP is conducted by staff from the central office or by field personnel. Regarding the latter, a state-wide "Training Team" is in its second year of existence. The "Team" is comprised of approximately 15 field personnel who have received extensive "training in training" and who contribute greatly to the program by leading several of the workshops throughout the year.

Programs offered for new probation officers in 1986 included: Probation Officer Orientation, Legal Liability, Financial Orders and Enforcement, Laws Governing DUIL, C.O.R.I. Interpretation and Reporting, Role Conflict in Probation Supervision, and Overview of Juvenile Probation.

Programs offered for management/supervisory personnel in 1986 were: Probation Surrender Workshop, Leading Effective Meetings, Basic Management Principles, MRPA Standards Revisions, Motivating Staff, Improving "Customer" Service.

Topical workshops in 1986 included: Intensive Probation Supervision, Communication in Mediation, Alcohol Issues, Brandeis Program-"Humanities and the Professions," Leading Effective Meetings, Mortimer/Filkens Test, Mediation, Interviewing Skills, Cinema Seminars, ABC's of Law-Related Education, Group Work with Juveniles, Probate for Non-Probate PO's, Community Corrections

Symposium, Chapter 766, Assessing the Alcoholic Offender, Visitation.

Trainees toured in 1986 Longwood Facility, Bridgewater State Hospital, DYS Forestry Camp, MCI Framingham, and Lakeville DUIL Program.

More than 100 different training opportunities also were offered to probation personnel through tuition/registration fee subsidies.

Probation officers toured alcohol rehab. program, Lakeville Hospital.

Probation officers visited the Brewsters DYS Camp, May 1986.



Probation Officer Orientation, Waltham Espousal Center

L-R, Former Deputy Commissioner Angelo Musto is honored for distinguished service by Commissioner Donald Cochran at the annual probation conference.

Commissioner Cochran and Chief Administrative Justice Arthur M. Mason

Training Coordinator Frank Luongo, Yuki Powers, Middlesex Probate, and John Guanci, Suffolk Superior

MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
TOTAL ARRAIGNMENTS 1980-1986

YEAR	ARRAIGNMENTS	YEARLY % CHG
1980	302,112	---
1981	238,458	-21.1%
1982	241,752	1.4%
1983	233,772	-3.3%
1984	243,789	4.3%
1985	257,276	5.5%
1986	258,715	0.6%

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY

TOTAL ARRAIGNMENTS 1980-1986

SUPERIOR, DISTRICT/BMC, JUVENILE COURTS



MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
TOTAL RISK/NEED CASELOAD -- 1984 TO 1986

LEVEL	1984 #	1984 %	1985 #	1985 %	1986 #	1986 %
MAXIMUM	6160	23.6%	6872	24.5%	7764	26.8%
MODERATE	12721	48.7%	13498	48.1%	13898	48.0%
MINIMUM	7234	27.7%	7718	27.5%	7305	25.2%
TOTAL	26115	100.0%	28088	100.0%	28967	100.0%

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



TOTAL RISK/NEED CASELOAD 1984-1986

MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
TOTAL COLLECTIONS 1984-1986

CATEGORY	1984	1985	1986
COURT COSTS	$2,394,288	$2,509,644	$2,648,654
DUIL FEE	3,797,754	3,836,566	3,787,097
FINES	6,529,869	7,263,225	7,515,857
REDUCED COUNSEL	503,232	567,895	637,240
RESTITUTION	8,341,679	8,831,225	9,373,758
SUPPORT	77,280,348	91,178,240	104,483,311
VICTIM/WITNESS	520,596	1,374,719	1,441,920
TOTAL	$99,367,766	$115,561,514	$129,887,837

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY

TOTAL COLLECTIONS 1984–1986

MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE



MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
SUPERIOR COURT PROBATION
ARRAIGNMENTS 1980-1986

YEAR	ARRAIGNMENTS	YEARLY % CHG
1980	5,859	---
1981	6,955	18.7%
1982	6,931	-0.3%
1983	5,553	-19.9%
1984	5,257	-5.3%
1985	5,557	5.7%
1986	6,182	11.2%

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
SUPERIOR COURT PROBATION
RISK/NEED CASELOAD -- 1984 TO 1986

LEVEL	1984		1985		1986	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
MAXIMUM	967	19.5%	1138	22.0%	1117	20.3%
MODERATE	2201	44.4%	2276	44.0%	2547	46.3%
MINIMUM	1789	36.1%	1759	34.0%	1837	33.4%
TOTAL	4957	100.0%	5173	100.0%	5501	100.0%

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



RISK/NEED CASELOAD 1984-1986
SUPERIOR COURT PROBATION

MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
SUPERIOR COURT PROBATION
COLLECTIONS 1984-1986

CATEGORY	1984	1985	[illegible]
COURT COSTS	$48,196	$44,777	[illegible]
FINES	728,673	697,209	[illegible]
REDUCED COUNSEL	31,478	16,877	[illegible]
RESTITUTION	1,839,277	1,872,788	[illegible]
SUPPORT	77,787	84,744	[illegible]
VICTIM/WITNESS	791	17,578	[illegible]
TOTAL	$2,725,105	$2,917,876	[illegible]

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1284	TOTALS 01-1285	TOTALS 01-1286	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,85-86	PERCENT CHANGE,84-86
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	75	168	157	124.0 %	6.5- %	109.3 %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	127	130	73	2.3 %	43.8- %	42.5- %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	535	573	527	7.1 %	8.0- %	1.4- %
DUKES SUPERIOR	6	3	32	50.0- %	966.6 %	433.3 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	332	248	349	25.3- %	40.7 %	5.1 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	90	70	56	22.2- %	20.0- %	37.7- %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	942	952	1046	1.0 %	9.9 %	11.0 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	83	104	105	25.3 %	0.9 %	26.5 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	833	836	1087	0.3 %	30.0 %	30.4 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	10	2	10	80.0- %	400.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	196	175	240	10.7- %	37.1 %	22.4 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	217	201	267	7.3- %	32.8 %	23.0 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	1350	1563	1529	15.7 %	2.1- %	13.2 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	461	532	704	15.4 %	32.3 %	52.7 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	5257	5557	6182	5.7 %	11.2 %	17.5 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPERIOR COURT: ADULTS UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1986)

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1985	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1986	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1985	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1986	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1985	TOTAL R/N DEC 1986	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-85	% CHG TOTALR/N 85-86	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-86
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	111	132	159	105	103	103	187	216	273	15.5 %	26.3 %	45.9 %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	72	103	85	52	58	76	110	155	164	40.9 %	5.8 %	49.0 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	299	279	360	278	278	316	489	490	533	0.2 %	8.7 %	8.9 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	8	16	10	1	6	11	12	22	21	83.3 %	4.5-%	75.0 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	192	243	250	221	233	254	390	400	396	2.5 %	1.0-%	1.5 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	59	67	40	45	53	36	86	100	101	16.2 %	1.0 %	17.4 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	401	414	393	361	385	384	592	621	631	4.8 %	1.6 %	6.5 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	74	75	80	63	64	63	98	108	125	10.2 %	15.7 %	27.5 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	313	336	389	328	326	332	722	732	812	1.3 %	10.9 %	12.4 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	6	6	10	2	6	7	6	6	9	0.0 %	50.0 %	50.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	177	167	180	168	208	189	334	306	297	8.3-%	2.9-%	11.0-%
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	200	164	155	156	179	172	367	352	335	4.0-%	4.8-%	8.7-%
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	641	611	634	499	570	569	1063	1124	1189	3.7 %	5.7 %	9.7 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	374	430	383	425	370	309	481	541	615	12.4 %	13.6 %	27.8 %
TOTALS	2927	3043	3128	2704	2839	2821	4957	5173	5501	4.3 %	6.3 %	10.9 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISION IN SUPERIOR COURT

	NEW ADMIN. 01-12 1984	NEW ADMIN. 01-12 1985	NEW ADMIN. 01-12 1986	TERM ADMIN. 01-12 1984	TERM ADMIN. 01-12 1985	TERM ADMIN. 01-12 1986	TOTAL ADMIN AS OF DEC 1984	TOTAL ADMIN AS OF DEC 1985	TOTAL ADMIN AS OF DEC 1986	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE 84-86	PERCENT CHANGE 85-86
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	21	4	24	11	11	2	8	1	23	87.5- %	187.5 %	2200.0 %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0.0 %	100.0- %	100.0- %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	29	29	34	19	13	41	59	75	68	27.1 %	15.2 %	9.3- %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	63	52	55	31	62	38	140	130	167	7.1- %	5.0 %	13.0 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	5	20	15	3	1	16	3	22	14	633.3 %	366.6 %	36.3- %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	8	21	29	3	8	24	8	21	26	162.5 %	225.0 %	23.8 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	147	63	70	40	107	49	161	117	138	27.3- %	14.2- %	17.9 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	0	3	17	0	1	5	0	2	14	0.0 **	0.0 **	600.0 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	19	1	1	4	13	3	16	4	2	75.0- %	87.5- %	50.0- %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	26	79	95	52	68	96	47	58	57	23.4 %	21.2 %	1.7- %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	62	32	61	40	52	47	41	21	35	48.7- %	14.6- %	66.6 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	381	306	401	203	337	323	485	454	6049	6.3- %	1147.0 %	1232.0 %

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,84		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,85		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,86		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,86		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,86	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	9	15.7	48	84.2	57	100.0	17	35.4	31	64.5	48	100.0	16	47.0	18	52.9	34	100.0
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	7	53.8	6	46.1	13	100.0	14	70.0	6	30.0	20	100.0	19	79.1	5	20.8	24	100.0
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	54	38.0	88	61.9	142	100.0	57	45.9	67	54.0	124	100.0	86	44.5	107	55.4	193	100.0
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
ESSEX SUPERIOR	61	52.1	56	47.8	117	100.0	39	50.6	38	49.3	77	100.0	53	50.0	53	50.0	106	100.0
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	16	66.6	8	33.3	24	100.0	14	48.2	15	51.7	29	100.0	11	68.7	5	31.2	16	100.0
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	205	53.9	175	46.0	380	100.0	185	56.5	142	43.4	327	100.0	219	47.8	239	52.1	458	100.0
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	9	39.1	14	60.8	23	100.0	10	50.0	10	50.0	20	100.0	19	73.0	7	26.9	26	100.0
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	570	53.6	492	46.3	1062	100.0	564	52.9	502	47.0	1066	100.0	474	51.9	438	48.0	912	100.0
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	57	69.5	25	30.4	82	100.0	53	65.4	28	34.5	81	100.0	68	68.6	31	31.3	99	100.0
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	29	80.5	7	19.4	36	100.0	17	45.9	20	54.0	37	100.0	16	40.0	24	60.0	40	100.0
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	166	52.2	152	47.7	318	100.0	116	30.4	265	69.5	381	100.0	137	35.4	249	64.5	386	100.0
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	60	47.2	67	52.7	127	100.0	71	31.4	155	68.5	226	100.0	50	19.8	202	80.1	252	100.0
TOTALS	1243	52.2	1138	47.7	2381	100.0	1157	47.4	1280	52.5	2437	100.0	1169	45.8	1379	54.1	2548	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985		PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986		PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986	
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	910	1075	0	18.13	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	4500	3885	3270	13.66-	%	15.83-	%	27.33-	%
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
ESSEX SUPERIOR	9122	8440	10889	7.47-	%	29.01	%	19.37	%
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	165	0	0	0.00	**	0.00	%	0.00	**
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	670	1090	0	62.68	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	1150	675	1890	41.30-	%	180.00	%	64.34	%
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	5080	3425	0	32.57-	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	750	0	0	0.00	**	0.00	%	0.00	**
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	2315	2935	3440	26.78	%	17.20	%	48.59	%
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	43721	61995	71579	41.79	%	15.45	%	63.71	%
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	5374	3241	8099	39.69-	%	149.89	%	50.70	%
** TOTALS **	73757	86761	99167	17.63	%	14.29	%	34.45	%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	175466	29691	45621	83.07- %	53.65 %	74.00- %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	73986	27734	26493	62.51- %	4.47- %	64.19- %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	64306	69303	77846	7.77 %	12.32 %	21.05 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	100	0	75	0.00 **	0.00 **	25.00- %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	82145	66245	136855	19.35- %	106.58 %	66.60 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	6601	3532	7041	46.49- %	99.34 %	6.66 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	147465	156148	113509	5.88 %	27.30- %	23.02- %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	25188	12476	14270	50.46- %	14.37 %	43.34- %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	98933	164446	194139	66.21 %	18.05 %	96.23 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	50	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	38853	62301	72664	60.35 %	16.63 %	87.02 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	78862	85415	100434	8.30 %	17.58 %	27.35 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	949107	1108134	493279	16.75 %	55.48- %	48.02- %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	108265	87314	90407	19.35- %	3.54 %	16.49- %
** TOTALS **	1849277	1872789	1372633	1.27 %	26.70- %	25.77- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES, SURFINES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	FINES SURFINES [illegible]	FINES SURFINES [illegible]	FINES SURFINES [illegible]	PERCENT OF CHANGE [illegible]		PERCENT OF CHANGE [illegible]		PERCENT OF CHANGE [illegible]	
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	0	[illegible]	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
DUKES SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
ESSEX SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	[illegible]	0.00	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%
** TOTALS **	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%	[illegible]	%

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM/WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
SUPERIOR COURT
1986

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Barnstable	25	50	200	175	50	25	75	0	0	100	125	115	940
Berkshire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bristol	495	640	470	915	195	345	200	140	149	445	205	240	4824
Dukes	0	25	0	25	25	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	100
Essex	25	165	25	40	75	100	50	130	125	110	100	50	990
Franklin	50	25	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	125
Hampden	180	175	430	300	300	250	205	265	240	370	140	155	2510
Hampshire	0	0	0	0	25	0	100	0	0	75	75	25	300
Middlesex	410	445	280	330	505	415	430	240	210	320	290	510	4598
Nantucket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	50	25	100
Norfolk	50	30	50	140	0	25	0	50	25	25	0	100	565
Plymouth	0	35	30	25	100	25	25	140	110	25	60	360	935
Suffolk	700	275	425	425	810	625	790	290	275	500	500	355	6155
Worcester	185	0	95	110	175	255	25	30	90	150	190	90	1370
TOTAL	1130	1854	[illegible]	2470	[illegible]	2625	1941	1307	1240	2084	1730	2434	23792

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	28180	8758	6503	68.92- %	25.74- %	76.92- %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	4562	5506	13985	20.69 %	153.99 %	206.55 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	250	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
ESSEX SUPERIOR	1050	3550	905	238.09 %	74.50- %	13.81- %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	120	1185	30	887.50 %	97.46- %	75.00- %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	1120	0	3490	0.00 **	0.00 **	211.60 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	840	2355	2695	180.35 %	14.43 %	220.83 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	9266	2975	6484	67.89- %	117.94 %	30.02- %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	1580	650	1080	58.86- %	66.15 %	31.64- %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	4355	18425	11325	323.07 %	38.53- %	160.04 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	8215	21204	11465	158.11 %	45.93- %	39.56 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	4808	1725	360	64.12- %	79.13- %	92.51- %
** TOTALS **	64096	66333	58572	3.49 %	11.70- %	8.61- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1284	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1285	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1286	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,85-86	PERCENT CHANGE,84-86
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	290	690	1665	137.9 %	141.3 %	474.1 %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	700	400	250	42.8- %	37.5- %	64.2- %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	200	0	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	270	150	0	44.4- %	0.0 **	0.0 **
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	900	450	250	50.0- %	44.4- %	72.2- %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	570	930	3720	63.1 %	300.0 %	552.6 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	65	535	0	723.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	740	3538	4973	378.1 %	40.5 %	572.0 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	0	331	400	0.0 **	20.8 %	0.0 **
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	5164	7147	5949	38.4 %	16.7- %	15.2 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	1935	2466	1760	27.4 %	28.6- %	9.0- %
ANNUAL TOTAL	10634	16837	18967	58.3 %	12.6 %	78.3 %

MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT PROBATION
ARRAIGNMENTS 1980-1986

YEAR	ARRAIGNMENTS	YEARLY % CHG
1980	276,169	---
1981	208,924	-24.3%
1982	214,022	2.4%
1983	210,097	-1.8%
1984	220,107	4.8%
1985	231,915	5.4%
1986	232,740	0.4%

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT PROBATION
ARRAIGNMENTS 1980-1986

YEAR	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS
1980	27040
1981	28627
1982	33292
1983	34703
1984	39912
1985	36742
1986	38049

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT PROBATION
RISK/NEED CASELOAD -- 1984 TO 1986

LEVEL	1984		1985		1986	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
MAXIMUM	4352	24.8%	4778	25.4%	5640	28.7%
MODERATE	8687	49.5%	9067	48.2%	9413	47.9%
MINIMUM	4510	25.7%	4966	26.4%	4598	23.4%
TOTAL	17550	100.0%	18812	100.0%	19651	100.0%

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT PROBATION
COLLECTIONS 1984-1986

CATEGORY	1984	1985	1986
COURT COSTS	$2,253,257	$2,355,043	$2,492,516
DUIL FEE	3,797,754	3,836,566	3,787,097
FINES	5,781,232	6,382,538	6,601,577
REDUCED COUNSEL	482,398	539,449	606,870
RESTITUTION	6,090,314	6,557,684	7,543,144
SUPPORT	29,536,355	32,934,756	36,391,249
VICTIM/WITNESS	499,530	1,309,243	1,370,783
TOTAL	$48,440,840	$53,915,279	$58,793,236

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1284	TOTALS 01-1285	TOTALS 01-1286	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,85-86	PERCENT CHANGE,84-86
ADAMS	515	569	441	10.4 %	22.4- %	14.3- %
AMESBURY	2988	2256	1162	24.4- %	48.4- %	61.1- %
ATTLEBORO	3090	3220	3487	4.2 %	8.2 %	12.8 %
AYER	2871	2816	2386	1.9- %	15.2- %	16.8- %
BARNSTABLE	5996	6142	6344	2.4 %	3.2 %	5.8 %
BOSTON	10849	11893	10579	9.6 %	11.0- %	2.4- %
BRIGHTON	1528	1925	2090	25.9 %	8.5 %	36.7 %
BROCKTON	7510	6525	6319	13.1- %	3.1- %	15.8- %
BROOKLINE	2013	2908	2673	44.4 %	8.0- %	32.7 %
CAMBRIDGE	4789	4599	4336	3.9- %	5.7- %	9.4- %
CHARLESTOWN	1706	2065	1781	21.0 %	13.7- %	4.3 %
CHELSEA	4832	4063	4244	15.9- %	4.4 %	12.1- %
CHICOPEE	2091	2159	2067	3.2 %	4.2- %	1.1- %
CLINTON	1789	2312	2150	29.2 %	7.0- %	20.1 %
CONCORD	2267	2706	2805	19.3 %	3.6 %	23.7 %
DEDHAM	3567	2845	2891	20.2- %	1.6 %	18.9- %
DORCHESTER	4629	5980	7053	29.1 %	17.9 %	52.3 %
DUDLEY	2346	2863	3090	22.0 %	7.9 %	31.7 %
EAST BOSTON	2185	2243	2239	2.6 %	0.1- %	2.4 %
EDGARTOWN	801	787	1066	1.7- %	35.4 %	33.0 %
FALL RIVER	5095	5603	5105	9.9 %	8.8- %	0.1 %
FITCHBURG	1230	1675	1601	36.1 %	4.4- %	30.1 %
FRAMINGHAM	4355	4553	4506	4.5 %	1.0- %	3.4 %
GARDNER	2235	2361	1668	5.6 %	29.3- %	25.3- %
GLOUCESTER	1719	1753	1503	1.9 %	14.2- %	12.5- %
GREAT BARRINGTON	492	716	792	45.5 %	10.6 %	60.9 %
GREENFIELD	1699	1561	1704	8.1- %	9.1 %	0.2 %
HAVERHILL	1701	1697	1665	0.2- %	1.8- %	2.1- %
HINGHAM	4887	5224	5085	6.8 %	2.6- %	4.0 %
HOLYOKE	2077	2089	2240	0.5 %	7.2 %	7.8 %
IPSWICH	346	359	350	3.7 %	2.5- %	1.1 %
LAWRENCE	4317	4787	4340	10.8 %	9.3- %	0.5 %
LEE	905	840	723	7.1- %	13.9- %	20.1- %
LEOMINSTER	1768	1801	1432	1.8 %	20.4- %	19.0- %
LOWELL	7247	7134	6750	1.5- %	5.3- %	6.8- %
LYNN	4927	5660	6279	14.8 %	10.9 %	27.4 %
MALDEN	3741	3669	3767	1.9- %	2.6 %	0.6 %
MARLBOROUGH	1941	2128	2348	9.6 %	10.3 %	20.9 %

ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	TOTALS [illegible]	TOTALS [illegible]	TOTALS [illegible]	PERCENT CHANGE [illegible]	PERCENT CHANGE [illegible]	PERCENT CHANGE [illegible]
MILFORD	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
NANTUCKET	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
NATICK	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
NEW BEDFORD	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
NEWBURYPORT	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
NEWTON	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
NORTH ADAMS	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
NORTHAMPTON	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
ORANGE	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
ORLEANS	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
PALMER	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
PEABODY	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
PITTSFIELD	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
PLYMOUTH	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
QUINCY	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
ROXBURY	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
SALEM	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
SOMERVILLE	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
SOUTH BOSTON	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
SPENCER	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
SPRINGFIELD	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
STOUGHTON	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
TAUNTON	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
UXBRIDGE	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WALTHAM	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WARE	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WAREHAM	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WEST ROXBURY	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WESTBOROUGH	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WESTFIELD	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WINCHENDON	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WOBURN	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WORCESTER	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
WRENTHAM	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %
PARTIAL TOTAL	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] %	[illegible] %	[illegible] %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1984	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1985	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
ADAMS	94	109	77	15.95 %	29.35- %	18.08- %
AMESBURY	595	418	354	29.74- %	15.31- %	40.50- %
ATTLEBORO	604	674	833	11.58 %	23.59 %	37.91 %
AYER	782	668	609	14.58- %	8.83- %	22.12- %
BARNSTABLE	1197	943	1105	21.21- %	17.17 %	7.68- %
BOSTON	327	343	329	4.89 %	4.08- %	0.61 %
BRIGHTON	229	342	411	49.34 %	20.17 %	79.47 %
BROCKTON	1070	884	917	17.38- %	3.73 %	14.29- %
BROOKLINE	190	175	158	7.89- %	9.71- %	16.84- %
CAMBRIDGE	633	518	578	18.16- %	11.58 %	8.68- %
CHARLESTOWN	693	863	714	24.53 %	17.26- %	3.03 %
CHELSEA	1472	1317	1290	10.52- %	2.05- %	12.36- %
CHICOPEE	349	294	274	15.75- %	6.80- %	21.48- %
CLINTON	388	325	324	16.23- %	0.30- %	16.49- %
CONCORD	696	673	603	3.30- %	10.40- %	13.36- %
DEDHAM	998	711	738	28.75- %	3.79 %	26.05- %
DORCHESTER	397	576	682	45.08 %	18.40 %	71.78 %
DUDLEY	559	357	382	36.13- %	7.00 %	31.66- %
EAST BOSTON	138	180	151	30.43 %	16.11- %	9.42 %
EDGARTOWN	135	197	210	45.92 %	6.59 %	55.55 %
FALL RIVER	780	870	932	11.53 %	7.12 %	19.48 %
FITCHBURG	337	269	289	20.17- %	7.43 %	14.24- %
FRAMINGHAM	984	879	844	10.67- %	3.98- %	14.22- %
GARDNER	215	190	250	11.62- %	31.57 %	16.27 %
GLOUCESTER	238	291	299	22.26 %	2.74 %	25.63 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	96	130	149	35.41 %	14.61 %	55.20 %
GREENFIELD	417	321	332	23.02- %	3.42 %	20.38- %
HAVERHILL	1267	284	310	77.58- %	9.15 %	75.53- %
HINGHAM	953	824	712	13.53- %	13.59- %	25.28- %
HOLYOKE	282	252	274	10.63- %	8.73 %	2.83- %
IPSWICH	84	65	55	22.61- %	15.38- %	34.52- %
LAWRENCE	1238	1118	835	9.69- %	25.31- %	32.55- %
LEE	135	100	88	25.92- %	12.00- %	34.81- %
LEOMINSTER	292	309	301	5.82 %	2.58- %	3.08 %
LOWELL	1296	1068	966	17.66- %	9.73- %	25.53- %
LYNN	820	800	803	2.43- %	0.37 %	2.07- %
MALDEN	728	608	594	16.48- %	2.30- %	18.40- %
MARLBOROUGH	257	218	264	15.17- %	21.10 %	2.72 %
MILFORD	397	410	401	3.27 %	2.19- %	1.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1984	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1985	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
NANTUCKET	67	85	75	26.86 %	11.76- %	11.94 %
NATICK	208	193	210	7.21- %	8.80 %	0.96 %
NEW BEDFORD	627	624	642	0.47- %	2.88 %	2.39 %
NEWBURYPORT	426	351	380	17.60- %	8.26 %	10.79- %
NEWTON	370	367	355	0.81- %	3.26- %	4.05- %
NORTH ADAMS	164	202	151	23.17 %	25.24- %	7.92- %
NORTHAMPTON	804	681	745	15.29- %	9.39 %	7.33- %
ORANGE	131	200	192	52.67 %	4.00- %	46.56 %
ORLEANS	635	488	683	23.14- %	39.95 %	7.55 %
PALMER	504	433	374	14.08- %	13.62- %	25.79- %
PEABODY	717	585	659	18.41- %	12.64 %	8.08- %
PITTSFIELD	337	382	354	13.35 %	7.32- %	5.04 %
PLYMOUTH	718	731	583	1.81 %	20.24- %	18.80- %
QUINCY	1408	1276	1437	9.37- %	12.61 %	2.05 %
ROXBURY	474	439	487	7.38- %	10.93 %	2.74 %
SALEM	703	766	995	8.96 %	29.89 %	41.53 %
SOMERVILLE	460	429	494	6.73- %	15.15 %	7.39 %
SOUTH BOSTON	187	248	263	32.62 %	6.04 %	40.64 %
SPENCER	327	335	301	2.44 %	10.14- %	7.95- %
SPRINGFIELD	1251	1220	1160	2.47- %	4.91- %	7.27- %
STOUGHTON	427	491	521	14.98 %	6.10 %	22.01 %
TAUNTON	869	792	768	8.86- %	3.03- %	11.62- %
UXBRIDGE	289	341	341	17.99 %	0.00 %	17.99 %
WALTHAM	619	698	770	12.76 %	10.31 %	24.39 %
WARE	163	77	90	52.76- %	16.88 %	44.78- %
WAREHAM	618	597	622	3.39- %	4.18 %	0.64 %
WEST ROXBURY	384	524	899	36.45 %	71.56 %	134.11 %
WESTBOROUGH	644	597	505	7.29- %	15.41- %	21.58- %
WESTFIELD	391	352	395	9.97- %	12.21 %	1.02 %
WINCHENDON	38	73	70	92.10 %	4.10- %	84.21 %
WOBURN	850	932	1077	9.64 %	15.55 %	26.70 %
WORCESTER	1137	983	1142	13.54- %	16.17 %	0.43 %
WRENTHAM	608	677	874	11.34 %	29.09 %	43.75 %
** TOTALS **	39912	36742	38049	7.94- %	3.55 %	4.66- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL: DISPOSITION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NTGTY 01-12 1985	NTGTY 01-12 1986	24D 01-12 1985	24D 01-12 1986	14DH 01-12 1985	14DH 01-12 1986	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1985	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1986	INC 01-12 1985	INC 01-12 1986	OTH. 01-12 1985	OTH. 01-12 1986	TOTAL 01-12 1985	TOTAL 01-12 1986
ADAMS	3	4	59	16	11	3	7	0	1	7	5	8	86	58
AMESBURY	8	3	233	211	42	51	19	24	0	0	5	3	307	292
ATTLEBORO	4	5	341	383	71	92	3	0	9	13	14	26	442	519
AYER	35	58	409	348	90	81	6	6	42	45	126	111	708	649
BARNSTABLE	45	38	586	486	146	75	0	0	0	0	133	91	914	690
BOSTON	28	16	99	75	13	0	2	0	19	3	53	86	214	183
BRIGHTON	6	6	163	234	13	26	5	3	9	0	29	64	225	333
BROCKTON	63	43	531	522	179	146	9	8	41	42	43	38	866	799
BROOKLINE	4	1	136	119	22	16	0	14	5	3	20	13	187	166
CAMBRIDGE	26	12	346	433	77	96	12	12	15	1	27	26	503	580
CHARLESTOWN	7	15	363	369	16	22	0	0	12	16	13	15	411	437
CHELSEA	44	32	639	564	70	71	0	0	23	39	341	383	1117	1089
CHICOPEE	28	21	151	182	21	32	0	4	5	10	5	28	210	277
CLINTON	17	22	185	167	33	41	6	3	10	40	20	19	271	292
CONCORD	18	7	426	361	70	62	41	48	28	23	73	59	656	560
DEDHAM	61	82	687	938	178	299	67	112	16	37	232	112	1241	1580
DORCHESTER	24	20	268	335	24	74	5	27	9	22	12	47	342	525
DUDLEY	18	21	341	291	90	127	1	0	0	2	34	28	484	469
EAST BOSTON	0	3	90	68	8	1	0	0	1	0	0	17	99	89
EDGARTOWN	32	30	117	79	10	24	0	0	6	4	17	24	182	161
FALL RIVER	129	121	444	564	150	171	23	10	55	83	253	319	1054	1268
FITCHBURG	58	51	278	206	106	87	12	14	27	45	50	49	531	452
FRAMINGHAM	58	41	327	108	79	68	15	10	16	15	233	398	728	640
GARDNER	15	24	89	91	9	27	10	0	2	10	26	39	151	191
GLOUCESTER	10	5	118	148	39	42	0	0	13	9	29	42	209	246
GREAT BARRINGTON	4	9	68	80	7	13	1	0	2	5	9	13	91	120
GREENFIELD	34	24	210	184	58	44	9	5	19	18	33	32	363	307
HAVERHILL	67	52	335	277	154	173	15	10	84	126	23	4	678	640
HINGHAM	44	53	490	447	102	101	8	1	35	40	19	12	698	654
HOLYOKE	24	18	133	121	28	23	5	10	19	16	13	17	222	205
IPSWICH	0	0	29	30	8	5	1	2	4	4	1	2	43	43
LAWRENCE	32	40	656	574	133	166	25	2	30	57	52	57	926	896
LEE	5	2	70	49	12	7	0	0	2	2	5	3	94	63
LEOMINSTER	10	16	163	149	27	33	0	0	8	13	58	58	266	269
LOWELL	27	17	625	598	145	138	4	7	43	51	192	196	1036	1007
LYNN	7	15	411	416	42	60	7	0	5	19	0	0	472	510
MALDEN	12	18	362	329	61	70	13	9	19	29	6	17	473	472
MARLBOROUGH	4	5	137	95	36	39	4	3	9	18	12	29	202	189
MILFORD	17	33	210	234	27	45	1	0	4	17	39	56	298	385
NANTUCKET	1	3	54	46	4	6	5	0	1	4	1	6	66	65

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL: DISPOSITION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NTGTY 01-12 1985	NTGTY 01-12 1986	24D 01-12 1985	24D 01-12 1986	14DH 01-12 1985	14DH 01-12 1986	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1985	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1986	INC 01-12 1985	INC 01-12 1986	OTH. 01-12 1985	OTH. 01-12 1986	TOTAL 01-12 1985	TOTAL 01-12 1986
NATICK	7	20	130	104	40	24	1	2	1	18	22	20	201	188
NEW BEDFORD	18	29	232	277	58	15	15	37	1	0	118	84	442	442
NEWBURYPORT	6	8	140	189	31	28	3	6	4	22	11	26	195	279
NEWTON	12	17	210	212	56	43	16	10	6	8	6	4	306	294
NORTH ADAMS	6	3	118	87	7	15	0	0	4	4	22	14	157	123
NORTHAMPTON	42	52	487	418	122	127	4	10	42	49	56	24	753	680
ORANGE	10	7	117	106	22	29	2	0	4	6	18	4	173	152
ORLEANS	16	35	300	347	6	13	2	0	6	19	16	60	346	474
PALMER	18	21	267	174	61	69	3	0	10	25	57	45	416	314
PEABODY	4	13	316	331	53	59	0	3	30	33	77	74	480	513
PITTSFIELD	14	16	263	243	45	55	3	3	22	36	22	17	369	370
PLYMOUTH	7	9	56	0	6	76	14	6	15	27	103	93	201	211
QUINCY	12	9	844	795	166	148	30	25	10	37	141	221	1203	1235
ROXBURY	9	22	201	221	7	1	0	0	7	8	52	51	276	303
SALEM	1	11	319	454	46	82	14	3	5	56	40	65	425	659
SOMERVILLE	17	3	317	441	121	164	35	15	1	0	3	1	494	624
SOUTH BOSTON	10	6	127	149	10	9	3	12	7	1	46	55	203	232
SPENCER	20	9	160	166	36	21	2	2	16	21	28	104	262	323
SPRINGFIELD	1	0	696	575	124	144	3	0	47	11	119	139	990	869
STOUGHTON	37	32	287	310	63	87	6	1	8	21	94	18	495	469
TAUNTON	9	9	457	419	109	75	11	8	15	20	90	63	691	594
UXBRIDGE	11	17	168	171	38	38	4	6	7	13	18	10	246	255
WALTHAM	21	31	400	429	85	116	13	6	30	36	30	30	579	648
WARE	1	0	41	67	8	13	1	1	9	4	2	11	62	96
WAREHAM	91	66	403	397	136	154	10	12	61	63	89	45	790	737
WEST ROXBURY	151	55	312	485	33	50	0	5	1	5	25	26	522	626
WESTBOROUGH	39	29	375	297	106	78	18	14	11	8	35	15	584	441
WESTFIELD	35	25	229	199	54	44	7	14	5	6	16	13	346	301
WINCHEND'N	1	3	23	26	2	6	1	1	0	0	8	9	35	45
WOBURN	37	32	468	503	68	117	15	36	75	97	148	91	811	876
WORCESTER	54	50	358	169	57	88	0	0	11	10	287	306	767	623
WRENTHAM	22	25	358	405	62	60	0	1	24	21	164	276	630	788
** TOTALS **	1772	1650	20556	20113	4249	4687	577	583	1143	1572	4219	4559	32516	33164

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DISTRICT/BMC: ADULTS UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1986)

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1985	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1986	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1985	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1986	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1985	TOTAL R/N DEC 1986	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-85	% CHG TOTALR/N 85-86	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-86
ADAMS	29	43	18	30	26	25	40	57	70	42.5 %	22.8 %	75.0 %
AMESBURY	89	67	60	57	58	55	171	180	186	5.2 %	3.3 %	8.7 %
ATTLEBORO	84	109	111	86	87	89	96	118	140	22.9 %	18.6 %	45.8 %
AYER	151	127	68	162	135	102	113	125	91	10.6 %	27.2-%	19.4-%
BARNSTABLE	259	177	111	409	176	162	222	225	194	1.3 %	13.7-%	12.6-%
BOSTON	586	1744	666	322	746	744	584	1082	984	85.2 %	9.0-%	68.4 %
BRIGHTON	543	361	404	298	290	291	361	431	547	19.3 %	26.9 %	51.5 %
BROCKTON	595	658	454	272	178	196	427	507	567	18.7 %	11.8 %	32.7 %
BROOKLINE	73	89	110	75	96	64	102	97	143	4.9-%	47.4 %	40.1 %
CAMBRIDGE	160	181	260	109	134	263	223	270	287	21.0 %	6.2 %	28.6 %
CHARLESTOWN	45	28	37	52	40	43	51	39	33	23.5-%	15.3-%	35.2-%
CHELSEA	363	259	213	262	228	175	379	410	460	8.1 %	12.1 %	21.3 %
CHICOPEE	79	51	94	77	61	67	70	60	87	14.2-%	45.0 %	24.2 %
CLINTON	107	98	134	171	87	98	141	152	158	7.8 %	3.9 %	12.0 %
CONCORD	441	138	80	410	320	139	374	184	175	50.8-%	4.8-%	53.2-%
DEDHAM	256	190	125	191	209	196	303	282	241	6.9-%	14.5-%	20.4-%
DORCHESTER	1260	1173	906	978	966	860	951	1091	962	14.7 %	11.8-%	1.1 %
DUDLEY	154	177	208	143	152	142	179	204	270	13.9 %	32.3 %	50.8 %
EAST BOSTON	228	195	263	256	220	180	227	191	266	15.8-%	39.2 %	17.1 %
EDGARTOWN	61	70	58	69	57	61	64	77	74	20.3 %	3.8-%	15.6 %
FALL RIVER	163	160	183	196	127	75	202	235	323	16.3 %	37.4 %	59.9 %
FITCHBURG	295	265	142	257	306	266	292	251	327	14.0-%	30.2 %	11.9 %
FRAMINGHAM	173	173	170	165	145	176	207	237	190	14.4 %	19.8-%	8.2-%
GARDNER	259	227	201	229	209	188	227	245	258	7.9 %	5.3 %	13.6 %
GLOUCESTER	140	126	173	138	101	98	197	164	227	16.7-%	38.4 %	15.2 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	17	27	21	10	11	11	15	28	40	86.6 %	42.8 %	166.6 %
GREENFIELD	104	86	157	145	137	113	176	160	167	9.0-%	4.3 %	5.1-%
HAVERHILL	159	150	176	132	97	91	266	294	321	10.5 %	9.1 %	20.6 %
HINGHAM	287	287	230	230	248	216	305	272	286	10.8-%	5.1 %	6.2-%
HOLYOKE	58	15	42	292	59	39	70	26	74	62.8-%	184.6 %	5.7 %
IPSWICH	95	60	66	50	76	87	106	113	97	6.6 %	14.1-%	8.4-%
LAWRENCE	264	173	250	109	125	66	452	502	600	11.0 %	19.5 %	32.7 %
LEE	15	60	10	18	15	25	17	62	56	264.7 %	9.6-%	229.4 %
LEOMINSTER	91	60	95	92	86	75	81	57	77	29.6-%	35.0 %	4.9-%
LOWELL	95	116	96	61	55	63	158	237	260	50.0 %	9.7 %	64.5 %
LYNN	504	569	503	357	407	452	691	805	856	16.4 %	6.3 %	23.8 %
MALDEN	284	246	230	302	258	209	269	257	278	4.4-%	8.1 %	3.3 %
MARLBOROUGH	165	110	140	155	184	171	216	165	136	23.6-%	17.5-%	37.0-%
MILFORD	85	92	126	86	79	82	87	104	118	19.5 %	13.4 %	35.6 %
NANTUCKET	7	13	0	2	17	26	3	0	50	0.0 **	0.0 **	1566.6 %
NATICK	139	159	130	63	166	166	180	163	120	9.4-%	26.3-%	33.3-%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

[illegible]

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT	TOTAL DUIL 12-84	TOTAL DUIL 12-85	TOTAL DUIL 12-86	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-84	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-85	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-86	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-84	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-85	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-86	% CHANGE 84-85	% CHANGE 85-86	% CHANGE 84-86
ADAMS	57	61	41	68	80	83	125	141	124	12.80 %	12.05- %	0.80- %
AMESBURY	462	639	683	77	87	91	539	726	774	34.69 %	6.61 %	43.59 %
ATTLEBORO	455	566	673	353	385	442	808	951	1115	17.69 %	17.24 %	37.99 %
AYER	382	453	481	320	345	396	702	798	877	13.67 %	9.89 %	24.92 %
BARNSTABLE	1009	1030	961	364	446	457	1373	1476	1418	7.50 %	3.92- %	3.27 %
BOSTON	107	177	282	572	626	716	679	803	998	18.26 %	24.28 %	46.98 %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	123	205	258	123	205	258	66.66 %	25.85 %	109.75 %
BROCKTON	672	771	684	373	463	517	1045	1234	1201	18.08 %	2.67- %	14.92 %
BROOKLINE	219	196	197	90	98	99	309	294	296	4.85- %	0.68 %	4.20- %
CAMBRIDGE	632	598	573	558	543	659	1190	1141	1232	4.11- %	7.97 %	3.52 %
CHARLESTOWN	478	599	552	63	47	55	541	646	607	19.40 %	6.03- %	12.19 %
CHELSEA	574	352	242	233	247	240	807	599	482	25.77- %	19.53- %	40.27- %
CHICOPEE	275	257	328	73	80	97	348	337	425	3.16- %	26.11 %	22.12 %
CLINTON	216	201	216	185	184	205	401	385	421	3.99- %	9.35 %	4.98 %
CONCORD	562	642	671	314	312	307	876	954	978	8.90 %	2.51 %	11.64 %
DEDHAM	937	833	806	276	308	341	1213	1141	1147	5.93- %	0.52 %	5.44- %
DORCHESTER	316	349	486	4105	4300	4200	4421	4649	4686	5.15 %	0.79 %	5.99 %
DUDLEY	367	299	364	539	620	732	906	919	1096	1.43 %	19.26 %	20.97 %
EAST BOSTON	112	110	115	183	142	203	295	252	318	14.57- %	26.19 %	7.79 %
EDGARTOWN	82	116	97	25	34	48	107	150	145	40.18 %	3.33- %	35.51 %
FALL RIVER	448	700	675	143	106	74	591	806	749	36.37 %	7.07- %	26.73 %
FITCHBURG	187	201	218	254	267	276	441	468	494	6.12 %	5.55 %	12.01 %
FRAMINGHAM	891	913	745	320	357	311	1211	1270	1056	4.87 %	16.85- %	12.79- %
GARDNER	21	10	7	307	364	375	328	374	382	14.02 %	2.13 %	16.46 %
GLOUCESTER	138	150	172	174	173	177	312	323	349	3.52 %	8.04 %	11.85 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	91	104	116	130	127	135	221	231	251	4.52 %	8.65 %	13.57 %
GREENFIELD	251	256	401	216	241	243	467	497	644	6.42 %	29.57 %	37.90 %
HAVERHILL	513	607	654	374	452	470	887	1059	1124	19.39 %	6.13 %	26.71 %
HINGHAM	1072	1036	820	230	285	260	1302	1321	1080	1.45 %	18.24- %	17.05- %
HOLYOKE	181	234	254	336	566	596	517	800	850	54.73 %	6.25 %	64.41 %
IPSWICH	52	14	10	30	28	30	82	42	40	48.78- %	4.76- %	51.21- %
LAWRENCE	1081	1115	1261	1313	1394	1460	2394	2509	2721	4.80 %	8.44 %	13.65 %
LEE	96	82	67	64	59	57	160	141	124	11.87- %	12.05- %	22.50- %
LEOMINSTER	219	284	293	359	397	453	578	681	746	17.82 %	9.54 %	29.06 %
LOWELL	1072	1010	1106	1689	1450	1557	2761	2460	2663	10.90- %	8.25 %	3.54- %
LYNN	570	880	1047	784	916	1060	1354	1796	2107	32.64 %	17.31 %	55.61 %
MALDEN	437	424	439	482	563	601	919	987	1040	7.39 %	5.36 %	13.16 %
MARLBOROUGH	162	153	100	256	271	278	418	424	378	1.43 %	10.84- %	9.56- %
MILFORD	411	478	574	345	367	388	756	845	962	11.77 %	13.84 %	27.24 %
NANTUCKET	51	104	78	26	0	40	77	104	118	35.06 %	13.46 %	53.24 %
NATICK	296	237	204	74	81	88	370	318	292	14.05- %	8.17- %	21.08- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT	TOTAL DUIL 12-84	TOTAL DUIL 12-85	TOTAL DUIL 12-86	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-84	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-85	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-86	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-84	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-85	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-86	% CHANGE 84-85	% CHANGE 85-86	% CHANGE 84-86
NEW BEDFORD	352	510	518	327	426	332	679	936	850	37.84 %	9.18- %	25.18 %
NEWBURYPORT	398	416	546	31	49	59	429	465	605	8.39 %	30.10 %	41.02 %
NEWTON	301	304	346	224	231	237	525	535	583	1.90 %	8.97 %	11.04 %
NORTH ADAMS	76	157	173	190	211	242	266	368	415	38.34 %	12.77 %	56.01 %
NORTHAMPTON	1168	1288	1446	250	299	275	1418	1587	1721	11.91 %	8.44 %	21.36 %
ORANGE	113	202	241	91	109	108	204	311	349	52.45 %	12.21 %	71.07 %
ORLEANS	565	426	313	318	242	230	883	668	543	24.34- %	18.71- %	38.50- %
PALMER	226	317	217	212	211	237	438	528	454	20.54 %	14.01- %	3.65 %
PEABODY	435	389	294	130	140	144	565	529	438	6.37- %	17.20- %	22.47- %
PITTSFIELD	335	384	424	169	181	196	504	565	620	12.10 %	9.73 %	23.01 %
PLYMOUTH	432	539	548	239	257	319	671	796	867	18.62 %	8.91 %	29.21 %
QUINCY	1205	1220	1270	396	453	530	1601	1673	1800	4.49 %	7.59 %	12.42 %
ROXBURY	462	542	603	802	847	880	1264	1389	1483	9.88 %	6.76 %	17.32 %
SALEM	723	590	752	419	442	491	1142	1032	1243	9.63- %	20.44 %	8.84 %
SOMERVILLE	579	689	894	447	518	562	1026	1207	1456	17.64 %	20.62 %	41.91 %
SOUTH BOSTON	195	187	257	220	208	210	415	395	467	4.81- %	18.22 %	12.53 %
SPENCER	285	303	318	269	274	242	554	577	560	4.15 %	2.94- %	1.08 %
SPRINGFIELD	1273	1335	1370	1730	1953	2259	3003	3288	3629	9.49 %	10.37 %	20.84 %
STOUGHTON	386	443	474	105	110	109	491	553	583	12.62 %	5.42 %	18.73 %
TAUNTON	580	542	428	433	479	427	1013	1021	855	0.78 %	16.25- %	15.59- %
UXBRIDGE	199	157	334	190	279	252	389	436	586	12.08 %	34.40 %	50.64 %
WALTHAM	429	442	398	215	236	222	644	678	620	5.27 %	8.55- %	3.72- %
WARE	3	11	17	67	59	58	70	70	75	0.00 %	7.14 %	7.14 %
WAREHAM	378	508	539	213	202	242	591	710	781	20.13 %	10.00 %	32.14 %
WEST ROXBURY	209	273	485	347	441	540	556	714	1025	28.41 %	43.55 %	84.35 %
WESTBOROUGH	212	235	242	158	164	172	370	399	414	7.83 %	3.75 %	11.89 %
WESTFIELD	190	274	254	48	226	261	238	500	515	110.08 %	3.00 %	116.38 %
WINCHENDON	20	28	37	50	67	71	70	95	108	35.71 %	13.68 %	54.28 %
WOBURN	664	885	951	387	411	469	1051	1296	1420	23.31 %	9.56 %	35.10 %
WORCESTER	1226	1463	1374	803	944	1023	2029	2407	2397	18.62 %	0.41- %	18.13 %
WRENTHAM	364	301	350	403	413	385	767	714	735	6.91- %	2.94 %	4.17- %
TOTAL	30137	32601	34106	26683	29098	30859	56820	61699	64965	8.58 %	5.29 %	14.33 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,84		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,85		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,86		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,86		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,86	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
ADAMS	8	33.3	16	66.6	24	100.0	5	33.3	10	66.6	15	100.0	7	63.6	4	36.3	11	100.0
AMESBURY	49	77.7	14	22.2	63	100.0	72	65.4	38	34.5	110	100.0	171	75.6	55	24.3	226	100.0
ATTLEBORO	22	21.7	79	78.2	101	100.0	44	53.0	39	46.9	83	100.0	67	46.8	76	53.1	143	100.0
AYER	32	52.4	29	47.5	61	100.0	34	43.0	45	56.9	79	100.0	23	50.0	23	50.0	46	100.0
BARNSTABLE	51	23.7	164	76.2	215	100.0	51	18.0	231	81.9	282	100.0	88	26.4	245	73.5	333	100.0
BOSTON	451	69.9	194	30.0	645	100.0	613	63.7	348	36.2	961	100.0	670	67.3	325	32.6	995	100.0
BRIGHTON	42	64.6	23	35.3	65	100.0	63	76.8	19	23.1	82	100.0	71	41.7	99	58.2	170	100.0
BROCKTON	172	28.5	430	71.4	602	100.0	239	30.7	538	69.2	777	100.0	259	28.5	647	71.4	906	100.0
BROOKLINE	45	21.2	167	78.7	212	100.0	44	29.7	104	70.2	148	100.0	35	28.0	90	72.0	125	100.0
CAMBRIDGE	153	46.3	177	53.6	330	100.0	157	44.3	197	55.6	354	100.0	172	41.7	240	58.2	412	100.0
CHARLESTOWN	17	41.4	24	58.5	41	100.0	30	46.8	34	53.1	64	100.0	23	33.3	46	66.6	69	100.0
CHELSEA	94	31.3	206	68.6	300	100.0	110	23.6	355	76.3	465	100.0	123	27.6	322	72.3	445	100.0
CHICOPEE	4	10.0	36	90.0	40	100.0	2	7.6	24	92.3	26	100.0	3	9.3	29	90.6	32	100.0
CLINTON	31	33.3	62	66.6	93	100.0	44	40.7	64	59.2	108	100.0	43	34.6	81	65.3	124	100.0
CONCORD	37	28.4	93	71.5	130	100.0	32	29.0	78	70.9	110	100.0	35	23.6	113	76.3	148	100.0
DEDHAM	82	73.8	29	26.1	111	100.0	57	78.0	16	21.9	73	100.0	69	86.2	11	13.7	80	100.0
DORCHESTER	421	18.0	1914	81.9	2335	100.0	690	22.0	2445	77.9	3135	100.0	700	21.6	2527	78.3	3227	100.0
DUDLEY	37	54.4	31	45.5	68	100.0	66	70.9	27	29.0	93	100.0	182	85.0	32	14.9	214	100.0
EAST BOSTON	61	45.8	72	54.1	133	100.0	67	61.4	42	38.5	109	100.0	82	55.7	65	44.2	147	100.0
EDGARTOWN	15	19.2	63	80.7	78	100.0	30	18.1	135	81.8	165	100.0	37	20.5	143	79.4	180	100.0
FALL RIVER	238	65.0	128	34.9	366	100.0	238	61.0	152	38.9	390	100.0	246	54.1	208	45.8	454	100.0
FITCHBURG	91	23.4	297	76.5	388	100.0	110	23.9	350	76.0	460	100.0	160	30.0	372	69.9	532	100.0
FRAMINGHAM	59	23.8	188	76.1	247	100.0	107	29.3	258	70.6	365	100.0	133	29.9	311	70.0	444	100.0
GARDNER	22	19.4	91	80.5	113	100.0	50	34.4	95	65.5	145	100.0	37	28.9	91	71.0	128	100.0
GLOUCESTER	26	56.5	20	43.4	46	100.0	38	50.0	38	50.0	76	100.0	35	48.6	37	51.3	72	100.0
GREAT BARRINGTON	12	66.6	6	33.3	18	100.0	6	28.5	15	71.4	21	100.0	13	32.5	27	67.5	40	100.0
GREENFIELD	16	32.0	34	68.0	50	100.0	16	37.2	27	62.7	43	100.0	29	32.5	60	67.4	89	100.0
HAVERHILL	66	100.0	0	0.0	66	100.0	69	93.2	5	6.7	74	100.0	115	100.0	0	0.0	115	100.0
HINGHAM	32	18.0	145	81.9	177	100.0	39	22.6	133	77.3	172	100.0	74	30.3	170	69.6	244	100.0
HOLYOKE	187	40.5	274	59.4	461	100.0	288	49.9	289	50.0	577	100.0	221	44.6	274	55.3	495	100.0
IPSWICH	11	45.8	13	54.1	24	100.0	17	53.1	15	46.8	32	100.0	14	41.1	20	58.8	34	100.0
LAWRENCE	28	42.4	38	57.5	66	100.0	0	0.0	177	100.0	177	100.0	14	7.9	162	92.0	176	100.0
LEE	18	27.6	47	72.3	65	100.0	20	17.5	94	82.4	114	100.0	13	8.4	141	91.5	154	100.0
LEOMINSTER	35	21.8	125	78.1	160	100.0	19	12.8	129	87.1	148	100.0	32	11.8	239	88.1	271	100.0
LOWELL	51	49.5	52	50.4	103	100.0	307	68.8	139	31.1	446	100.0	752	62.3	455	37.6	1207	100.0
LYNN	137	56.1	107	43.8	244	100.0	85	58.6	60	41.3	145	100.0	196	51.5	184	48.4	380	100.0
MALDEN	61	18.8	262	81.1	323	100.0	43	9.5	409	90.4	452	100.0	53	12.6	366	87.3	419	100.0
MARLBOROUGH	22	25.2	65	74.7	87	100.0	38	17.1	183	82.8	221	100.0	33	17.3	157	82.6	190	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84 #	%	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85 #	%	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,86 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,86 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,86 #	%
MILFORD	4	4.0	96	96.0	100	100.0	9	7.3	114	92.6	123	100.0	0	0.0	81	100.0	81	100.0
NANTUCKET	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	5	45.4	6	54.5	11	100.0	0	0.0	40	100.0	40	100.0
NATICK	25	24.5	77	75.4	102	100.0	34	27.6	89	72.3	123	100.0	56	34.5	106	65.4	162	100.0
NEW BEDFORD	189	69.2	84	30.7	273	100.0	252	83.4	50	16.5	302	100.0	305	83.7	59	16.2	364	100.0
NEWBURYPORT	20	54.0	17	45.9	37	100.0	18	43.9	23	56.0	41	100.0	57	39.8	86	60.1	143	100.0
NEWTON	101	80.8	24	19.2	125	100.0	96	81.3	22	18.6	118	100.0	79	72.4	30	27.5	109	100.0
NORTH ADAMS	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0
NORTHAMPTON	37	48.0	40	51.9	77	100.0	51	30.1	118	69.8	169	100.0	65	26.2	183	73.7	248	100.0
ORANGE	33	70.2	14	29.7	47	100.0	36	75.0	12	25.0	48	100.0	43	62.3	26	37.6	69	100.0
ORLEANS	77	31.8	165	68.1	242	100.0	97	33.3	194	66.6	291	100.0	107	33.7	210	66.2	317	100.0
PALMER	16	36.3	28	63.6	44	100.0	18	40.9	26	59.0	44	100.0	12	34.2	23	65.7	35	100.0
PEABODY	65	25.0	195	75.0	260	100.0	67	22.4	231	77.5	298	100.0	108	31.2	238	68.7	346	100.0
PITTSFIELD	64	52.0	59	47.9	123	100.0	74	56.9	56	43.0	130	100.0	117	58.5	83	41.5	200	100.0
PLYMOUTH	13	15.6	70	84.3	83	100.0	2	2.4	80	97.5	82	100.0	4	3.2	118	96.7	122	100.0
QUINCY	117	8.7	1226	91.2	1343	100.0	206	12.8	1402	87.1	1608	100.0	247	17.5	1161	82.4	1408	100.0
ROXBURY	186	66.4	94	33.5	280	100.0	200	65.1	107	34.8	307	100.0	171	70.9	70	29.0	241	100.0
SALEM	32	19.7	130	80.2	162	100.0	24	28.9	59	71.0	83	100.0	26	24.5	80	75.4	106	100.0
SOMERVILLE	114	49.1	118	50.8	232	100.0	145	54.7	120	45.2	265	100.0	153	52.7	137	47.2	290	100.0
SOUTH BOSTON	41	54.6	34	45.3	75	100.0	44	31.8	94	68.1	138	100.0	18	18.0	82	82.0	100	100.0
SPENCER	13	11.5	100	88.4	113	100.0	26	17.5	122	82.4	148	100.0	41	19.9	165	80.0	206	100.0
SPRINGFIELD	611	54.6	507	45.3	1118	100.0	612	54.9	501	45.0	1113	100.0	393	40.2	583	59.7	976	100.0
STOUGHTON	54	19.4	224	80.5	278	100.0	84	27.1	225	72.8	309	100.0	83	24.4	256	75.5	339	100.0
TAUNTON	93	38.1	151	61.8	244	100.0	104	34.5	197	65.4	301	100.0	120	33.7	236	66.2	356	100.0
UXBRIDGE	3	30.0	7	70.0	10	100.0	7	25.9	20	74.0	27	100.0	17	26.5	47	73.4	64	100.0
WALTHAM	84	67.2	41	32.8	125	100.0	81	59.1	56	40.8	137	100.0	97	66.8	48	33.1	145	100.0
WARE	23	23.0	77	77.0	100	100.0	35	28.9	86	71.0	121	100.0	61	30.8	137	69.1	198	100.0
WAREHAM	98	35.3	179	64.6	277	100.0	91	23.8	291	76.1	382	100.0	96	22.8	325	77.1	421	100.0
WEST ROXBURY	63	52.0	58	47.9	121	100.0	71	59.1	49	40.8	120	100.0	57	47.1	64	52.8	121	100.0
WESTBOROUGH	60	44.1	76	55.8	136	100.0	76	43.9	97	56.0	173	100.0	66	33.1	133	66.8	199	100.0
WESTFIELD	7	31.8	15	68.1	22	100.0	14	25.0	42	75.0	56	100.0	26	24.2	81	75.7	107	100.0
WINCHENDON	10	32.2	21	67.7	31	100.0	21	44.6	26	55.3	47	100.0	21	39.6	32	60.3	53	100.0
WOBURN	117	43.0	155	56.9	272	100.0	160	44.5	199	55.4	359	100.0	170	46.8	193	53.1	363	100.0
WORCESTER	109	36.8	187	63.1	296	100.0	79	44.6	98	55.3	177	100.0	230	27.8	596	72.1	826	100.0
WRENTHAM	39	29.5	93	70.4	132	100.0	70	36.6	121	63.3	191	100.0	110	45.6	131	54.3	241	100.0
TOTALS	5456	35.1	10081	64.8	15537	100.0	6849	35.7	12292	64.2	19141	100.0	8191	36.4	14294	63.5	22485	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
ADAMS	88064	102110	117919	15.94 %	15.48 %	33.90 %
AMESBURY	108338	121984	116587	12.59 %	4.42- %	7.61 %
ATTLEBORO	391155	435221	472017	11.26 %	8.45 %	20.67 %
AYER	314387	325706	365587	3.60 %	12.24 %	16.28 %
BARNSTABLE	453681	541426	645868	19.34 %	19.29 %	42.36 %
BOSTON	267219	279720	322420	4.67 %	15.26 %	20.65 %
BRIGHTON	149687	195737	249794	30.76 %	27.61 %	66.87 %
BROCKTON	471444	590851	677940	25.32 %	14.73 %	43.80 %
BROOKLINE	124367	150027	162788	20.63 %	8.50 %	30.89 %
CAMBRIDGE	655585	611459	749611	6.73- %	22.59 %	14.34 %
CHARLESTOWN	95195	91517	98209	3.86- %	7.31 %	3.16 %
CHELSEA	240038	265440	282860	10.58 %	6.56 %	17.83 %
CHICOPEE	174987	191904	212036	9.66 %	10.49 %	21.17 %
CLINTON	202843	208291	254820	2.68 %	22.33 %	25.62 %
CONCORD	406578	428956	427259	5.50 %	0.39- %	5.09 %
DEDHAM	349759	362458	352165	3.63 %	2.83- %	0.68 %
DORCHESTER	4651820	4890269	4860373	5.12 %	0.61- %	4.48 %
DUDLEY	558827	656965	728998	17.56 %	10.96 %	30.45 %
EAST BOSTON	217608	244182	265481	12.21 %	8.72 %	21.99 %
EDGARTOWN	50987	75071	84032	47.23 %	11.93 %	64.81 %
FALL RIVER	317073	362487	389473	14.32 %	7.44 %	22.83 %
FITCHBURG	317377	381775	499498	20.29 %	30.83 %	57.38 %
FRAMINGHAM	375214	433911	508397	15.64 %	17.16 %	35.49 %
GARDNER	216752	271910	320136	25.44 %	17.73 %	47.69 %
GLOUCESTER	227590	226866	229709	0.31- %	1.25 %	0.93 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	139205	133765	130936	3.90- %	2.11- %	5.94- %
GREENFIELD	197181	238584	279435	20.99 %	17.12 %	41.71 %
HAVERHILL	302765	350838	389291	15.87 %	10.96 %	28.57 %
HINGHAM	280380	374460	478099	33.55 %	27.67 %	70.51 %
HOLYOKE	568956	623314	679156	9.55 %	8.95 %	19.36 %
IPSWICH	63974	86427	86391	35.09 %	0.04- %	35.04 %
LAWRENCE	1438955	1720282	1944200	19.55 %	13.01 %	35.11 %
LEE	86475	82488	83198	4.61- %	0.86 %	3.78- %
LEOMINSTER	417709	415063	463882	0.63- %	11.76 %	11.05 %
LOWELL	1180652	1237178	1278010	4.78 %	3.30 %	8.24 %
LYNN	661615	728604	884559	10.12 %	21.40 %	33.69 %
MALDEN	546609	613149	694432	12.17 %	13.25 %	27.04 %
MARLBOROUGH	310928	390007	488242	25.43 %	25.18 %	57.02 %
MILFORD	250832	259654	284926	3.51 %	9.73 %	13.59 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
NANTUCKET	24021	16604	53036	30.87- %	219.41 %	120.79 %
NATICK	65723	81196	104573	23.54 %	28.79 %	59.11 %
NEW BEDFORD	378651	358072	374750	5.43- %	4.65 %	1.03- %
NEWBURYPORT	128061	144490	143910	12.82 %	0.40- %	12.37 %
NEWTON	212974	256905	259137	20.62 %	0.86 %	21.67 %
NORTH ADAMS	190033	167071	187155	12.08- %	12.02 %	1.51- %
NORTHAMPTON	472189	518529	606577	9.81 %	16.98 %	28.46 %
ORANGE	91857	113620	158413	23.69 %	39.42 %	72.45 %
ORLEANS	240422	291882	315116	21.40 %	7.96 %	31.06 %
PALMER	242672	292717	324702	20.62 %	10.92 %	33.80 %
PEABODY	148965	146068	163215	1.94- %	11.73 %	9.56 %
PITTSFIELD	376921	406878	433104	7.94 %	6.44 %	14.90 %
PLYMOUTH	353707	429371	455326	21.39 %	6.04 %	28.72 %
QUINCY	840130	944817	1138394	12.46 %	20.48 %	35.50 %
ROXBURY	593875	579172	622424	2.47- %	7.46 %	4.80 %
SALEM	488946	651644	700412	33.27 %	7.48 %	43.24 %
SOMERVILLE	497040	693579	846946	39.54 %	22.11 %	70.39 %
SOUTH BOSTON	209997	232108	251769	10.52 %	8.47 %	19.89 %
SPENCER	159327	206393	268706	29.54 %	30.19 %	68.65 %
SPRINGFIELD	1508515	1657249	1981758	9.85 %	19.58 %	31.37 %
STOUGHTON	218794	224120	240235	2.43 %	7.19 %	9.79 %
TAUNTON	299002	342409	379975	14.51 %	10.97 %	27.08 %
UXBRIDGE	232806	269522	313544	15.77 %	16.33 %	34.68 %
WALTHAM	351856	366453	384724	4.14 %	4.98 %	9.34 %
WARE	67192	93984	115315	39.87 %	22.69 %	71.62 %
WAREHAM	227386	276867	360386	21.76 %	30.16 %	58.49 %
WEST ROXBURY	454891	548860	644395	20.65 %	17.40 %	41.65 %
WESTBOROUGH	229858	234578	265637	2.05 %	13.24 %	15.56 %
WESTFIELD	170155	213473	269712	25.45 %	26.34 %	58.50 %
WINCHENDON	56682	60871	68657	7.39 %	12.79 %	21.12 %
WOBURN	559144	609607	625498	9.02 %	2.60 %	11.86 %
WORCESTER	1145320	1330503	1318812	16.16 %	0.87- %	15.14 %
WRENTHAM	426452	475108	456202	11.40 %	3.97- %	6.97 %
** TOTALS **	29536355	32934756	36391249	11.50 %	10.49 %	23.20 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
ADAMS	3303	12999	4787	293.55 %	63.17- %	44.92 %
AMESBURY	15057	13428	28236	10.81- %	110.27 %	87.52 %
ATTLEBORO	74116	70142	110179	5.36- %	57.07 %	48.65 %
AYER	143331	153486	237441	7.08 %	54.69 %	65.65 %
BARNSTABLE	164107	150072	225666	8.55- %	50.37 %	37.51 %
BOSTON	180320	216357	243527	19.98 %	12.55 %	35.05 %
BRIGHTON	42758	52894	61716	23.70 %	16.67 %	44.33 %
BROCKTON	232975	308234	340367	32.30 %	10.42 %	46.09 %
BROOKLINE	76728	57099	60328	25.58- %	5.65 %	21.37- %
CAMBRIDGE	196460	160313	189974	18.39- %	18.50 %	3.30- %
CHARLESTOWN	14011	20415	13182	45.70 %	35.42- %	5.91- %
CHELSEA	84163	88141	128082	4.72 %	45.31 %	52.18 %
CHICOPEE	36694	25350	28371	30.91- %	11.91 %	22.68- %
CLINTON	30482	27199	29455	10.77- %	8.29 %	3.36- %
CONCORD	42080	56557	101434	34.40 %	79.34 %	141.05 %
DEDHAM	99886	125243	125066	25.38 %	0.14- %	25.20 %
DORCHESTER	325891	205216	172832	37.02- %	15.78- %	46.96- %
DUDLEY	53191	43202	61511	18.77- %	42.37 %	15.64 %
EAST BOSTON	92447	86282	94426	6.66- %	9.43 %	2.14 %
EDGARTOWN	15062	27644	60317	83.53 %	118.19 %	300.45 %
FALL RIVER	75530	70849	94977	6.19- %	34.05 %	25.74 %
FITCHBURG	32026	75175	69564	134.73 %	7.46- %	117.21 %
FRAMINGHAM	146153	161765	168683	10.68 %	4.27 %	15.41 %
GARDNER	35448	41415	35437	16.83 %	14.43- %	0.03- %
GLOUCESTER	31700	50570	57271	59.52 %	13.25 %	80.66 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	18967	8276	28839	56.36- %	248.46 %	52.04 %
GREENFIELD	26153	27586	35200	5.47 %	27.60 %	34.59 %
HAVERHILL	56109	73426	102229	30.86 %	39.22 %	82.19 %
HINGHAM	104587	103123	138214	1.39- %	34.02 %	32.15 %
HOLYOKE	92961	103868	134091	11.73 %	29.09 %	44.24 %
IPSWICH	39334	18393	19921	53.23- %	8.30 %	49.35- %
LAWRENCE	130938	128751	129825	1.67- %	0.83 %	0.85- %
LEE	9352	15919	15969	70.22 %	0.31 %	70.75 %
LEOMINSTER	73998	94176	121750	27.26 %	29.27 %	64.53 %
LOWELL	128859	174098	247533	35.10 %	42.18 %	92.09 %
LYNN	172687	240586	236913	39.31 %	1.52- %	37.19 %
MALDEN	100412	113322	101744	12.85 %	10.21- %	1.32 %
MARLBOROUGH	62361	64219	59016	2.97 %	8.10- %	5.36- %
MILFORD	37894	45171	35969	19.20 %	20.37- %	5.07- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC.1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC.1985	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC.1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
NANTUCKET	5839	1768	11403	69.72- %	544.96 %	95.29 %
NATICK	52686	65887	75019	25.05 %	13.86 %	42.38 %
NEW BEDFORD	83259	79019	88787	5.09- %	12.36 %	6.63 %
NEWBURYPORT	36107	40892	38959	13.25 %	4.72- %	7.89 %
NEWTON	75661	70008	65517	7.47- %	6.41- %	13.40- %
NORTH ADAMS	15387	15910	30566	3.39 %	92.11 %	98.64 %
NORTHAMPTON	85015	106884	137494	25.72 %	28.63 %	61.72 %
ORANGE	20434	29750	31148	45.59 %	4.69 %	52.43 %
ORLEANS	51075	64669	106134	26.61 %	64.11 %	107.80 %
PALMER	26992	42603	44571	57.83 %	4.61 %	65.12 %
PEABODY	56033	68345	77293	21.97 %	13.09 %	37.94 %
PITTSFIELD	59221	74174	79593	25.24 %	7.30 %	34.39 %
PLYMOUTH	101623	99732	144878	1.86- %	45.26 %	42.56 %
QUINCY	316050	341474	387478	8.04 %	13.47 %	22.60 %
ROXBURY	262471	248640	229270	5.26- %	7.79- %	12.64- %
SALEM	83054	138284	143518	66.49 %	3.78 %	72.80 %
SOMERVILLE	118451	135972	169876	14.79 %	24.93 %	43.41 %
SOUTH BOSTON	67752	86669	93679	27.92 %	8.08 %	38.26 %
SPENCER	26712	32185	45537	20.48 %	41.48 %	70.47 %
SPRINGFIELD	176151	183180	204372	3.99 %	11.56 %	16.02 %
STOUGHTON	72420	67050	81972	7.41- %	22.25 %	13.18 %
TAUNTON	88265	101267	101712	14.70 %	0.45 %	15.23 %
UXBRIDGE	22934	26251	35954	14.46 %	36.96 %	56.77 %
WALTHAM	73963	78225	90061	5.76 %	15.13 %	21.76 %
WARE	12637	16615	19239	31.47 %	15.79 %	52.24 %
WAREHAM	63003	78705	93744	24.92 %	19.10 %	48.79 %
WEST ROXBURY	138443	149353	126208	7.88 %	15.49- %	8.83- %
WESTBOROUGH	56256	45598	57936	18.94- %	27.05 %	2.98 %
WESTFIELD	21822	29595	36446	35.62 %	23.14 %	67.01 %
WINCHENDON	7392	3552	3879	51.94- %	9.20 %	47.52- %
WOBURN	238027	207420	228410	12.86- %	10.11 %	4.04- %
WORCESTER	187506	226833	244193	20.97 %	7.65 %	30.23 %
WRENTHAM	89132	90234	68256	1.23 %	24.35- %	23.42- %
** TOTALS **	6090314	6557684	7543144	7.67 %	15.02 %	23.85 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES, SURFINES IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	FINES JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
ADAMS	12397	18119	19416	46.15 I	7.15 I	56.61 I
AMESBURY	68377	58900	66272	13.85- I	12.51 I	3.07- I
ATTLEBORO	91965	109695	153125	19.27 I	39.59 I	66.50 I
AYER	46045	44706	36674	2.90- I	17.96- I	20.35- I
BARNSTABLE	188970	198564	182519	5.07 I	8.08- I	3.41- I
BOSTON	48587	49556	49973	1.99 I	0.84 I	2.85 I
BRIGHTON	17481	26366	22189	50.82 I	15.84- I	26.93 I
BROCKTON	139602	147318	131508	5.52 I	10.73- I	5.79- I
BROOKLINE	30036	23710	19951	21.05- I	15.85- I	33.57- I
CAMBRIDGE	87817	100863	131758	14.85 I	30.63 I	50.03 I
CHARLESTOWN	22790	49407	74003	116.79 I	49.78 I	224.71 I
CHELSEA	116785	110962	83496	5.30- I	24.75- I	28.50- I
CHICOPEE	25347	20513	30095	19.07- I	46.71 I	18.73 I
CLINTON	81339	92392	96529	13.58 -I	4.47 I	18.67 I
CONCORD	80368	98495	119018	22.55 I	20.83 I	48.09 I
DEDHAM	47555	51320	43213	7.92 I	15.79- I	9.23- I
DORCHESTER	13486	21954	20847	62.79 I	5.04- I	54.58 I
DUDLEY	69499	105965	115102	52.46 I	8.62 I	65.61 I
EAST BOSTON	50431	45653	52175	9.47- I	14.28 I	3.45 I
EDGARTOWN	14257	21723	28323	52.36 I	30.38 I	98.66 I
FALL RIVER	97884	130164	154988	32.97 I	19.07 I	58.33 I
FITCHBURG	72323	61411	63316	15.08- I	3.10 I	12.45- I
FRAMINGHAM	148220	150482	139469	1.52 I	7.31- I	5.90- I
GARDNER	71531	67716	74938	5.33- I	10.66 I	4.76 I
GLOUCESTER	95056	77470	69306	18.50- I	10.53- I	27.08- I
GREAT BARRINGTON	13056	17227	24964	31.94 I	44.91 I	91.20 I
GREENFIELD	24968	38328	51346	53.50 I	33.96 I	105.64 I
HAVERHILL	45730	49879	53652	9.07 I	7.56 I	17.32 I
HINGHAM	195947	184674	183991	5.75- I	0.36- I	6.10- I
HOLYOKE	39422	48831	72140	23.86 I	47.73 I	82.99 I
IPSWICH	14142	18835	20087	33.18 I	6.64 I	42.03 I
LAWRENCE	159412	149262	192471	6.36- I	28.94 I	20.73 I
LEE	20504	22619	17970	10.31 I	20.55- I	12.35- I
LEOMINSTER	152881	149113	173201	2.46- I	16.15 I	13.29 I
LOWELL	173413	255149	244307	47.13 I	4.24- I	40.88 I
LYNN	164215	202308	241247	23.19 I	19.24 I	46.90 I
MALDEN	59730	60493	66579	1.27 I	10.06 I	11.46 I
MARLBOROUGH	81705	76884	90506	5.90- I	17.71 I	10.77 I
MILFORD	42150	57827	74024	37.19 I	28.00 I	75.62 I

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES, SURFINES IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	FINES JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
NANTUCKET	1255	1806	12363	43.90 %	584.55 %	885.09 %
NATICK	29085	32106	28291	10.38 %	11.88- %	2.72- %
NEW BEDFORD	158774	141057	167904	11.15- %	19.03 %	5.75 %
NEWBURYPORT	21366	36867	26358	72.54 %	28.50- %	23.36 %
NEWTON	30225	31314	31388	3.60 %	0.23 %	3.84 %
NORTH ADAMS	24770	35393	33908	42.88 %	4.19- %	36.89 %
NORTHAMPTON	117984	136113	154025	15.36 %	13.15 %	30.54 %
ORANGE	18881	43216	67985	128.88 %	57.31 %	260.07 %
ORLEANS	98102	98821	105080	0.73 %	6.33 %	7.11 %
PALMER	25237	25245	23656	0.03 %	6.29- %	6.26- %
PEABODY	106361	77651	81657	26.99- %	5.15 %	23.22- %
PITTSFIELD	47418	77421	87475	63.27 %	12.98 %	84.47 %
PLYMOUTH	73441	86695	78091	18.04 %	9.92- %	6.33 %
QUINCY	150849	149189	158690	1.10- %	6.36 %	5.19 %
ROXBURY	38124	35014	25512	8.15- %	27.13- %	33.08- %
SALEM	82570	81643	101387	1.12- %	24.18 %	22.78 %
SOMERVILLE	122901	159841	145151	30.05 %	9.19- %	18.10 %
SOUTH BOSTON	12732	21301	26956	67.30 %	26.54 %	111.71 %
SPENCER	53158	60491	84702	13.79 %	40.02 %	59.34 %
SPRINGFIELD	250780	257281	255984	2.59 %	0.50- %	2.07 %
STOUGHTON	74655	75435	78966	1.04 %	4.68 %	5.77 %
TAUNTON	152197	175485	155125	15.30 %	11.60- %	1.92 %
UXBRIDGE	55179	71954	92256	30.40 %	28.21 %	67.19 %
WALTHAM	99620	114566	137449	15.00 %	19.97 %	37.97 %
WARE	18958	29730	37077	56.82 %	24.71 %	95.57 %
WAREHAM	127967	158499	160895	23.85 %	1.51 %	25.73 %
WEST ROXBURY	10986	10092	14746	8.13- %	46.11 %	34.22 %
WESTBOROUGH	113191	132045-	104415	16.65 %	20.92- %	7.75- %
WESTFIELD	34833	61642	76709	76.96 %	24.44 %	120.21 %
WINCHENDON	16418	11712	17426	28.66- %	48.78 %	6.13 %
WOBURN	163231	237450	246004	45.46 %	3.60 %	50.70 %
WORCESTER	416642	342815	274131	17.71- %	20.03- %	34.20- %
WRENTHAM	107881	157815	25129	46.28 %	84.07- %	76.70- %
** TOTALS **	5781232	6382538	6601577	10.40 %	3.43 %	14.18 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL 24D COURT FEE IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS.

COURT NAME	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
ADAMS	6890	10857	-9406	57.57 %	13.36- %	36.51 %
AMESBURY	200	7365	36637	3582.50 %	397.44 %	18218.50 %
ATTLEBORO	69393	75505	81160	8.80 %	7.48 %	16.95 %
AYER	56070	74162	8065	32.26 %	89.12- %	85.61- %
BARNSTABLE	117343	109909	105612	6.33- %	3.90- %	9.99- %
BOSTON	13645	12215	12303	10.48- %	0.72 %	9.83- %
BRIGHTON	17260	28875	33390	67.29 %	15.63 %	93.45 %
BROCKTON	80543	73779	70553	8.40- %	4.37- %	12.41- %
BROOKLINE	22298	23114	24251	3.65 %	4.91 %	8.75 %
CAMBRIDGE	68232	65370	69277	4.19- %	5.97 %	1.53 %
CHARLESTOWN	38635	62025	92544	60.54 %	49.20 %	139.53 %
CHELSEA	99850	98943	91437	0.98- %	7.58- %	8.43- %
CHICOPEE	22565	19210	21344	14.86- %	11.10 %	5.41- %
CLINTON	40515	34047	30614	15.96- %	10.08- %	24.43- %
CONCORD	91455	77120	67595	15.67- %	12.35- %	26.08- %
DEDHAM	109486	100710	73530	8.01- %	26.98- %	32.84- %
DORCHESTER	24020	31332	38435	30.44 %	22.67 %	60.01 %
DUDLEY	56525	49381	55437	12.63- %	12.26 %	1.92- %
EAST BOSTON	11678	14120	15783	20.91 %	11.77 %	35.15 %
EDGARTOWN	14398	19883	18818	38.19 %	5.35- %	30.69 %
FALL RIVER	53322	54429	73030	2.07 %	34.17 %	36.96 %
FITCHBURG	25540	35590	34760	39.35 %	2.33- %	36.10 %
FRAMINGHAM	98355	92989	74690	5.65- %	19.67- %	24.06- %
GARDNER	21555	18515	16065	14.10- %	13.23- %	25.46- %
GLOUCESTER	18700	18818	21017	0.63 %	11.68 %	12.39 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	9467	11020	12915	16.40 %	17.19 %	36.42 %
GREENFIELD	62700	63214	58044	0.81 %	8.17- %	7.42- %
HAVERHILL	34935	33970	41965	2.76- %	23.53 %	20.12 %
HINGHAM	109436	99703	99085	8.89- %	0.61- %	9.45- %
HOLYOKE	23040	25705	27795	11.56 %	8.13 %	20.63 %
IPSWICH	8625	8035	8448	6.84- %	5.14 %	2.05- %
LAWRENCE	99004	109357	104410	10.45 %	4.52- %	5.46 %
LEE	9285	9920	9680	6.83 %	2.41- %	4.25 %
LEOMINSTER	32000	51200	43950	60.00 %	14.16- %	37.34 %
LOWELL	125691	92673	96273	26.26- %	3.88 %	23.40- %
LYNN	81787	65478	96138	19.94- %	46.82 %	17.54 %
MALDEN	91900	73291	58197	20.24- %	20.59- %	36.67- %
MARLBOROUGH	36187	30715	28934	15.12- %	5.79- %	20.04- %
MILFORD	34789	45489	36395	30.75 %	19.99- %	4.61 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL 24D COURT FEE IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS.

COURT NAME	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
NANTUCKET	8470	7510	11782	11.33- %	56.88 %	39.10 %
NATICK	15500	27340	20565	76.38 %	24.78- %	32.67 %
NEW BEDFORD	58601	58960	64620	0.61 %	9.59 %	10.27 %
NEWBURYPORT	45041	39010	39675	13.39- %	1.70 %	11.91- %
NEWTON	31335	29550	28250	5.69- %	4.39- %	9.84- %
NORTH ADAMS	15637	22450	19614	43.56 %	12.63- %	25.43 %
NORTHAMPTON	87260	85125	78465	2.44- %	7.82- %	10.07- %
ORANGE	11380	19830	21095	74.25 %	6.37 %	85.36 %
ORLEANS	81140	62231	79632	23.30- %	27.96 %	1.85- %
PALMER	48880	41270	37675	15.56- %	8.71- %	22.92- %
PEABODY	61587	68748	59862	11.62 %	12.92- %	2.80- %
PITTSFIELD	35139	41608	40328	18.40 %	3.07- %	14.76 %
PLYMOUTH	44823	44905	56799	0.18 %	26.48 %	26.71 %
QUINCY	97249	102819	76886	5.72 %	25.22- %	20.93- %
ROXBURY	42124	38738	30443	8.03- %	21.41- %	27.73- %
SALEM	78489	61410	65133	21.75- %	6.06 %	17.01- %
SOMERVILLE	55125	53315	78400	3.28- %	47.05 %	42.22 %
SOUTH BOSTON	13390	12723	18291	4.98- %	43.76 %	36.60 %
SPENCER	34116	34987	36505	2.55 %	4.33 %	7.00 %
SPRINGFIELD	127389	131997	115179	3.61 %	12.74- %	9.58- %
STOUGHTON	38007	53556	47005	40.91 %	12.23- %	23.67 %
TAUNTON	75115	73839	61425	1.69- %	16.81- %	18.22- %
UXBRIDGE	49009	73027	71302	49.00 %	2.36- %	45.48 %
WALTHAM	82303	77795	72550	5.47- %	6.74- %	11.85- %
WARE	17560	10575	14820	39.77- %	40.14 %	15.60- %
WAREHAM	45124	49925	55565	10.63 %	11.29 %	23.13 %
WEST ROXBURY	16000	15745	21675	1.59- %	37.66 %	35.46 %
WESTBOROUGH	67215	71705	55895	6.68 %	22.04- %	16.84- %
WESTFIELD	31350	43815	41105	39.76 %	6.18- %	31.11 %
WINCHENDON	1550	2700	5400	74.19 %	100.00 %	248.38 %
WOBURN	227778	272870	290222	19.79 %	6.35 %	27.41 %
WORCESTER	153450	115500	108985	24.73- %	5.64- %	28.97- %
WRENTHAM	63309	62955	63970	0.55- %	1.61 %	1.04 %
** TOTALS **	3797734	3836566	3787097	1.02 %	1.28- %	0.28- %

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS
1986

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL &	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Adams	340	305	410	255	220	405	355	545	357	250	215	335	3992
Amesbury	630	305	440	550	855	705	625	1360	1225	1173	785	595	9348
Attleboro	2710	2370	2220	2625	2707	2429	1646	2077	2280	2082	1795	1915	26856
Ayer	2385	2475	2002	2420	2460	2040	2255	1970	1565	2710	1160	1235	24727
Barnstable	2955	3180	3803	3410	3882	3491	3768	3710	3880	3850	2689	2827	41445
Boston	1105	550	1043	1015	1048	920	978	1080	565	850	788	680	10622
Brighton	1173	1290	1150	1275	1415	1100	1450	670	955	1285	1002	1365	14130
Brockton	3685	2952	3710	3945	3230	3335	2910	2676	2755	3008	1985	2810	37051
Brookline	725	930	860	975	740	765	683	540	645	545	715	570	8896
Cambridge	2398	2752	2300	2540	2588	2366	2743	2603	1937	2266	2008	1955	28486
Charlestown	1095	1065	1610	1425	1505	1400	1625	1165	1000	800	835	1370	14895
Chelsea	1440	1383	1930	1927	1969	1474	1980	1860	1505	1455	1350	1405	19677
Chicopee	659	1101	565	1035	820	900	1015	956	855	1015	895	865	10681
Clinton	1872	1640	1585	1915	1635	1375	1530	1275	1455	1650	1075	1150	18157
Concord	1660	1150	2105	1265	1395	1535	1795	1560	1815	1690	1060	1216	18246
Dedham	1350	1245	1405	1020	1165	1015	1620	1505	1245	1392	680	1108	14750
Dorchester	3361	2677	2961	2719	2793	2142	2343	2701	3639	2872	2694	1951	32853
Dudley	2125	1600	1416	1610	1575	1725	1450	2080	1741	1639	1720	2075	20756
East Boston	1225	1250	725	1177	967	1338	1356	1079	1085	1025	975	1010	13212
Edgartown	265	215	370	355	345	180	885	725	495	165	270	220	4490
Fall River	2933	2855	3675	2910	3390	2915	3412	3265	3520	4080	2815	2390	38160
Fitchburg	900	675	868	850	822	715	815	1065	1035	890	765	975	10385
Framingham	3475	2570	2935	3400	2495	1930	2165	1970	2165	2098	1573	1820	28595
Gardner	920	965	1028	967	1035	1195	1200	956	1090	1064	1160	730	12310
Gloucester	1200	1037	1235	1230	1699	1310	1544	1340	1310	1400	1073	710	15088
Grt. Barrington	425	445	575	515	500	355	485	591	485	520	340	440	5776
Greenfield	690	720	450	630	620	690	635	780	715	800	535	715	7980
Haverhill	610	525	670	780	880	812	611	635	475	575	680	750	8103
Hingham	3690	3745	3853	4309	3776	3974	3221	3443	2970	2951	2525	2520	40947
Holyoke	1088	1245	1095	1175	1113	804	815	1135	890	995	680	1050	12140
Ipswich	95	245	270	160	201	265	345	295	350	230	125	130	2711
Lawrence	2615	2600	3220	2935	3313	3064	3295	3513	3763	2915	3145	2407	36785
Lee	425	430	190	290	379	345	340	346	560	320	370	280	4275
Leominster	615	405	550	545	845	495	350	225	295	225	280	220	5050
Lowell	3713	4176	5329	4735	5375	4622	4542	4396	5020	4301	4129	4166	54504
Lynn	3530	3340	4145	3698	3842	4864	4035	5040	4361	4549	3794	3567	48765
Malden	40	45	85	70	115	140	165	150	25	115	40	40	1030
Marlboro	1345	1195	1365	970	1406	1435	1765	1090	1175	1415	1065	1025	15251
Milford	2080	1710	1915	2045	2305	1720	2120	2053	1910	1791	1625	1072	22346

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS
1986

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Nantucket	45	210	175	123	295	370	425	370	345	155	335	185	3033
Natick	1380	570	830	764	840	700	330	360	406	499	150	265	7094
New Bedford	3165	3129	2967	3710	3332	3915	3882	3310	4235	3315	2915	3835	41710
Newburyport	115	185	190	305	310	125	230	230	435	420	305	275	3125
Newton	420	505	375	585	520	520	495	260	335	450	410	415	5290
North Adams	670	850	925	790	310	1110	860	690	996	620	755	525	9601
Northampton	2510	2407	2169	2214	3085	3265	2255	2148	2912	2440	2845	2540	30790
Orange	550	878	672	900	668	735	997	725	705	785	775	665	9055
Orleans	2370	2305	2531	2450	3059	2250	3220	3200	3435	2645	2560	2445	32470
Palmer	965	590	850	1075	985	890	960	809	1150	990	590	930	10784
Peabody	1060	1210	1375	1065	1400	1210	1300	1090	1175	1540	955	995	14375
Pittsfield	1158	1450	1578	1140	1665	1181	1436	1564	1412	1370	945	1105	16004
Plymouth	1240	1275	1415	1627	1470	1343	1685	1225	890	890	725	835	14620
Quincy	3966	3934	4495	4133	4483	4160	3765	3415	4453	4553	3020	3203	47580
Roxbury	1244	1501	1980	1832	1184	1652	1275	1423	1371	1140	1120	890	16612
Salem	2105	2760	2595	2850	2775	2390	2230	2710	2035	3550	2336	2005	30531
Somerville	2490	1995	1755	2640	2255	2615	2550	2420	2160	2390	2740	1926	27936
South Boston	764	860	365	803	740	750	790	1285	1100	890	855	540	10142
Spencer	950	675	960	893	978	810	1420	1011	975	943	683	860	11158
Springfield	5644	5501	6055	6311	5703	6031	5382	5274	5184	6244	5246	5899	68474
Stoughton	985	730	1345	970	1055	1070	1015	1135	1325	930	920	985	12475
Taunton	2325	2330	2353	2440	1925	1605	2115	1935	2405	2150	1870	2115	25568
Uxbridge	970	935	1205	820	1035	1055	776	855	938	1020	638	575	10822
Waltham	2400	1935	2695	3335	2560	2585	2325	2050	2065	2326	1915	2230	28921
Ware	403	840	660	662	560	525	595	430	585	610	485	450	6905
Wareham	1398	1780	1978	1595	1690	1460	2175	1460	2125	2135	2105	1550	21471
Westborough	1377	1120	1367	1369	1285	1055	1112	1120	1265	1373	955	890	14293
Westfield	1364	1400	1702	1371	1160	1700	1038	1005	1409	1557	1421	1157	16284
West Roxbury	1970	1927	2727	2295	1640	2710	2652	2390	2635	2985	1525	1580	27046
Winchendon	310	140	235	175	120	240	175	210	110	245	170	145	2275
Woburn	1185	275	630	1160	1320	1080	1005	951	950	1514	1565	825	12460
Worcester	2895	2052	3060	2954	3138	2557	2574	2989	3055	3398	3313	2708	34593
Wrentham	1745	555	310	95	160	130	95	220	115	80	120	190	3815
Statewide Total	115635	108172	121087	121033	121884	115977	118111	114864	117714	119913	98701	97642	1370783

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
ADAMS	4086	4798	2900	17.42 %	39.55- %	29.02- %
AMESBURY	68767	46006	32034	33.10- %	30.36- %	53.41- %
ATTLEBORO	27287	19272	22900	29.37- %	18.82 %	16.07- %
AYER	9995	6320	9375	36.76- %	48.33 %	6.20- %
BARNSTABLE	82119	58522	68749	28.73- %	17.47 %	16.28- %
BOSTON	310	1175	1310	279.03 %	11.48 %	322.58 %
BRIGHTON	25982	34584	59706	33.10 %	72.64 %	129.79 %
BROCKTON	139468	147213	131420	5.55 %	10.72- %	5.77- %
BROOKLINE	51040	34245	35301	32.90- %	3.08 %	30.83- %
CAMBRIDGE	53023	51090	53978	3.64- %	5.65 %	1.80 %
CHARLESTOWN	45321	47648	34672	5.13 %	27.23- %	23.49- %
CHELSEA	74288	65035	56835	12.45- %	12.60- %	23.49- %
CHICOPEE	12698	14287	15676	12.51 %	9.72 %	23.45 %
CLINTON	19154	18880	10525	1.43- %	44.25- %	45.05- %
CONCORD	21516	28925	30525	34.43 %	5.53 %	41.87 %
DEDHAM	69222	48347	48173	30.15- %	0.35- %	30.40- %
DORCHESTER	156485	175043	230311	11.85 %	31.57 %	47.17 %
DUDLEY	19790	30529	19205	54.26 %	37.09- %	2.95- %
EAST BOSTON	31810	40937	42724	28.69 %	4.36 %	34.30 %
EDGARTOWN	13963	10065	16840	27.91- %	67.31 %	20.60 %
FALL RIVER	22220	29340	30782	32.04 %	4.91 %	38.53 %
FITCHBURG	10554	13897	14927	31.67 %	7.41 %	41.43 %
FRAMINGHAM	44193	59809	49822	35.33 %	16.69- %	12.73 %
GARDNER	5450	5660	4283	3.85 %	24.32- %	21.41- %
GLOUCESTER	36790	46578	36666	26.60 %	21.28- %	0.33- %
GREAT BARRINGTON	4420	5068	8354	14.66 %	64.83 %	89.00 %
GREENFIELD	16084	21524	23660	33.82 %	9.92 %	47.10 %
HAVERHILL	13641	14982	19756	9.83 %	31.86 %	44.82 %
HINGHAM	55906	56209	60717	0.54 %	8.02 %	8.60 %
HOLYOKE	12819	11908	17576	7.10- %	47.59 %	37.10 %
IPSWICH	3978	1561	3100	60.75- %	98.59 %	22.07- %
LAWRENCE	58472	57705	64515	1.31- %	11.80 %	10.33 %
LEE	6443	5238	6092	18.70- %	16.30 %	5.44- %
LEOMINSTER	22629	15191	8928	32.86- %	41.22- %	60.54- %
LOWELL	88042	90250	87635	2.50 %	2.89- %	0.46- %
LYNN	45405	67839	70186	49.40 %	3.45 %	54.57 %
MALDEN	692	7395	1650	968.64 %	77.68- %	138.43 %
MARLBOROUGH	13062	16246	13842	24.37 %	14.79- %	5.97 %
MILFORD	27118	17665	21166	34.85- %	19.81 %	21.94- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
NANTUCKET	5035	5065	15409	0.59 %	204.22 %	206.03 %
NATICK	12815	7354	7342	42.61- %	0.16- %	42.70- %
NEW BEDFORD	1330	5210	49326	291.72 %	846.75 %	3608.72 %
NEWBURYPORT	2110	6235	2790	195.49 %	55.25- %	32.22 %
NEWTON	11613	14695	9006	26.53 %	38.71- %	22.44- %
NORTH ADAMS	7615	13045	16250	71.30 %	24.56 %	113.39 %
NORTHAMPTON	61609	50136	62277	18.62- %	24.22 %	1.08 %
ORANGE	13490	22030	23188	63.30 %	5.25 %	71.89 %
ORLEANS	70522	60512	46445	14.19- %	23.24- %	34.14- %
PALMER	12620	15290	19369	21.15 %	26.67 %	53.47 %
PEABODY	31911	27467	21345	13.92- %	22.28- %	33.11- %
PITTSFIELD	17096	28137	38807	64.58 %	37.92 %	126.99 %
PLYMOUTH	24875	34609	46382	39.13 %	34.01 %	86.46 %
QUINCY	103114	113215	116788	9.79 %	3.15 %	13.26 %
ROXBURY	41052	39002	47565	4.99- %	21.95 %	15.86 %
SALEM	34950	28772	49135	17.67- %	70.77 %	40.58 %
SOMERVILLE	30013	51989	70863	73.22 %	36.30 %	136.11 %
SOUTH BOSTON	31894	32265	44213	1.16 %	37.03 %	38.62 %
SPENCER	8887	7843	7140	11.74- %	8.96- %	19.65- %
SPRINGFIELD	70396	59999	59804	14.76- %	0.32- %	15.04- %
STOUGHTON	17920	22672	24071	26.51 %	6.17 %	34.32 %
TAUNTON	40467	54561	53668	34.82 %	1.63- %	32.62 %
UXBRIDGE	17770	13965	9764	21.41- %	30.08- %	45.05- %
WALTHAM	10729	22641	20564	111.02 %	9.17- %	91.66 %
WARE	3725	4394	3788	17.95 %	13.79- %	1.69 %
WAREHAM	42252	42543	38943	0.68 %	8.46- %	7.83- %
WEST ROXBURY	48638	65791	59657	35.26 %	9.32- %	22.65 %
WESTBOROUGH	13520	22304	20657	64.97 %	7.38- %	52.78 %
WESTFIELD	15960	17780	19571	11.40 %	10.07 %	22.62 %
WINCHENDON	1140	3910	2650	242.98 %	32.22- %	132.45 %
WOBURN	7490	4875	5473	34.91- %	12.26 %	26.92- %
WORCESTER	0	0	5402	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
WRENTHAM	26457	29753	8048	12.45 %	72.95- %	69.58- %
** TOTALS **	2253237	2355043	2492516	4.51 %	5.83 %	10.61 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE IN THE DISTRICT/ BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1284	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1285	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1286	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,85-86	PERCENT CHANGE,84-86
ADAMS	350	1,120	965	220.0 %	13.8- %	175.7 %
AMESBURY	2,575	2,085	4,760	19.0- %	128.2 %	84.8 %
ATTLEBORO	6,510	4,676	4,080	28.1- %	12.7- %	37.3- %
AYER	150	325	370	116.6 %	13.8 %	146.6 %
BARNSTABLE	24,278	20,303	19,675	16.3- %	3.0- %	18.9- %
BOSTON	27,752	18,976	19,455	31.6- %	2.5 %	29.8- %
BRIGHTON	10,040	7,860	10,393	21.7- %	32.2 %	3.5 %
BROCKTON	2,390	3,302	4,203	38.1 %	27.2 %	75.8 %
BROOKLINE	5,783	5,150	5,678	10.9- %	10.2 %	1.8- %
CAMBRIDGE	13,660	18,640	18,271	36.4 %	1.9- %	33.7 %
CHARLESTOWN	1,310	575	1,946	56.1- %	238.4 %	48.5 %
CHELSEA	1,690	4,195	4,340	148.2 %	3.4 %	156.8 %
CHICOPEE	4,005	3,430	5,795	14.3- %	68.9 %	44.6 %
CLINTON	635	185	285	70.8- %	54.0 %	55.1- %
CONCORD	6,050	9,070	9,523	49.9 %	4.9 %	57.4 %
DEDHAM	8,948	7,135	8,130	20.2- %	13.9 %	9.1- %
DORCHESTER	30,177	39,007	68,491	29.2 %	75.5 %	126.9 %
DUDLEY	5,614	7,651	8,430	36.2 %	10.1 %	50.1 %
EAST BOSTON	225	0	245	0.0 **	0.0 **	8.8 %
EDGARTOWN	900	1,420	2,820	57.7 %	98.5 %	213.3 %
FALL RIVER	1,673	1,894	1,206	13.2 %	36.3- %	27.9- %
FITCHBURG	1,325	7,466	11,070	463.4 %	48.2 %	735.4 %
FRAMINGHAM	10,050	11,085	11,415	10.2 %	2.9 %	13.5 %
GARDNER	410	200	1,364	51.2- %	582.0 %	232.6 %
GLOUCESTER	4,537	4,356	3,133	3.9- %	28.0- %	30.9- %
GREAT BARRINGTON	2,030	3,400	3,515	67.4 %	3.3 %	73.1 %
GREENFIELD	1,545	1,195	1,725	22.6- %	44.3 %	11.6 %
HAVERHILL	4,405	9,986	7,665	126.6 %	23.2- %	74.0 %
HINGHAM	5,558	7,495	7,020	34.8 %	6.3- %	26.3 %
HOLYOKE	21,858	16,175	18,445	25.9- %	14.0 %	15.6- %
IPSWICH	1,625	1,665	2,284	2.4 %	37.1 %	40.5 %
LAWRENCE	10,950	22,401	23,573	104.5 %	5.2 %	115.2 %
LEE	2,467	2,168	1,780	12.1- %	17.8- %	27.8- %
LEOMINSTER	100	1,900	275	1800.0 %	85.5- %	175.0 %
LOWELL	30,404	27,465	30,903	9.6- %	12.5 %	1.6 %
LYNN	7,215	6,395	3,360	11.3- %	47.4- %	53.4- %
MALDEN	10,831	7,451	11,651	31.2- %	56.3 %	7.5 %
MARLBOROUGH	8,130	9,020	10,571	10.9 %	17.1 %	30.0 %
MILFORD	700	950	1,430	35.7 %	50.5 %	104.2 %
NANTUCKET	450	235	1,893	47.7- %	705.5 %	320.6 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE IN THE DISTRICT/ BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1284	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1285	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1286	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,85-86	PERCENT CHANGE, 84-86
NATICK	2,175	2,475	2,751	13.7 %	11.1 %	26.4 %
NEW BEDFORD	7,375	5,165	3,025	29.9- %	41.4- %	58.9- %
NEWBURYPORT	3,305	3,335	3,830	0.9 %	14.8 %	15.8 %
NEWTON	4,440	7,200	3,855	62.1 %	46.4- %	13.1- %
NORTH ADAMS	2,485	3,025	4,430	21.7 %	46.4 %	78.2 %
NORTHAMPTON	22,865	27,330	21,742	19.5 %	20.4- %	4.9- %
ORANGE	3,150	3,690	3,015	17.1 %	18.2- %	4.2- %
ORLEANS	9,430	8,480	9,855	10.0- %	16.2 %	4.5 %
PALMER	5,480	4,705	5,100	14.1- %	8.3 %	6.9- %
PEABODY	3,460	6,610	8,173	91.0 %	23.6 %	136.2 %
PITTSFIELD	6,185	7,880	9,285	27.4 %	17.8 %	50.1 %
PLYMOUTH	2,415	8,047	6,459	233.2 %	19.7- %	167.4 %
QUINCY	0	50	1,250	0.0 **	2400.0 %	0.0 **
ROXBURY	5,553	5,973	11,818	7.5 %	97.8 %	112.8 %
SALEM	2,665	3,415	4,655	28.1 %	36.3 %	74.6 %
SOMERVILLE	7,700	12,575	6,821	63.3 %	45.7- %	11.4- %
SOUTH BOSTON	12,528	17,959	15,285	43.3 %	14.8- %	22.0 %
SPENCER	8,997	4,770	6,685	46.9- %	40.1 %	25.6- %
SPRINGFIELD	22,185	15,872	18,058	28.4- %	13.7 %	18.6- %
STOUGHTON	1,846	4,670	4,440	152.9 %	4.9- %	140.5 %
TAUNTON	11,015	16,710	13,700	51.7 %	18.0- %	24.3 %
UXBRIDGE	665	1,380	1,110	107.5 %	19.5- %	66.9 %
WALTHAM	9,415	7,140	9,010	24.1- %	26.1 %	4.3- %
WARE	3,495	5,005	3,860	43.2 %	22.8- %	10.4 %
WAREHAM	8,339	8,345	5,930	0.0 %	28.9- %	28.8- %
WEST ROXBURY	5,715	6,205	4,225	8.5 %	31.9- %	26.0- %
WESTBOROUGH	0	0	100	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WESTFIELD	6,810	8,705	16,490	27.8 %	89.4 %	142.1 %
WINCHENDON	100	515	650	415.0 %	26.2 %	550.0 %
WOBURN	17,825	28,101	37,675	57.6 %	34.0 %	111.3 %
WORCESTER	100	885	5,955	785.0 %	572.8 %	5855.0 %
WRENTHAM	5,375	5,225	5,500	2.7- %	5.2 %	2.3 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	482,398	539,449	606,870	11.8 %	12.4 %	25.8 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

YEAR TO DATE SUMMARY: DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS	* DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS	DELINQUENCY ARRAIGNMENTS	** TOTAL ARRAIGNMENTS	TRANSFER HEARINGS	JUVENILE BINDOVERS	COMMITMENTS TO DYS
ADAMS	441	77	NA	441	NA	NA	NA
AMESBURY	1162	354	NA	1162	NA	NA	NA
ATTLEBORO	3487	833	NA	3487	NA	NA	NA
AYER	2386	609	NA	2386	NA	NA	NA
BARNSTABLE	6344	1105	460	6804	1	1	23
BOSTON	10579	329	NA	10579	NA	NA	NA
BRIGHTON	2090	411	65	2159	0	0	0
BROCKTON	6319	917	631	6950	13	3	32
BROOKLINE	2673	158	48	2721	0	0	3
CAMBRIDGE	4336	578	455	4791	6	1	44
CHARLESTOWN	1781	714	57	1838	0	0	6
CHELSEA	4244	1290	283	4527	2	0	18
CHICOPEE	2067	274	194	2261	0	0	6
CLINTON	2150	324	NA	2150	NA	NA	NA
CONCORD	2805	603	261	3066	0	0	12
DEDHAM	2891	738	244	3135	0	0	4
DORCHESTER	7053	682	521	7574	12	0	43
DUDLEY	3090	382	NA	3090	NA	NA	NA
EAST BOSTON	2239	151	134	2373	0	0	15
EDGARTOWN	1066	210	40	1106	0	0	3
FALL RIVER	5105	932	NA	5105	NA	NA	NA
FITCHBURG	1601	289	NA	1601	NA	NA	NA
FRAMINGHAM	4504	844	NA	4504	NA	NA	NA
GARDNER	1668	250	43	1711	0	0	7
GLOUCESTER	1503	299	NA	1503	NA	NA	NA
GREAT BARRINGTON	792	149	NA	792	NA	NA	NA
GREENFIELD	1704	332	220	1924	0	0	16
HAVERHILL	1665	310	NA	1665	NA	NA	NA
HINGHAM	5085	712	374	5459	7	0	12
HOLYOKE	2240	274	235	2475	0	0	31
IPSWICH	350	55	NA	350	NA	NA	NA
LAWRENCE	4340	835	493	4833	11	0	83
LEE	723	88	NA	723	NA	NA	NA
LEOMINSTER	1432	301	NA	1432	NA	NA	NA
LOWELL	6750	964	491	7241	6	0	51
LYNN	6279	803	447	6726	0	0	43
MALDEN	3767	594	458	4225	0	0	24
MARLBOROUGH	2348	264	NA	2348	NA	NA	NA
MILFORD	2144	401	NA	2144	NA	NA	NA

* DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS INCLUDED IN MONTHLY TOTAL OF ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS
** TOTAL ARRAIGNMENTS INCLUDE SUM OF ADULT AND JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS

YEAR TO DATE SUMMARY: DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS	* DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS	DELINQUENCY ARRAIGNMENTS	** TOTAL ARRAIGNMENTS	TRANSFER HEARINGS	JUVENILE BINDOVERS	COMMITMENTS TO DYS
NANTUCKET	261	75	10	271	0	0	0
NATICK	1291	210	NA	1291	NA	NA	NA
NEW BEDFORD	6975	642	NA	6975	NA	NA	NA
NEWBURYPORT	1464	320	NA	1464	NA	NA	NA
NEWTON	1388	355	99	1487	0	0	5
NORTH ADAMS	1354	151	NA	1354	NA	NA	NA
NORTHAMPTON	4346	745	254	4600	2	0	28
ORANGE	1131	192	133	1264	0	0	5
ORLEANS	3153	683	157	3310	0	0	0
PALMER	1539	374	152	1691	0	0	10
PEABODY	2397	659	185	2582	0	0	13
PITTSFIELD	2938	354	NA	2938	NA	NA	NA
PLYMOUTH	3999	583	371	4370	16	0	3
QUINCY	7331	1437	496	7827	0	0	32
ROXBURY	6391	487	479	6870	5	0	30
SALEM	2936	995	228	3164	0	0	4
SOMERVILLE	3844	494	294	4138	1	0	10
SOUTH BOSTON	1816	263	101	1917	1	0	6
SPENCER	1234	301	NA	1234	NA	NA	NA
SPRINGFIELD	11919	1160	NA	11919	NA	NA	NA
STOUGHTON	1932	521	117	2049	0	0	9
TAUNTON	3737	768	NA	3737	NA	NA	NA
UXBRIDGE	1972	341	NA	1972	NA	NA	NA
WALTHAM	3479	770	351	3830	5	0	17
WARE	1031	90	44	1075	0	0	3
WAREHAM	3934	622	307	4241	28	0	15
WEST ROXBURY	4630	899	562	5192	12	2	30
WESTBOROUGH	2203	505	NA	2203	NA	NA	NA
WESTFIELD	2037	395	169	2206	0	0	11
WINCHENDON	352	70	NA	352	NA	NA	NA
WOBURN	3273	1077	352	3625	2	0	17
WORCESTER	7745	1142	NA	7745	NA	NA	NA
WRENTHAM	5505	874	249	5754	0	0	2
TOTAL	232740	38049	11268	244008	130	7	726

* DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS INCLUDED IN MONTHLY TOTAL OF ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS
** TOTAL ARRAIGNMENTS INCLUDE SUM OF ADULT AND JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JURY OF SIX ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1284	TOTALS 01-1285	TOTALS 01-1286	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,85-86	PERCENT CHANGE,84-86
BARNSTABLE	368	523	390	42.1 %	25.4- %	5.9 %
BOSTON	2712	3122	3168	15.1 %	1.4 %	16.8 %
CAMBRIDGE	1481	1469	1749	0.8- %	19.0 %	18.0 %
DEDHAM	1511	1677	2233	10.9 %	33.1 %	47.7 %
EDGARTOWN	9	7	19	22.2- %	171.4 %	111.1 %
FALL RIVER	887	892	1000	0.5 %	12.1 %	12.7 %
FITCHBURG	723	948	934	31.1 %	1.4- %	29.1 %
FRAMINGHAM	537	892	1092	66.1 %	22.4 %	103.3 %
GREENFIELD	212	205	107	3.3- %	47.8- %	49.5- %
HAVERHILL	1579	945	941	40.1- %	0.4- %	40.4- %
HINGHAM	357	402	357	12.6 %	11.1- %	0.0 %
LOWELL	750	909	968	21.2 %	6.4 %	29.0 %
NANTUCKET	4	22	25	450.0 %	13.6 %	525.0 %
NORTHAMPTON	326	386	351	18.4 %	9.0- %	7.6 %
PITTSFIELD	242	540	544	123.1 %	0.7 %	124.7 %
SALEM	775	1101	1184	42.0 %	7.5 %	52.7 %
SPRINGFIELD	990	982	1129	0.8- %	14.9 %	14.0 %
WAREHAM	678	852	768	25.6 %	9.8- %	13.2 %
WORCESTER	2994	3477	4504	16.1 %	29.5 %	50.4 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	17135	19351	21463	12.9 %	10.9 %	25.2 %

MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
JUVENILE COURT PROBATION
ARRAIGNMENTS 1980-1986

YEAR	ARRAIGNMENTS	YEARLY % CHG
1980	25,943	---
1981	22,579	-13.0%
1982	20,799	-7.9%
1983	18,122	-12.9%
1984	18,425	1.7%
1985	19,804	7.5%
1986	19,793	-0.1%

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
JUVENILE COURT PROBATION
RISK/NEED CASELOAD -- 1984 TO 1986

LEVEL	1984		1985		1986	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
MAXIMUM	841	23.3%	956	23.3%	1007	26.4%
MODERATE	1833	50.8%	2155	52.5%	1938	50.8%
MINIMUM	935	25.9%	993	24.2%	870	22.8%
TOTAL	3609	100.0%	4104	100.0%	3815	100.0%

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY

RISK/NEED CASELOAD 1984–1986

JUVENILE COURT PROBATION



MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
JUVENILE COURT PROBATION
COLLECTIONS 1984-1986

CATEGORY	1984	1985	1986
COURT COSTS	$76,935	$88.268	$97.566
FINES	22,006	27,467	45,290
REDUCED COUNSEL	10,200	11.609	11,403
RESTITUTION	402.088	400,752	457,981
VICTIM/WITNESS	20,356	47,940	47,345
TOTAL	$531.585	$576.036	$659.585

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
JUVENILE COURT PROBATION
BINDOVERS 1980-1986

YEAR	BINDOVERS
1980	43
1981	36
1982	28
1983	27
1984	14
1985	12
1986	15

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

	JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS			ADULTS ARRAIGNED IN JUVENILE SESSIONS			JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS		
COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-12,84	TOTALS 01-12,85	TOTALS 01-12,86	TOTALS 01-12,84	TOTALS 01-12,85	TOTALS 01-12,86	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,85-86	PERCENT CHANGE,84-86
ADAMS	84	60	51	0	0	0	28.5- %	15.0- %	39.2- %
AMESBURY	133	100	87	0	0	0	24.8- %	13.0- %	34.5- %
ATTLEBORO	261	282	278	1	0	1	8.0 %	1.4- %	6.5 %
AYER	182	203	218	0	0	0	11.5 %	7.3 %	19.7 %
BARNSTABLE	533	490	460	0	0	0	8.0- %	6.1- %	13.6- %
BOSTON	1031	1113	1141	40	71	58	7.9 %	2.5 %	10.6 %
BRIGHTON	60	93	69	0	0	0	55.0 %	25.8- %	15.0 %
BROCKTON	653	680	631	0	0	0	4.1 %	7.2- %	3.3- %
BROOKLINE	80	69	48	0	0	0	13.7- %	30.4- %	40.0- %
CAMBRIDGE	373	465	455	0	0	0	24.6 %	2.1- %	21.9 %
CHARLESTOWN	56	75	57	0	0	0	33.9 %	24.0- %	1.7 %
CHELSEA	342	249	283	0	0	0	27.1- %	13.6 %	17.2- %
CHICOPEE	193	222	194	2	3	2	15.0 %	12.6- %	0.5 %
CLINTON	85	165	123	0	0	0	94.1 %	25.4- %	44.7 %
CONCORD	222	260	261	0	0	0	17.1 %	0.3 %	17.5 %
DEDHAM	208	216	244	1	1	0	3.8 %	12.9 %	17.3 %
DORCHESTER	509	577	521	0	0	0	13.3 %	9.7- %	2.3 %
DUDLEY	275	243	242	0	0	0	11.6- %	0.4- %	12.0- %
EAST BOSTON	147	161	134	0	0	0	9.5 %	16.7- %	8.8- %
EDGARTOWN	23	52	40	0	0	0	126.0 %	23.0- %	73.9 %
FALL RIVER	685	728	731	1	0	0	6.2 %	0.4 %	6.7 %
FITCHBURG	185	195	170	0	0	0	5.4 %	12.8- %	8.1- %
FRAMINGHAM	361	364	353	1	0	0	0.8 %	3.0- %	2.2- %
GARDNER	36	71	43	0	0	0	97.2 %	39.4- %	19.4 %
GLOUCESTER	169	190	161	0	0	0	12.4 %	15.2- %	4.7- %
GREAT BARRINGTON	66	70	102	0	0	0	6.0 %	45.7 %	54.5 %
GREENFIELD	183	240	220	0	0	0	31.1 %	8.3- %	20.2 %
HAVERHILL	164	157	145	0	0	0	4.2- %	7.6- %	11.5- %
HINGHAM	309	388	374	0	0	0	25.5 %	3.6- %	21.0 %
HOLYOKE	347	276	235	0	0	0	20.4- %	14.8- %	32.2- %
IPSWICH	32	45	26	0	0	0	40.6 %	42.2- %	18.7- %
LAWRENCE	528	511	493	0	0	0	3.2- %	3.5- %	6.6- %
LEE	83	100	64	0	0	0	20.4 %	36.0- %	22.8- %
LEOMINSTER	164	140	121	0	0	0	14.6- %	13.5- %	26.2- %
LOWELL	587	602	491	0	1	0	2.5 %	18.4- %	16.3- %
LYNN	426	383	447	0	0	0	10.0- %	16.7 %	4.9 %
MALDEN	364	423	458	0	0	1	16.2 %	8.2 %	25.8 %
MARLBOROUGH	136	121	110	0	0	0	11.0- %	9.0- %	19.1- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS				ADULTS ARRAIGNED IN JUVENILE SESSIONS			JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS		
COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-12,84	TOTALS 01-12,85	TOTALS 01-12,86	TOTALS 01-12,84	TOTALS 01-12,85	TOTALS 01-12,86	PERCENT CHANGE,84-85	PERCENT CHANGE,85-85	PERCENT CHANGE,84-86
MILFORD	143	188	158	0	0	0	31.4 %	10.6- %	17.4 %
NANTUCKET	2	1	10	0	0	0	50.0- %	900.0 %	400.0 %
NATICK	128	112	82	0	0	0	12.5- %	26.7- %	35.9- %
NEW BEDFORD	565	670	774	15	6	8	18.5 %	15.5 %	36.9 %
NEWBURYPORT	106	134	129	0	0	0	26.4 %	3.7- %	21.6 %
NEWTON	76	83	99	0	0	0	9.2 %	19.2 %	30.2 %
NORTH ADAMS	120	134	141	0	0	0	11.6 %	5.2 %	17.5 %
NORTHAMPTON	252	333	254	0	0	0	32.1 %	23.7- %	0.7 %
ORANGE	126	107	133	0	0	0	15.0- %	24.2 %	5.5 %
ORLEANS	211	194	157	0	0	0	8.0- %	19.0- %	25.5- %
PALMER	156	159	152	0	0	0	1.9 %	4.4- %	2.5- %
PEABODY	148	167	195	1	1	3	12.8 %	10.7 %	25.0 %
PITTSFIELD	325	451	344	0	0	0	38.7 %	23.7- %	5.8 %
PLYMOUTH	361	358	371	0	0	0	0.8- %	3.6 %	2.7 %
QUINCY	549	519	496	0	0	0	5.4- %	4.4- %	9.5- %
ROXBURY	285	366	479	0	0	0	28.4 %	30.8 %	68.0 %
SALEM	149	222	228	0	0	0	48.9 %	2.7 %	53.0 %
SOMERVILLE	276	246	294	0	0	0	10.8- %	19.5 %	6.0 %
SOUTH BOSTON	100	111	101	0	0	0	11.0 %	9.0- %	1.0 %
SPENCER	93	106	99	0	0	1	13.9 %	6.6- %	6.4 %
SPRINGFIELD	1029	1053	1274	3	2	0	2.3 %	20.9 %	23.8 %
STOUGHTON	113	99	117	0	0	0	12.3- %	18.1 %	3.5 %
TAUNTON	219	277	337	1	1	0	26.4 %	21.6 %	53.8 %
UXBRIDGE	144	124	137	0	0	0	13.8- %	50.8 %	29.8 %
WALTHAM	315	354	351	24	29	36	12.3 %	0.8- %	11.6 %
WARE	55	42	64	0	0	0	23.6- %	4.7 %	20.0- %
WAREHAM	352	325	337	0	0	0	7.6- %	5.5- %	12.7- %
WEST ROXBURY	306	492	562	0	0	0	60.7 %	14.2 %	83.6 %
WESTBOROUGH	133	199	169	0	0	0	49.6 %	25.1- %	12.0 %
WESTFIELD	135	115	159	0	0	0	14.8- %	46.9 %	25.1 %
WINCHENDON	36	53	62	0	0	0	47.2 %	20.7- %	16.6 %
WOBURN	310	340	352	0	0	0	9.6 %	3.5 %	13.5 %
WORCESTER	661	730	676	2	12	3	10.4 %	7.3- %	2.2 %
WRENTHAM	171	161	249	0	0	0	5.8- %	54.6 %	45.5 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	18425	19804	19793	92	127	113	99.1 %	0.0 %	7.4 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JURY OF SIX ARRAIGNMENTS: JUVENILE

JURY-OF-SIX COURT NAME	JOS DEL 01-12 1984	JOS CHINS 01-12 1984	JOS TOTAL 01-12 1984	JOS DEL 01-12 1985	JOS CHINS 01-12 1985	JOS TOTAL 01-12 1985	JOS DEL 01-12 1986	JOS CHINS 01-12 1986	JOS TOTAL 01-12 1986	PRCNT CHANG 01-12 84-85	PRCNT CHANG 01-12 85-86	PRCNT CHANG 01-12 84-86
BARNSTABLE	10	0	10	12	0	12	14	1	15	20 %	25 %	50 %
BOSTON	117	0	117	129	0	129	92	0	92	10 %	28- %	21- %
CAMBRIDGE	25	2	27	67	0	67	54	0	54	148 %	19- %	100 %
DEDHAM	66	0	66	33	0	33	41	0	41	50- %	24 %	37- %
EDGARTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0 %	0 **	0 **
FALL RIVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 %	0 %	0 %
FRAMINGHAM	22	0	22	22	0	22	26	0	26	0 %	18 %	18 %
GREENFIELD	5	0	5	3	0	3	7	0	7	40- %	133 %	40 %
HAVERHILL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 %	0 %	0 %
HINGHAM	22	1	23	10	0	10	10	0	10	56- %	0 %	56- %
LOWELL	6	0	6	17	2	19	20	0	20	216 %	5 %	233 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 %	0 %	0 %
NORTHAMPTON	7	6	13	13	1	14	14	0	14	7 %	0 %	7 %
PITTSFIELD	8	0	8	8	0	8	5	0	5	0 %	37- %	37- %
SALEM	14	0	14	23	0	23	27	0	27	64 %	17 %	92 %
SPRINGFIELD	57	1	58	63	1	64	50	1	51	10 %	20- %	12- %
WAREHAM	36	1	37	51	0	51	25	0	25	37 %	50- %	32- %
WORCESTER	30	0	30	50	3	53	71	2	73	76 %	37 %	143 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	425	11	436	501	7	508	458	4	462	16 %	9- %	5 %

FOOTNOTE: FALL RIVER AND HAVERHILL JUVENILE JURY OF SIX ARRAIGNMENTS
-------- ARE INCLUDED IN THE DISTRICT COURT DATA

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILES: JUVENILE CASES UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1986)

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1985	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1986	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1985	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1986	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1985	TOTAL R/N DEC 1986	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-85	% CHG TOTALR/N 85-86	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-86
ADAMS	23	37	16	14	27	30	19	29	15	52.6 %	48.2-%	21.0-%
AMESBURY	20	15	12	14	16	20	18	17	9	5.5-%	47.0-%	50.0-%
ATTLEBORO	77	123	99	92	78	117	47	88	70	87.2 %	20.4-%	48.9 %
AYER	66	85	58	67	86	64	39	38	32	2.5-%	15.7-%	17.9-%
BARNSTABLE	68	46	26	84	74	36	62	34	24	45.1-%	29.4-%	61.2-%
BOSTON	306	227	214	249	180	150	250	297	355	18.8 %	19.5 %	42.0 %
BRIGHTON	18	7	5	9	6	2	34	35	38	2.9 %	8.5 %	11.7 %
BROCKTON	166	245	254	163	195	265	125	175	164	40.0 %	6.2-%	31.2 %
BROOKLINE	22	14	18	24	11	9	14	17	26	21.4 %	52.9 %	85.7 %
CAMBRIDGE	71	54	70	83	61	49	78	71	92	8.9-%	29.5 %	17.9 %
CHARLESTOWN	13	6	4	8	11	10	13	8	2	38.4-%	75.0-%	84.6-%
CHELSEA	7	13	8	3	9	4	22	26	30	18.1 %	15.3 %	36.3 %
CHICOPEE	29	28	26	36	37	19	30	21	28	30.0-%	33.3 %	6.6-%
CLINTON	51	54	28	45	42	60	38	50	18	31.5 %	64.0-%	52.6-%
CONCORD	89	69	74	90	66	91	62	65	48	4.8 %	26.1-%	22.5-%
DEDHAM	70	50	68	62	52	74	64	62	56	3.1-%	9.6-%	12.5-%
DORCHESTER	169	161	89	192	168	69	131	124	126	5.3-%	1.6 %	3.8-%
DUDLEY	28	47	22	43	39	31	22	30	21	36.3 %	30.0-%	4.5-%
EAST BOSTON	64	55	48	52	67	58	58	46	36	20.6-%	21.7-%	37.9-%
EDGARTOWN	1	12	5	4	6	6	0	8	7	0.0 **	12.5-%	0.0 **
FALL RIVER	176	233	211	185	211	219	96	117	109	21.8 %	6.8-%	13.5 %
FITCHBURG	79	81	71	94	73	83	54	62	50	14.8 %	19.3-%	7.4-%
FRAMINGHAM	153	146	138	139	164	135	108	90	93	16.6-%	3.3 %	13.8-%
GARDNER	59	84	68	60	55	88	36	65	45	80.5 %	30.7-%	25.0 %
GLOUCESTER	28	33	32	27	33	27	26	23	28	11.5-%	21.7 %	7.6 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	18	16	14	14	13	13	10	13	14	30.0 %	7.6 %	40.0 %
GREENFIELD	20	44	38	43	24	33	24	44	49	83.3 %	11.3 %	104.1 %
HAVERHILL	25	26	35	22	28	27	26	24	32	7.6-%	33.3 %	23.0 %
HINGHAM	80	45	55	63	61	62	67	51	44	23.8-%	13.7-%	34.3-%
HOLYOKE	12	5	13	31	6	9	7	6	10	14.2-%	66.6 %	42.8 %
IPSWICH	12	11	6	1	9	13	11	13	6	18.1 %	53.8-%	45.4-%
LAWRENCE	115	180	106	67	180	154	160	160	112	0.0 %	30.0-%	30.0-%
LEE	23	22	11	29	20	15	14	16	11	14.2 %	31.2-%	21.4-%
LEOMINSTER	36	34	37	73	33	32	20	21	26	5.0 %	23.8 %	30.0 %
LOWELL	107	156	107	93	129	126	82	110	91	34.1 %	17.2-%	10.9 %
LYNN	77	104	93	82	88	100	85	101	94	18.8 %	6.9-%	10.5 %
MALDEN	73	80	115	96	71	89	58	67	93	15.5 %	38.8 %	60.3 %
MARLBOROUGH	53	63	44	51	67	44	34	28	28	17.6-%	0.0 %	17.6-%
MILFORD	24	18	12	36	13	27	16	21	6	31.2 %	71.4-%	62.5-%
NANTUCKET	0	1	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0.0 **	0.0 %	0.0 **
NATICK	48	49	30	44	56	42	41	34	22	17.0-%	35.2-%	46.3-%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILES: JUVENILE CASES UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1986)

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1985	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1986	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1985	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1986	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1985	TOTAL R/N DEC 1986	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-85	% CHG TOTALR/N 85-86	% CHG TOTALR/N 84-86
NEW BEDFORD	161	192	173	162	155	191	96	129	111	34.3 %	13.9-%	15.6 %
NEWBURYPORT	18	33	20	11	22	28	12	23	15	91.6 %	34.7-%	25.0 %
NEWTON	7	4	3	12	4	5	9	9	7	0.0 %	22.2-%	22.2-%
NORTH ADAMS	29	29	29	30	32	27	18	18	20	0.0 %	11.1 %	11.1 %
NORTHAMPTON	44	64	70	27	17	61	49	96	105	95.9 %	9.3 %	114.2 %
ORANGE	4	10	13	3	3	2	3	10	21	233.3 %	110.0 %	600.0 %
ORLEANS	34	30	11	45	35	31	39	34	14	12.8-%	58.8-%	64.1-%
PALMER	41	33	31	40	41	31	28	20	20	28.5-%	0.0 %	28.5-%
PEABODY	18	21	31	29	15	22	10	16	25	60.0 %	56.2 %	150.0 %
PITTSFIELD	91	156	91	84	118	106	63	102	92	61.9 %	9.9-%	46.0 %
PLYMOUTH	118	113	96	156	98	118	99	114	92	15.1 %	19.2-%	7.0-%
QUINCY	109	120	80	125	118	121	100	102	61	2.0 %	40.1-%	39.0-%
ROXBURY	124	172	150	120	170	139	134	136	147	1.4 %	8.0 %	9.7 %
SALEM	54	58	33	80	46	60	49	61	34	24.4 %	44.2-%	30.6-%
SOMERVILLE	40	28	29	78	25	18	44	47	58	6.8 %	23.4 %	31.8 %
SOUTH BOSTON	46	37	38	24	27	43	40	50	45	25.0 %	10.0-%	12.5 %
SPENCER	18	39	10	34	21	25	9	27	12	200.0 %	55.5-%	33.3 %
SPRINGFIELD	383	362	351	361	378	363	216	200	188	7.4-%	6.0-%	12.9-%
STOUGHTON	18	31	36	18	23	37	21	31	31	47.6 %	0.0 %	47.6 %
TAUNTON	59	98	75	66	61	97	33	70	48	112.1 %	31.4-%	45.4 %
UXBRIDGE	28	38	34	28	27	29	20	29	34	45.0 %	17.2 %	70.0 %
WALTHAM	50	74	89	53	66	63	50	58	84	16.0 %	44.8 %	68.0 %
WARE	19	23	7	14	18	19	19	24	12	26.3 %	50.0-%	36.8-%
WAREHAM	68	55	29	128	64	41	49	40	26	18.3-%	35.0-%	46.9-%
WEST ROXBURY	24	3	0	9	13	6	17	10	4	41.1-%	50.0-%	76.4-%
WESTBOROUGH	22	33	23	41	23	33	18	28	18	55.5 %	35.7-%	0.0 %
WESTFIELD	19	25	24	6	23	22	15	18	20	20.0 %	11.1 %	33.3 %
WINCHENDON	17	12	28	14	15	17	14	11	22	21.4-%	100.0 %	57.1 %
WOBURN	69	67	57	77	51	73	61	77	61	26.2 %	20.7-%	0.0 %
WORCESTER	247	312	275	297	271	281	132	173	167	31.0 %	3.4-%	26.5 %
WRENTHAM	48	48	85	55	52	58	38	34	61	10.5-%	79.4 %	60.5 %
TOTALS	4597	5099	4402	4811	4592	4690	3609	4104	3815	13.7 %	7.0- %	5.7 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84 #	%	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85 #	%	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,86 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,86 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,86 #	%
ADAMS	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	4	57.1	3	42.8	7	100.0	3	37.5	5	62.5	8	100.0
AMESBURY	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	4	50.0	4	50.0	8	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0
ATTLEBORO	4	30.7	9	69.2	13	100.0	5	33.3	10	66.6	15	100.0	20	83.3	4	16.6	24	100.0
AYER	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0	5	35.7	9	64.2	14	100.0	6	37.5	10	62.5	16	100.0
BARNSTABLE	6	15.0	34	85.0	40	100.0	4	13.3	26	86.6	30	100.0	0	0.0	16	100.0	16	100.0
BOSTON	20	15.3	110	84.6	130	100.0	34	40.0	51	60.0	85	100.0	47	41.5	66	58.4	113	100.0
BRIGHTON	7	63.6	4	36.3	11	100.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	6	42.8	8	57.1	14	100.0
BROCKTON	40	52.6	36	47.3	76	100.0	38	33.3	76	66.6	114	100.0	60	41.3	85	58.6	145	100.0
BROOKLINE	7	15.2	39	84.7	46	100.0	6	26.0	17	73.9	23	100.0	5	20.0	20	80.0	25	100.0
CAMBRIDGE	62	55.8	49	44.1	111	100.0	65	48.8	68	51.1	133	100.0	42	42.8	56	57.1	98	100.0
CHARLESTOWN	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
CHELSEA	4	57.1	3	42.8	7	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
CHICOPEE	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	4	66.6	2	33.3	6	100.0	1	16.6	5	83.3	6	100.0
CLINTON	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
CONCORD	0	0.0	6	100.0	6	100.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0
DEDHAM	13	41.9	18	58.0	31	100.0	10	50.0	10	50.0	20	100.0	5	22.7	17	77.2	22	100.0
DORCHESTER	33	14.0	202	85.9	235	100.0	79	33.0	160	66.9	239	100.0	110	30.5	250	69.4	360	100.0
DUDLEY	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0	4	57.1	3	42.8	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
EAST BOSTON	4	25.0	12	75.0	16	100.0	9	50.0	9	50.0	18	100.0	8	50.0	8	50.0	16	100.0
EDGARTOWN	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	1	14.2	6	85.7	7	100.0
FALL RIVER	18	40.0	27	60.0	45	100.0	27	61.3	17	38.6	44	100.0	26	86.6	4	13.3	30	100.0
FITCHBURG	0	0.0	11	100.0	11	100.0	2	22.2	7	77.7	9	100.0	2	28.5	5	71.4	7	100.0
FRAMINGHAM	11	45.8	13	54.1	24	100.0	13	36.1	23	63.8	36	100.0	9	45.0	11	55.0	20	100.0
GARDNER	1	25.0	3	75.0	4	100.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0
GLOUCESTER	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0	2	66.6	1	33.3	3	100.0
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
GREENFIELD	0	0.0	12	100.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	8	100.0	5	33.3	10	66.6	15	100.0
HAVERHILL	1	33.3	~~2~~	~~66.6~~	3	100.0	0	0.0	9	100.0	9	100.0	4	50.0	4	50.0	8	100.0
HINGHAM	3	16.6	15	83.3	18	100.0	4	19.0	17	80.9	21	100.0	2	8.3	22	91.6	24	100.0
HOLYOKE	23	57.5	17	42.5	40	100.0	19	54.2	16	45.7	35	100.0	20	80.0	5	20.0	25	100.0
IPSWICH	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
LAWRENCE	20	41.6	28	58.3	48	100.0	32	71.1	13	28.8	45	100.0	22	51.1	21	48.8	43	100.0
LEE	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84 #	%	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85 #	%	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,86 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,86 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,86 #	%
LEOMINSTER	1	10.0	9	90.0	10	100.0	3	37.5	5	62.5	8	100.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	10	100.0
LOWELL	6	42.8	8	57.1	14	100.0	22	48.8	23	51.1	45	100.0	18	39.1	28	60.8	46	100.0
LYNN	36	61.0	23	38.9	59	100.0	40	60.6	26	39.3	66	100.0	48	45.7	57	54.2	105	100.0
MALDEN	4	7.8	47	92.1	51	100.0	18	24.3	56	75.6	74	100.0	16	24.2	50	75.7	66	100.0
MARLBOROUGH	9	26.4	25	73.5	34	100.0	1	4.7	20	95.2	21	100.0	4	18.1	18	81.8	22	100.0
MILFORD	5	71.4	2	28.5	7	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NANTUCKET	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NATICK	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	6	37.5	10	62.5	16	100.0	2	25.0	6	75.0	8	100.0
NEW BEDFORD	35	94.5	2	5.4	37	100.0	43	72.8	16	27.1	59	100.0	23	35.9	41	64.0	64	100.0
NEWBURYPORT	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	3	37.5	5	62.5	8	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0
NEWTON	6	75.0	2	25.0	8	100.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
NORTH ADAMS	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	5	71.4	2	28.5	7	100.0
NORTHAMPTON	3	23.0	10	76.9	13	100.0	7	25.9	20	74.0	27	100.0	11	39.2	17	60.7	28	100.0
ORANGE	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
ORLEANS	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0	3	33.3	6	66.6	9	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0
PALMER	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0
PEABODY	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	4	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0
PITTSFIELD	11	84.6	2	15.3	13	100.0	16	94.1	1	5.8	17	100.0	31	70.4	13	29.5	44	100.0
PLYMOUTH	21	63.6	12	36.3	33	100.0	14	70.0	6	30.0	20	100.0	9	47.3	10	52.6	19	100.0
QUINCY	12	9.9	109	90.0	121	100.0	8	6.7	110	93.2	118	100.0	15	13.8	93	86.1	108	100.0
ROXBURY	11	35.4	20	64.5	31	100.0	22	42.3	30	57.6	52	100.0	31	50.8	30	49.1	61	100.0
SALEM	0	0.0	4	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	4	100.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	4	100.0
SOMERVILLE	17	53.1	15	46.8	32	100.0	9	33.3	18	66.6	27	100.0	11	44.0	14	56.0	25	100.0
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SPENCER	7	58.3	5	41.6	12	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0
SPRINGFIELD	1	1.5	62	98.4	63	100.0	5	6.7	69	93.2	74	100.0	8	7.6	97	92.3	105	100.0
STOUGHTON	4	16.6	20	83.3	24	100.0	4	19.0	17	80.9	21	100.0	3	8.3	33	91.6	36	100.0
TAUNTON	4	44.4	5	55.5	9	100.0	4	28.5	10	71.4	14	100.0	9	75.0	3	25.0	12	100.0
UXBRIDGE	9	75.0	3	25.0	12	100.0	8	66.6	4	33.3	12	100.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0
WALTHAM	24	55.8	19	44.1	43	100.0	40	83.3	8	16.6	48	100.0	34	62.9	20	37.0	54	100.0
WARE	7	50.0	7	50.0	14	100.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	10	62.5	6	37.5	16	100.0
WAREHAM	6	16.6	30	83.3	36	100.0	6	12.5	42	87.5	48	100.0	12	19.0	51	80.9	63	100.0
WEST ROXBURY	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84 #	%	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,85 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,85 #	%	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES JAN-DEC,86 #	%	TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,86 #	%	TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,86 #	%
WESTBOROUGH	5	50.0	5	50.0	10	100.0	8	44.4	10	55.5	18	100.0	6	46.1	7	53.8	13	100.0
WESTFIELD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.2	6	85.7	7	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0
WINCHENDON	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
WOBURN	18	43.9	23	56.0	41	100.0	23	39.6	35	60.3	58	100.0	9	24.3	28	75.6	37	100.0
WORCESTER	10	10.4	86	89.5	96	100.0	9	21.9	32	78.0	41	100.0	2	5.5	34	94.4	36	100.0
WRENTHAM	4	33.3	8	66.6	12	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0	12	48.0	13	52.0	25	100.0
TOTALS	579	31.5	1258	68.4	1837	100.0	745	38.5	1186	61.4	1931	100.0	772	36.4	1347	63.5	2119	100.0
BERKSHIRE DISTRICT	14	82.3	3	17.6	17	100.0	25	86.2	4	13.7	29	100.0	39	65.0	21	35.0	60	100.0
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	61	58.6	43	41.3	104	100.0	79	59.8	53	40.1	132	100.0	78	60.0	52	40.0	130	100.0
ESSEX DISTRICT	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0	10	31.2	22	68.7	32	100.0	11	61.1	7	38.8	18	100.0
MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	25	34.7	47	65.2	72	100.0	25	28.7	62	71.2	87	100.0	21	31.8	45	68.1	66	100.0
NORTHERN WORCESTER	1	4.0	24	96.0	25	100.0	5	25.0	15	75.0	20	100.0	4	22.2	14	77.7	18	100.0
SOUTHERN WORCESTER	28	59.5	19	40.4	47	100.0	26	59.0	18	40.9	44	100.0	9	42.8	12	57.1	21	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS CASEFLOW -- JUVENILE

COURT NAME	NEW YTD,84	NEW YTD,85	NEW YTD,86	TERM YTD,84	TERM YTD,85	TERM YTD,86	TOT AS OF 1284	TOT AS OF 1285	TOT AS OF 1286	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE 84-86	PERCENT CHANGE 85-86
ADAMS	24	27	23	30	17	24	10	20	19	100.0 %	90.0 %	5.0- %
AMESBURY	20	14	17	19	24	11	21	11	17	47.6- %	19.0- %	54.5 %
ATTLEBORO	55	82	88	60	40	55	44	86	76	95.4 %	72.7 %	11.6- %
AYER	21	32	46	20	31	45	8	9	11	12.5 %	37.5 %	22.2 %
BARNSTABLE	95	117	109	89	88	114	74	92	87	24.3 %	17.5 %	5.4- %
BOSTON	97	31	68	897	43	125	1030	1018	961	1.1- %	6.6- %	5.5- %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
BROCKTON	216	245	249	228	212	225	119	152	176	27.7 %	47.8 %	15.7 %
BROOKLINE	20	28	16	19	21	11	18	25	30	38.8 %	66.6 %	20.0 %
CAMBRIDGE	129	136	120	122	137	160	135	134	94	0.7- %	30.3- %	29.8- %
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
CHICOPEE	24	39	44	23	13	34	30	56	66	86.6 %	120.0 %	17.8 %
CLINTON	33	43	34	31	38	41	12	17	10	41.6 %	16.6- %	41.1- %
CONCORD	14	13	21	19	9	20	8	12	13	50.0 %	62.5 %	8.3 %
DEDHAM	61	39	50	56	40	55	47	46	41	2.1- %	12.7- %	10.8- %
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
DUDLEY	74	48	83	59	62	69	49	35	52	28.5- %	6.1 %	48.5 %
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
EDGARTOWN	1	6	6	4	1	3	4	9	12	125.0 %	200.0 %	33.3 %
FALL RIVER	110	132	76	136	118	91	40	54	39	35.0 %	2.5- %	27.7- %
FITCHBURG	83	95	82	90	74	79	26	47	50	80.7 %	92.3 %	6.3 %
FRAMINGHAM	67	63	75	51	83	54	53	33	54	37.7- %	1.8 %	63.6 %
GARDNER	40	33	61	37	35	51	22	20	30	9.0- %	36.3 %	50.0 %
GLOUCESTER	24	55	34	11	31	41	25	49	42	96.0 %	68.0 %	14.2- %
GREAT BARRINGTON	7	20	10	3	11	11	6	15	14	150.0 %	133.3 %	6.6- %
GREENFIELD	13	5	3	9	8	3	6	3	3	50.0- %	50.0- %	0.0 %
HAVERHILL	57	71	57	43	63	48	53	61	70	15.0 %	32.0 %	14.7 %
HINGHAM	26	21	23	29	24	26	16	13	10	18.7- %	37.5- %	23.0- %
HOLYOKE	266	267	192	124	221	183	203	249	258	22.6 %	27.0 %	3.6 %
IPSWICH	4	6	2	5	5	2	2	3	3	50.0 %	50.0 %	0.0 %
LAWRENCE	101	83	77	75	61	82	92	114	109	23.9 %	18.4 %	4.3- %
LEE	11	10	6	8	10	7	9	9	8	0.0 %	11.1- %	11.1- %
LEOMINSTER	42	56	61	43	55	44	11	12	29	9.0 %	163.6 %	141.6 %
LOWELL	200	208	219	155	168	152	327	367	434	12.2 %	32.7 %	18.2 %
LYNN	279	185	145	236	217	140	195	135	310	30.7- %	58.9 %	129.6 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS CASEFLOW -- JUVENILE

COURT NAME	NEW YTD,84	NEW YTD,85	NEW YTD,86	TERM YTD,84	TERM YTD,85	TERM YTD,86	TOT AS OF 1284	TOT AS OF 1285	TOT AS OF 1286	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE 84-86	PERCENT CHANGE 85-86
MALDEN	99	124	98	70	99	99	114	139	138	21.9 %	21.0 %	0.7- %
MARLBOROUGH	35	70	49	27	58	65	21	33	17	57.1 %	19.0- %	48.4- %
MILFORD	38	44	36	32	50	29	39	33	35	15.3- %	10.2- %	6.0 %
NANTUCKET	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 %
NATICK	33	14	25	15	30	22	36	20	23	44.4- %	36.1- %	15.0 %
NEW BEDFORD	301	324	274	248	319	276	132	137	135	3.7 %	2.2 %	1.4- %
NEWBURYPORT	25	23	29	23	24	35	16	15	9	6.2- %	43.7- %	40.0- %
NEWTON	24	11	21	16	25	7	25	11	25	56.0- %	0.0 %	127.2 %
NORTH ADAMS	31	46	42	37	44	36	26	28	34	7.6 %	30.7 %	21.4 %
NORTHAMPTON	81	75	44	60	79	53	33	29	20	12.1- %	39.3- %	31.0- %
ORANGE	18	20	32	11	23	18	13	10	24	23.0- %	84.6 %	140.0 %
ORLEANS	26	28	23	23	25	27	14	17	13	21.4 %	7.1- %	23.5- %
PALMER	24	26	41	24	18	40	19	27	28	42.1 %	47.3 %	3.7 %
PEABODY	30	26	34	36	31	36	18	13	11	27.7- %	38.8- %	15.3- %
PITTSFIELD	74	109	125	45	87	78	55	77	124	40.0 %	125.4 %	61.0 %
PLYMOUTH	98	81	83	57	62	54	75	94	123	25.3 %	64.0 %	30.8 %
QUINCY	76	147	88	48	96	96	137	188	180	37.2 %	31.3 %	4.2- %
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
SALEM	86	23	35	49	21	69	29	55	21	89.6 %	27.5- %	61.8- %
SOMERVILLE	81	97	45	62	91	42	81	87	90	7.4 %	11.1 %	3.4 %
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
SPENCER	37	50	51	24	46	56	38	36	30	5.2- %	21.0- %	16.6- %
SPRINGFIELD	219	174	255	221	181	204	54	48	99	11.1- %	83.3 %	106.2 %
STOUGHTON	37	39	50	18	40	49	38	37	33	2.6- %	13.1- %	10.8- %
TAUNTON	40	79	87	42	37	44	35	77	101	120.0 %	188.5 %	31.1 %
UXBRIDGE	41	31	42	28	43	44	36	24	21	33.3- %	41.6- %	12.5- %
WALTHAM	62	53	49	58	55	36	138	19	32	86.2- %	76.8- %	68.4 %
WARE	14	8	8	10	4	5	9	13	16	44.4 %	77.7 %	23.0 %
WAREHAM	45	31	54	42	30	42	15	16	25	6.6 %	66.6 %	56.2 %
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
WESTBOROUGH	33	28	42	29	30	31	27	25	30	7.4- %	11.1 %	20.0 %
WESTFIELD	32	38	51	22	43	31	28	23	43	17.8- %	53.5 %	86.9 %
WINCHENDON	25	24	21	25	29	15	10	5	11	50.0- %	10.0 %	120.0 %
WOBURN	66	78	72	53	61	140	142	159	91	11.9 %	35.9- %	42.7- %
WORCESTER	326	303	354	256	307	306	199	194	241	2.5- %	21.1 %	24.2 %
WRENTHAM	52	49	54	47	47	48	32	34	40	6.2 %	25.0 %	17.6 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS CASEFLOW -- JUVENILE

COURT NAME	NEW YTD.84	NEW YTD.85	NEW YTD.86	TERM YTD.84	TERM YTD.85	TERM YTD.86	TOT AS OF 1284	TOT AS OF 1285	TOT AS OF 1286	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE 84-86	PERCENT CHANGE 85-86
ANNUAL TOTAL	5423	5484	5411	4489	5096	5139	4380	4629	7301	5.6 %	56.0 %	57.0 %
BERKSHIRE JUV. DISTRICT	147	212	206	123	169	156	106	149	199	40.5 %	87.7 %	33.5 %
BRISTOL JUV. COURT	506	617	525	486	514	466	251	354	351	41.0 %	39.8 %	0.8- %
ESSEX JUV. DISTRICT	130	169	139	101	147	137	117	139	141	18.8 %	20.5 %	1.4 %
MIDDLESEX JUV. DISTRICT	156	179	195	113	202	186	118	95	105	19.4- %	11.0- %	10.5 %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	183	218	198	189	196	179	59	81	100	37.2 %	69.4 %	23.4 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	190	173	212	143	201	198	162	128	138	20.9- %	14.8- %	7.8 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS: NEW CASES

COURT NAME	---RUNAWAY------			---STUBBORN------			-----TRUANT------			-SCHOOL OFFENDER-			------TOTAL------		
	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986
ADAMS	8	12	10	8	4	6	8	11	7	0	0	0	24	27	23
AMESBURY	7	5	9	9	8	3	4	1	3	0	0	2	20	14	17
ATTLEBORO	16	38	34	20	14	32	19	30	22	0	0	0	55	82	88
AYER	15	9	14	10	12	20	6	10	12	0	1	0	31	32	46
BARNSTABLE	25	52	32	29	33	41	40	30	34	1	2	2	95	117	109
BOSTON	128	89	77	170	204	189	768	712	865	31	26	29	1097	1031	1180
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROCKTON	136	147	128	47	59	67	33	39	54	0	0	0	216	245	249
BROOKLINE	3	9	6	13	10	6	4	9	2	0	0	0	20	28	14
CAMBRIDGE	33	32	33	36	46	41	60	58	44	0	0	0	129	136	118
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHICOPEE	13	10	24	11	17	14	0	12	6	0	0	0	24	39	44
CLINTON	12	18	11	15	18	15	6	7	8	0	0	0	33	43	34
CONCORD	6	9	9	5	1	10	3	3	2	0	0	0	14	13	21
DEDHAM	19	14	10	23	8	19	18	17	21	1	0	0	61	39	50
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DUDLEY	28	30	50	35	13	20	11	5	13	0	0	0	74	48	83
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDGARTOWN	1	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	6	6
FALL RIVER	51	47	32	48	57	30	11	28	12	0	0	2	110	132	76
FITCHBURG	45	42	23	22	39	30	10	12	28	6	2	1	83	95	82
FRAMINGHAM	11	24	26	33	20	26	23	19	23	0	0	0	67	63	75
GARDNER	10	10	36	15	10	12	14	7	13	1	6	0	40	33	61
GLOUCESTER	9	19	13	8	12	6	5	24	12	1	0	3	23	55	34
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	7	2	7	12	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	20	10
GREENFIELD	2	3	1	5	2	1	5	0	1	1	0	0	13	5	3
HAVERHILL	12	16	7	26	17	14	19	38	36	0	0	0	57	71	57
HINGHAM	13	9	13	6	7	6	6	5	4	1	0	0	26	21	23
HOLYOKE	38	60	35	49	61	48	179	144	108	0	2	1	266	267	192
IPSWICH	3	0	1	1	4	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	4	6	2
LAWRENCE	62	23	47	27	43	16	12	16	14	0	1	0	101	83	77
LEE	3	5	2	2	1	2	6	4	2	0	0	0	11	10	6
LEOMINSTER	15	10	13	11	14	20	12	23	16	4	9	12	42	56	61
LOWELL	70	61	59	82	97	107	45	48	52	3	2	1	200	208	219
LYNN	71	62	32	50	49	51	149	54	48	6	20	14	276	185	145
MALDEN	36	27	29	30	64	34	33	33	35	0	0	0	99	124	98

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS: NEW CASES

COURT NAME	---RUNAWAY------- 01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	---STUBBORN------ 01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	-----TRUANT------ 01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	-SCHOOL OFFENDER- 01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	------TOTAL------ 01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986
MARLBOROUGH	11	29	16	10	17	15	24	20	17	3	4	1	48	70	49
MILFORD	13	18	11	8	12	9	17	14	16	0	0	0	38	44	36
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
NATICK	8	4	4	11	5	9	13	5	12	1	0	0	33	14	25
NEW BEDFORD	97	80	54	94	106	65	103	134	151	7	4	4	301	324	274
NEWBURYPORT	8	7	6	9	7	8	7	7	13	1	2	2	25	23	29
NEWTON	1	7	7	10	1	3	9	2	0	4	1	11	24	11	21
NORTH ADAMS	11	19	27	11	17	9	9	10	6	0	0	0	31	46	42
NORTHAMPTON	11	10	10	40	48	20	30	17	14	0	0	0	81	75	44
ORANGE	10	3	11	4	1	10	3	16	11	1	0	0	18	20	32
ORLEANS	12	13	5	7	6	10	6	8	8	1	1	0	26	28	23
PALMER	8	9	19	11	15	19	4	2	2	1	0	1	24	26	41
PEABODY	7	16	22	10	4	6	10	5	3	3	1	3	30	26	34
PITTSFIELD	22	53	47	26	29	37	26	27	41	0	0	0	74	109	125
PLYMOUTH	45	48	45	24	20	24	21	12	11	8	1	3	98	81	83
QUINCY	31	56	50	23	39	22	22	51	16	0	1	0	76	147	88
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALEM	26	5	20	8	11	5	23	7	7	0	0	0	57	23	32
SOMERVILLE	25	6	16	29	34	9	27	57	20	0	0	0	81	97	45
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPENCER	10	29	24	17	12	12	10	9	15	0	0	0	37	50	51
SPRINGFIELD	42	31	72	152	119	161	25	24	22	0	0	0	219	174	255
STOUGHTON	12	10	20	13	18	16	7	8	10	5	6	7	37	42	53
TAUNTON	11	9	17	9	19	21	21	51	48	0	0	1	41	79	87
UXBRIDGE	11	12	7	12	14	15	18	5	20	0	0	0	41	31	42
WALTHAM	29	34	18	19	13	15	14	4	16	3	2	0	65	53	49
WARE	3	0	0	7	2	2	2	6	5	2	0	1	14	8	8
WAREHAM	18	18	36	11	10	16	16	3	2	0	0	0	45	31	54
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTBOROUGH	13	13	22	8	9	7	12	6	12	0	0	1	33	28	42
WESTFIELD	3	3	2	23	27	39	6	8	10	0	0	0	32	38	51
WINCHENDON	12	12	13	8	2	4	5	10	4	0	0	0	25	24	21
WOBURN	14	15	23	37	41	28	15	20	20	0	2	1	66	78	72
WORCESTER	138	122	129	110	103	145	77	74	80	1	4	0	326	303	354
WRENTHAM	36	26	27	10	14	20	6	9	7	0	0	0	52	49	54
ANNUAL TOTAL	1599	1617	1618	1625	1736	1680	2097	2033	2118	97	101	103	5418	5487	5519

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS: NEW CASES

COURT NAME	RUNAWAY			STUBBORN			TRUANT			SCHOOL OFFENDER			TOTAL		
	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986	01-12 1984	01-12 1985	01-12 1986
BERKSHIRE JUV. DISTRICT	44	96	88	54	63	62	49	53	56	0	0	0	147	212	206
BRISTOL JUV. COURT	175	174	137	171	196	148	154	243	233	7	4	7	507	617	525
ESSEX JUV. DISTRICT	39	47	36	53	48	31	35	72	65	2	2	7	129	169	139
MIDDLESEX JUV. DISTRICT	45	66	60	64	54	70	66	54	64	4	5	1	179	179	195
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	84	82	60	56	73	69	33	52	56	10	11	13	183	218	198
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	62	89	92	72	51	56	56	33	64	0	0	0	190	173	212

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CARE & PROTECTION: JUVENILES

COURT	01-1284 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1285 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1286 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986
ADAMS	13	10	15	23.07- %	15.38 %	50.00 %
AMESBURY	10	15	4	50.00 %	60.00- %	73.33- %
ATTLEBORO	11	31	17	181.81 %	54.54 %	45.16- %
AYER	5	6	20	20.00 %	300.00 %	233.33 %
BARNSTABLE	32	33	42	3.12 %	31.25 %	27.27 %
BOSTON	398	420	441	5.52 %	10.80 %	5.00 %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BROCKTON	47	47	75	0.00 %	59.57 %	59.57 %
BROOKLINE	3	11	2	266.66 %	33.33- %	81.81- %
CAMBRIDGE	39	35	30	10.25- %	23.07- %	14.28- %
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHICOPEE	18	8	11	55.55- %	38.88- %	37.50 %
CLINTON	2	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
CONCORD	23	17	6	26.08- %	73.91- %	64.70- %
DEDHAM	13	4	21	69.23- %	61.53 %	425.00 %
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
DUDLEY	36	16	12	55.55- %	66.66- %	25.00- %
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
EDGARTOWN	0	2	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
FALL RIVER	51	55	46	7.84 %	9.80- %	16.36- %
FITCHBURG	19	9	42	52.63- %	121.05 %	366.66 %
FRAMINGHAM	17	27	35	58.82 %	105.88 %	29.62 %
GARDNER	9	12	31	33.33 %	244.44 %	158.33 %
GLOUCESTER	17	12	9	29.41- %	47.05- %	25.00- %
GREAT BARRINGTON	1	2	1	100.00 %	0.00 %	50.00- %
GREENFIELD	41	31	24	24.39- %	41.46- %	22.58- %
HAVERHILL	54	61	47	12.96 %	12.96- %	22.95- %
HINGHAM	20	15	8	25.00- %	60.00- %	46.66- %
HOLYOKE	69	54	43	21.73- %	37.68- %	20.37- %
IPSWICH	2	1	0	50.00- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LAWRENCE	88	96	73	9.09 %	17.04- %	23.95- %
LEE	1	4	5	300.00 %	400.00 %	25.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CARE & PROTECTION: JUVENILES

COURT	01-1284 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1285 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1286 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986
LEOMINSTER	3	8	10	166.66 %	233.33 %	25.00 %
LOWELL	50	54	68	8.00 %	36.00 %	25.92 %
LYNN	26	72	68	176.92 %	161.53 %	5.55- %
MALDEN	57	36	45	36.84- %	21.05- %	25.00 %
MARLBOROUGH	31	17	22	45.16- %	29.03- %	29.41 %
MILFORD	5	19	10	280.00 %	100.00 %	47.36- %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NATICK	11	5	4	54.54- %	63.63- %	20.00- %
NEW BEDFORD	66	90	84	36.36 %	27.27 %	6.66- %
NEWBURYPORT	11	13	5	18.18 %	54.54- %	61.53- %
NEWTON	2	1	3	50.00- %	50.00 %	200.00 %
NORTH ADAMS	20	29	14	45.00 %	30.00- %	51.72- %
NORTHAMPTON	36	39	18	8.33 %	50.00- %	53.84- %
ORANGE	31	23	18	25.80- %	41.93- %	21.73- %
ORLEANS	4	12	1	200.00 %	75.00- %	91.66- %
PALMER	9	7	10	22.22- %	11.11 %	42.85 %
PEABODY	5	6	5	20.00 %	0.00 %	16.66- %
PITTSFIELD	44	35	43	20.45- %	2.27- %	22.85 %
PLYMOUTH	11	14	8	27.27 %	27.27- %	42.85- %
QUINCY	86	110	126	27.90 %	46.51 %	14.54 %
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
SALEM	37	46	29	24.32 %	21.62- %	36.95- %
SOMERVILLE	19	23	51	21.05 %	168.42 %	121.73 %
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
SPENCER	11	5	2	54.54- %	81.81- %	60.00- %
SPRINGFIELD	177	223	280	25.98 %	58.19 %	25.56 %
STOUGHTON	19	21	4	10.52 %	78.94- %	80.95- %
TAUNTON	27	29	30	7.40 %	11.11 %	3.44 %
UXBRIDGE	6	16	6	166.66 %	0.00 %	62.50- %
WALTHAM	18	10	16	44.44- %	11.11- %	60.00 %
WARE	5	3	20	40.00- %	300.00 %	566.66 %
WAREHAM	27	28	12	3.70 %	55.55- %	57.14- %
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CARE & PROTECTION: JUVENILES

COURT	01-1284 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1285 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	01-1286 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986
WESTBOROUGH	5	2	1	60.00- %	80.00- %	50.00- %
WESTFIELD	18	23	22	27.77 %	22.22 %	4.34- %
WINCHENDON	1	8	1	700.00 %	0.00 %	87.50- %
WOBURN	28	19	13	32.14- %	53.57- %	31.57- %
WORCESTER	67	95	89	41.79 %	32.83 %	6.31- %
WRENTHAM	23	15	11	34.78- %	52.17- %	26.66- %
ANNUAL TOTAL	2035	2190	2209	7.61 %	8.55 %	0.86 %
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	79	80	78	1.26 %	1.26- %	2.50- %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	155	205	177	32.25 %	14.19 %	13.65- %
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	94	102	65	8.51 %	30.85- %	36.27- %
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	64	55	81	14.06- %	26.56 %	47.27 %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	25	25	53	0.00 %	112.00 %	112.00 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	63	58	31	7.93- %	50.79- %	46.55- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DYS COMMITMENTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	01-1284 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1285 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1286 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986
ADAMS	5	0	5	1	0	1	3	0	3	80.00- %	40.00- %	200.00 %
AMESBURY	7	1	8	11	1	12	4	1	5	50.00 %	37.50- %	58.33- %
ATTLEBORO	9	2	11	11	1	12	5	0	5	9.09 %	54.54- %	58.33- %
AYER	11	1	12	7	2	9	16	1	17	25.00- %	41.66 %	88.88 %
BARNSTABLE	15	3	18	15	1	16	23	0	23	11.11- %	27.77 %	43.75 %
BOSTON	44	27	71	43	18	61	47	5	52	14.08- %	26.76- %	14.75- %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BROCKTON	34	10	44	33	6	39	32	0	32	11.36- %	27.27- %	17.94- %
BROOKLINE	7	2	9	12	1	13	2	1	3	44.44 %	66.66- %	76.92- %
CAMBRIDGE	27	1	28	36	0	36	40	4	44	28.57 %	57.14 %	22.22 %
CHARLESTOWN	6	1	7	7	1	8	5	1	6	14.28 %	14.28- %	25.00- %
CHELSEA	8	0	8	9	0	9	18	0	18	12.50 %	125.00 %	100.00 %
CHICOPEE	23	3	26	23	1	24	6	0	6	7.69- %	76.92- %	75.00- %
CLINTON	11	1	12	7	1	8	3	0	3	33.33- %	75.00- %	62.50- %
CONCORD	7	1	8	13	3	16	10	2	12	100.00 %	50.00 %	25.00- %
DEDHAM	8	0	8	6	0	6	2	2	4	25.00- %	50.00- %	33.33- %
DORCHESTER	37	0	37	43	9	52	40	3	43	40.54 %	16.21 %	17.30- %
DUDLEY	15	3	18	13	0	13	12	0	12	27.77- %	33.33- %	7.69- %
EAST BOSTON	16	0	16	11	1	12	12	3	15	25.00- %	6.25- %	25.00 %
EDGARTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
FALL RIVER	20	3	23	11	0	11	29	1	30	52.17- %	30.43 %	172.72 %
FITCHBURG	15	2	17	20	5	25	21	3	24	47.05 %	41.17 %	4.00- %
FRAMINGHAM	17	1	18	24	2	26	26	2	28	44.44 %	55.55 %	7.69 %
GARDNER	4	1	5	5	0	5	6	1	7	0.00 %	40.00 %	40.00 %
GLOUCESTER	2	0	2	2	0	2	11	0	11	0.00 %	450.00 %	450.00 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	3	0	3	1	0	1	1	0	1	66.66- %	66.66- %	0.00 %
GREENFIELD	9	0	9	17	0	17	13	3	16	88.88 %	77.77 %	5.88- %
HAVERHILL	13	2	15	23	1	24	17	0	17	60.00 %	13.33 %	29.16- %
HINGHAM	20	0	20	8	0	8	12	0	12	60.00- %	40.00- %	50.00 %
HOLYOKE	30	8	38	56	1	57	30	1	31	50.00 %	18.42- %	45.61- %
IPSWICH	2	0	2	4	0	4	3	0	3	100.00 %	50.00 %	25.00- %
LAWRENCE	53	4	57	54	7	61	76	7	83	7.01 %	45.61 %	36.06 %
LEE	1	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	200.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LEOMINSTER	7	2	9	14	3	17	17	2	19	88.88 %	111.11 %	11.76 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DYS COMMITMENTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	01-1284 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1285 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1286 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986
LOWELL	39	7	46	67	6	73	44	7	51	58.69 %	10.86 %	30.13- %
LYNN	38	1	39	31	2	33	41	2	43	15.38- %	10.25 %	30.30 %
MALDEN	5	0	5	13	1	14	24	0	24	180.00 %	380.00 %	71.42 %
MARLBOROUGH	6	3	9	6	2	8	7	0	7	11.11- %	22.22- %	12.50- %
MILFORD	6	1	7	4	1	5	2	0	2	28.57- %	71.42- %	60.00- %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NATICK	4	0	4	10	1	11	7	2	9	175.00 %	125.00 %	18.18- %
NEW BEDFORD	47	0	47	54	7	61	41	2	43	29.78 %	8.51- %	29.50- %
NEWBURYPORT	1	0	1	7	2	9	10	0	10	800.00 %	900.00 %	11.11 %
NEWTON	7	0	7	5	0	5	5	0	5	28.57- %	28.57- %	0.00 %
NORTH ADAMS	3	2	5	2	0	2	2	0	2	60.00- %	60.00- %	0.00 %
NORTHAMPTON	4	1	5	22	2	24	24	4	28	380.00 %	460.00 %	16.66 %
ORANGE	8	0	8	9	2	11	5	0	5	37.50 %	37.50- %	54.54- %
ORLEANS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
PALMER	9	1	10	12	1	13	8	2	10	30.00 %	0.00 %	23.07- %
PEABODY	19	1	20	11	0	11	13	0	13	45.00- %	35.00- %	18.18 %
PITTSFIELD	10	0	10	6	0	6	4	1	5	40.00- %	50.00- %	16.66- %
PLYMOUTH	10	1	11	11	0	11	3	0	3	0.00 %	72.72- %	72.72- %
QUINCY	33	6	39	31	1	32	28	4	32	17.94- %	17.94- %	0.00 %
ROXBURY	13	2	15	31	2	33	29	1	30	120.00 %	100.00 %	9.09- %
SALEM	2	0	2	1	0	1	4	0	4	50.00- %	100.00 %	300.00 %
SOMERVILLE	8	3	11	8	1	9	9	1	10	18.18- %	9.09- %	11.11 %
SOUTH BOSTON	9	0	9	15	1	16	5	1	6	77.77 %	33.33- %	62.50- %
SPENCER	8	0	8	4	0	4	5	1	6	50.00- %	25.00- %	50.00 %
SPRINGFIELD	81	9	90	97	6	103	73	11	84	14.44 %	6.66- %	18.44- %
STOUGHTON	10	1	11	11	0	11	7	2	9	0.00 %	18.18- %	18.18- %
TAUNTON	8	1	9	11	0	11	6	0	6	22.22 %	33.33- %	45.45- %
UXBRIDGE	10	0	10	6	0	6	9	1	10	40.00- %	0.00 %	66.66 %
WALTHAM	11	2	13	28	1	29	15	2	17	123.07 %	30.76 %	41.37- %
WARE	7	0	7	7	1	8	2	1	3	14.28 %	57.14- %	62.50- %
WAREHAM	14	0	14	10	0	10	14	1	15	28.57- %	7.14 %	50.00 %
WEST ROXBURY	33	2	35	27	4	31	27	3	30	11.42- %	14.28- %	3.22- %
WESTBOROUGH	13	0	13	10	3	13	4	1	5	0.00 %	61.53- %	61.53- %
WESTFIELD	9	0	9	15	2	17	11	0	11	88.88 %	22.22 %	35.29- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DYS COMMITMENTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	01-1984 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1985 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	01-1986 #COMMITMENTS M	F	T	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986
WINCHENDON	2	0	2	4	0	4	7	1	8	100.00 %	300.00 %	100.00 %
WOBURN	6	3	9	23	1	24	16	1	17	166.66 %	88.88 %	29.16- %
WORCESTER	71	17	88	69	17	86	41	12	53	2.27- %	39.77- %	38.37- %
WRENTHAM	10	1	11	2	0	2	2	0	2	81.81- %	81.81- %	0.00 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	1060	144	1204	1223	132	1355	1099	107	1206	12.54 %	0.16 %	10.99- %
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	22	2	24	13	0	13	10	1	11	45.83- %	54.16- %	15.38- %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	84	6	90	87	8	95	81	3	84	5.55 %	6.66- %	11.57- %
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	25	3	28	47	4	51	45	1	46	82.14 %	64.28 %	9.80- %
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	38	5	43	47	7	54	56	5	61	25.58 %	41.86 %	12.96 %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	35	5	40	45	9	54	48	6	54	35.00 %	35.00 %	0.00 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	52	4	56	37	4	41	32	3	35	26.78- %	37.50- %	14.63- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

TRANSFER HEARINGS - JUVENILES

COURT NAME	HEARINGS 01-1284	HEARINGS 01-1285	HEARINGS 01-1286	BINDOVERS 01-1284	BINDOVERS 01-1285	BINDOVERS 01-1286
ADAMS	0	0	0	0	0	0
AMESBURY	0	1	0	0	1	0
ATTLEBORO	0	0	0	0	0	0
AYER	1	0	0	0	0	0
BARNSTABLE	0	0	1	0	0	1
BOSTON	130	93	69	1	2	5
BRIGHTON	1	0	0	0	0	0
BROCKTON	11	2	13	0	0	3
BROOKLINE	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIDGE	1	4	6	0	1	1
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHELSEA	1	0	2	1	0	0
CHICOPEE	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONCORD	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEDHAM	0	1	0	0	0	0
DORCHESTER	4	10	12	0	1	0
DUDLEY	1	2	0	0	1	0
EAST BOSTON	0	1	0	0	0	0
EDGARTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0
FALL RIVER	1	0	1	0	0	1
FITCHBURG	1	0	0	1	0	0
FRAMINGHAM	2	0	2	1	0	0
GARDNER	0	0	0	0	0	0
GLOUCESTER	1	1	0	0	0	0
GREAT BARRINGTON	1	0	0	0	0	0
GREENFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAVERHILL	0	1	0	0	0	0
HINGHAM	4	3	7	0	0	0
HOLYOKE	1	1	0	0	0	0
IPSWICH	0	1	0	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	4	6	11	0	1	0
LEE	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEOMINSTER	1	0	0	1	0	0
LOWELL	5	13	6	1	0	0
LYNN	13	3	0	2	0	0
MALDEN	0	0	0	0	0	0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

TRANSFER HEARINGS - JUVENILES

COURT NAME	HEARINGS 01-1284	HEARINGS 01-1285	HEARINGS 01-1286	BINDOVERS 01-1284	BINDOVERS 01-1285	BINDOVERS 01-1286
MARLBOROUGH	2	2	0	1	1	0
MILFORD	1	0	0	0	0	0
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0
NATICK	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW BEDFORD	3	1	0	1	0	0
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	1	0	0	0
NEWTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	2	0	2	0	0	0
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORLEANS	0	0	0	0	0	0
PALMER	1	0	0	1	0	0
PEABODY	0	0	0	0	0	0
PITTSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0
PLYMOUTH	1	6	16	0	0	0
QUINCY	1	1	0	0	0	0
ROXBURY	4	2	5	0	0	0
SALEM	3	0	0	1	0	0
SOMERVILLE	1	2	1	0	0	0
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	1	0	0	0
SPENCER	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPRINGFIELD	1	6	1	1	1	1
STOUGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAUNTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
UXBRIDGE	0	1	0	0	0	0
WALTHAM	0	1	5	0	0	0
WARE	0	0	0	0	0	0
WAREHAM	2	18	28	0	0	0
WEST ROXBURY	13	31	12	0	2	2
WESTBOROUGH	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0
WINCHENDON	0	0	0	0	0	0
WOBURN	0	0	2	0	0	0
WORCESTER	5	9	2	1	1	1
WRENTHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0
ANNUAL TOTAL:	224	223	206	14	12	15
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	1	0	0	0	0	0
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	4	1	1	1	0	1
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	1	4	1	0	1	0
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	5	2	2	2	1	0
NORTHERN JUVENILE DISTRICT	2	0	0	2	0	0
			0	0	1	0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
ADAMS	713	1724	1864	141.79 %	8.12 %	161.43 %
AMESBURY	1763	455	3119	74.19- %	585.49 %	76.91 %
ATTLEBORO	7656	9529	9661	24.46 %	1.38 %	26.18 %
AYER	7497	9284	9967	23.83 %	7.35 %	32.94 %
BARNSTABLE	15654	12933	14701	17.38- %	13.67 %	6.08- %
BOSTON	6244	7564	10514	21.14 %	39.00 %	68.38 %
BRIGHTON	378	2087	3027	452.11 %	45.04 %	700.79 %
BROCKTON	7841	8584	9427	9.47 %	9.82 %	20.22 %
BROOKLINE	1259	367	2612	70.84- %	611.71 %	107.46 %
CAMBRIDGE	2732	2836	5419	3.80 %	91.07 %	98.35 %
CHARLESTOWN	1476	691	2785	53.18- %	303.03 %	88.68 %
CHELSEA	5316	4449	6654	16.30- %	49.56 %	25.16 %
CHICOPEE	8313	4206	2460	49.40- %	41.51- %	70.40- %
CLINTON	2253	2640	1838	17.17 %	30.37- %	18.41- %
CONCORD	5618	7275	9474	29.49 %	30.22 %	68.63 %
DEDHAM	12762	5452	9463	57.27- %	73.56 %	25.85- %
DORCHESTER	1330	1135	5797	14.66- %	410.74 %	335.86 %
DUDLEY	5757	5707	8996	0.86- %	57.63 %	56.26 %
EAST BOSTON	3764	5420	2807	43.99 %	48.21- %	25.42- %
EDGARTOWN	1101	6401	3030	481.38 %	52.66- %	175.20 %
FALL RIVER	5513	4961	8531	10.01- %	71.96 %	54.74 %
FITCHBURG	3407	4818	6372	41.41 %	32.25 %	87.02 %
FRAMINGHAM	10179	6817	10189	33.02- %	49.46 %	0.09 %
GARDNER	913	3537	2262	287.40 %	36.04- %	147.75 %
GLOUCESTER	1862	5975	4734	220.89 %	20.76- %	154.24 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	65	1956	1303	2909.23 %	33.38- %	1904.61 %
GREENFIELD	3317	4062	3268	22.46 %	19.54- %	1.47- %
HAVERHILL	4958	6247	4351	25.99 %	30.35- %	12.24- %
HINGHAM	6590	5594	10148	15.11- %	81.40 %	53.99 %
HOLYOKE	4846	5010	3758	3.38 %	24.99- %	22.45- %
IPSWICH	1433	1661	3511	15.91 %	111.37 %	145.01 %
LAWRENCE	11537	11954	9832	3.61 %	17.75- %	14.77- %
LEE	1079	856	1148	20.66- %	34.11 %	6.39 %
LEOMINSTER	4163	4280	5013	2.81 %	17.12 %	20.41 %
LOWELL	10739	19218	13358	78.95 %	30.49- %	24.38 %
LYNN	4910	7614	13938	55.07 %	83.05 %	183.86 %
MALDEN	16267	12016	12471	26.13- %	3.78 %	23.33- %
MARLBOROUGH	5239	5980	2789	14.14 %	53.36- %	46.76- %
MILFORD	4763	2798	4099	41.25- %	46.49 %	13.94- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
NANTUCKET	0	80	800	0.00 **	900.00 %	0.00 **
NATICK	3691	2950	1383	20.07- %	53.11- %	62.53- %
NEW BEDFORD	11609	8741	14304	24.70- %	63.64 %	23.21 %
NEWBURYPORT	1750	3470	3477	98.28 %	0.20 %	98.68 %
NEWTON	3273	4051	3601	23.77 %	11.10- %	10.02 %
NORTH ADAMS	1675	1244	2373	25.73- %	90.75 %	41.67 %
NORTHAMPTON	8746	6402	7893	26.80- %	23.28 %	9.75- %
ORANGE	1174	2212	4325	88.41 %	95.52 %	268.39 %
ORLEANS	13232	7963	5284	39.82- %	33.64- %	60.06- %
PALMER	7011	7781	12002	10.98 %	54.24 %	71.18 %
PEABODY	6493	2864	2566	55.89- %	10.40- %	60.48- %
PITTSFIELD	5350	2908	4825	45.64- %	65.92 %	9.81- %
PLYMOUTH	9496	7447	13779	21.57- %	85.02 %	45.10 %
QUINCY	13724	14587	16559	6.28 %	13.51 %	20.65 %
ROXBURY	2475	5122	5960	106.94 %	16.36 %	140.80 %
SALEM	5368	4624	5927	13.85- %	28.17 %	10.41 %
SOMERVILLE	2825	1382	3676	51.07- %	165.99 %	30.12 %
SOUTH BOSTON	3236	1699	2053	47.49- %	20.83 %	36.55- %
SPENCER	2520	4440	3253	76.19 %	26.73- %	29.08 %
SPRINGFIELD	7709	12152	9445	57.63 %	22.27- %	22.51 %
STOUGHTON	2727	1601	3624	41.29- %	126.35 %	32.89 %
TAUNTON	3807	12386	9875	225.34 %	20.27- %	159.39 %
UXBRIDGE	3150	1886	7344	40.12- %	289.39 %	133.14 %
WALTHAM	3394	5627	7673	65.79 %	36.36 %	126.07 %
WARE	2425	1748	2743	27.91- %	56.92 %	13.11 %
WAREHAM	15926	13707	13930	13.93- %	1.62 %	12.53- %
WEST ROXBURY	16781	13136	9206	21.72- %	29.91- %	45.14- %
WESTBOROUGH	3707	1315	1145	64.52- %	12.92- %	69.11- %
WESTFIELD	2174	1805	3292	16.97- %	82.38 %	51.42 %
WINCHENDON	1503	941	2821	37.39- %	199.78 %	87.69 %
WOBURN	12647	8502	8997	32.77- %	5.82 %	28.86- %
WORCESTER	17644	14088	15585	20.15- %	10.62 %	11.65- %
WRENTHAM	3639	7798	3571	114.28 %	54.20- %	1.86- %
** TOTALS **	402088	400752	457981	0.33- %	14.28 %	13.90 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	FINES JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
AMESBURY	140	80	290	42.85- %	262.50 %	107.14 %
ATTLEBORO	300	0	385	0.00 **	0.00 **	28.33 %
AYER	100	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
BARNSTABLE	0	0	125	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BOSTON	150	339	465	126.00 %	37.16 %	210.00 %
BRIGHTON	25	75	25	200.00 %	66.66- %	0.00 %
BROCKTON	1020	2260	1378	121.56 %	39.02- %	35.09 %
BROOKLINE	82	145	0	76.82 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CAMBRIDGE	5	285	375	5600.00 %	31.57 %	7400.00 %
CHARLESTOWN	35	160	100	357.14 %	37.50- %	185.71 %
CHELSEA	175	90	549	48.57- %	510.00 %	213.71 %
CHICOPEE	115	580	504	404.34 %	13.10- %	338.26 %
CLINTON	35	250	175	614.28 %	30.00- %	400.00 %
CONCORD	0	795	200	0.00 **	74.84- %	0.00 **
DEDHAM	50	100	1506	100.00 %	1406.00 %	2912.00 %
DORCHESTER	7	0	35	0.00 **	0.00 **	400.00 %
DUDLEY	120	0	185	0.00 **	0.00 **	54.16 %
EAST BOSTON	150	175	165	16.66 %	5.71- %	10.00 %
EDGARTOWN	250	0	20	0.00 **	0.00 **	92.00- %
FALL RIVER	500	165	1334	67.00- %	708.48 %	166.80 %
FITCHBURG	293	115	555	60.75- %	382.60 %	89.41 %
FRAMINGHAM	200	0	125	0.00 **	0.00 **	37.50- %
GARDNER	275	105	390	61.81- %	271.42 %	41.81 %
GLOUCESTER	50	10	0	80.00- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
GREENFIELD	25	0	25	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
HAVERHILL	0	0	525	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
HINGHAM	933	620	860	33.54- %	38.70 %	7.82- %
HOLYOKE	650	475	1140	26.92- %	140.00 %	75.38 %
IPSWICH	15	101	75	573.33 %	25.74- %	400.00 %
LAWRENCE	1320	1665	1290	26.13 %	22.52- %	2.27- %
LEE	50	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
LEOMINSTER	0	325	207	0.00 **	36.30- %	0.00 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	FINES JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985		PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986		PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986	
LOWELL	443	1272	2433	187.13	%	91.27	%	449.20	%
LYNN	265	1189	4530	348.67	%	280.99	%	1609.43	%
MALDEN	260	0	40	0.00	**	0.00	**	84.61-	%
MARLBOROUGH	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
MILFORD	20	705	210	3425.00	%	70.21-	%	950.00	%
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NATICK	0	75	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
NEW BEDFORD	1380	1295	4810	6.15-	%	271.42	%	248.55	%
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NEWTON	387	200	235	48.32-	%	17.50	%	39.27-	%
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
NORTHAMPTON	550	300	950	45.45-	%	216.66	%	72.72	%
ORANGE	130	790	255	507.69	%	67.72-	%	96.15	%
ORLEANS	360	775	475	115.27	%	38.70-	%	31.94	%
PALMER	190	70	75	63.15-	%	7.14	%	60.52-	%
PEABODY	1035	726	0	29.85-	%	0.00	**	0.00	**
PITTSFIELD	0	0	0	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%
PLYMOUTH	765	685	1145	10.45-	%	67.15	%	49.67	%
QUINCY	225	595	351	164.44	%	41.00-	%	56.00	%
ROXBURY	15	0	0	0.00	**	0.00	%	0.00	**
SALEM	200	110	1090	45.00-	%	890.90	%	445.00	%
SOMERVILLE	125	125	300	0.00	%	140.00	%	140.00	%
SOUTH BOSTON	960	50	90	94.79-	%	80.00	%	90.62-	%
SPENCER	75	50	350	33.33-	%	600.00	%	366.66	%
SPRINGFIELD	555	1585	1645	185.58	%	3.78	%	196.39	%
STOUGHTON	469	285	1253	39.23-	%	339.64	%	167.16	%
TAUNTON	40	125	2087	212.50	%	1569.60	%	5117.50	%
UXBRIDGE	370	145	1195	60.81-	%	724.13	%	222.97	%
WALTHAM	1116	1926	1130	72.58	%	41.32-	%	1.25	%
WARE	155	735	968	374.19	%	31.70	%	524.51	%
WAREHAM	1244	1380	2282	10.93	%	65.36	%	83.44	%
WEST ROXBURY	0	95	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
WESTBOROUGH	150	0	283	0.00	**	0.00	**	88.66	%
WESTFIELD	0	172	670	0.00	**	289.53	%	0.00	**

FINES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	FINES JAN-DEC,1985	FINES JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
WINCHENDON	988	437	405	55.76- %	7.32- %	59.00- %
WOBURN	1220	1365	2020	11.88 %	47.98 %	65.57 %
WORCESTER	969	650	200	32.92- %	69.23- %	79.36- %
WRENTHAM	275	640	805	132.72 %	25.78 %	192.72 %
** TOTALS **	22006	27467	45290	24.81 %	64.88 %	105.80 %
BERKSHIRE DISTRICT	50	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	2220	1585	8616	28.60- %	443.59 %	288.10 %
ESSEX DISTRICT	205	191	890	6.82- %	365.96 %	334.14 %
MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	300	75	125	75.00- %	66.66 %	58.33- %
NORTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	1316	1127	1342	14.36- %	19.07 %	1.97 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	735	900	2223	22.44 %	147.00 %	202.44 %

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
JUVENILE COURT
1986

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Adams	0	0	15	15	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15	60
Amesbury	50	0	0	15	30	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	110
Attleboro	15	15	0	0	0	0	45	15	15	0	15	45	165
Ayer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15
Barnstable	90	30	60	180	45	105	75	105	150	75	0	56	971
Boston	125	15	155	0	83	0	140	180	60	*	0	0	758
Brighton	95	75	45	0	50	40	30	45	0	15	60	15	470
Brockton	120	75	120	50	75	135	30	120	30	90	130	30	1005
Brookline	15	0	0	0	0	45	15	0	15	15	15	0	120
Cambridge	100	90	90	75	55	50	90	180	184	56	120	90	1180
Charlestown	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	45
Chelsea	35	55	25	60	70	62	45	75	30	0	15	0	522
Chicopee	30	55	50	95	59	165	140	180	110	165	15	330	1394
Clinton	237	193	173	65	60	60	80	64	50	15	61	40	1103
Concord	60	39	30	15	45	30	30	15	30	15	30	0	339
Dedham	165	30	0	150	30	60	105	75	105	60	30	30	750
Dorchester	0	0	108	15	137	78	0	90	0	0	0	0	428
Dudley	30	0	15	25	30	0	40	90	15	50	10	45	350
East Boston	45	30	40	45	15	15	30	120	30	15	15	135	535
Edgartown	25	0		0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Fall River	168	90	112	100	135	105	225	70	135	60	220	70	1510
Fitchburg	75	50	78	74	41	57	35	103	74	60	65	70	782
Framingham	45	60	15	15	22	45	105	105	60	60	45	45	622
Gardner	30	43	30	0	25	30	15	30	0	0	0	0	198
Gloucester	45	0	0	15	45	55	95	5	10	67	22	8	367
Grt. Barrington	0	0	15	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	90
Greenfield		0	15	15	0	0	0	40	0			0	70
Haverhill	15	70	45	15	55	70	5	75	60	15	75	0	500
Hingham	60	90	15	45	45	90	15	150	120	60	15	90	795
Holyoke	15	0	0	15	60	0	15	0	40	30	25	25	225
Ipswich	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	15	0	0	0	0	25
Lawrence	75	45	50	105	75	105	30	105	110	90	90	90	980
Lee	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	15	30	60
Leominster	0	15	10	45	15	0	0	2	0	13	0	2	93
Lowell	299	231	184	190	190	240	150	335	265	155	245	270	2744
Lynn	45	105	180	175	160	120	0	525	360	170	175	180	2195
Malden	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marlboro	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	30
Milford	60	15	45	60	45	30	15	105	15	30	60	0	480

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
JUVENILE COURT
1986

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Nantucket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	15	45
Natick	0	15	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	70
New Bedford	110	110	200	195	93	117	100	215	305	225	205	92	1967
Newburyport	0	0	0	2	0	15	30	15	15	0	0	30	107
Newton	30	0	15	15	0	0	0	15	0	15	0	15	105
North Adams	0	30	15	15	0	0	0	30	15	0	0	0	105
Northampton	0	130	30	15	55	30	70	30	75	60	35	94	624
Orange	15	50	15	40	30	25	55	30	80	0	40	15	395
Orleans	60	90	30	75	200	90	120	90	150	60	30	0	995
Palmer	25	45	65	30	15	60	10	65	0	0	15	30	360
Peabody	30	45	45	30	0	15	5	10	45	0	25	60	310
Pittsfield	0	0	0	30	45	75	45	15	120	75	7	30	442
Plymouth	45	30	0	30	30	15	30	55	45	15	60	15	370
Quincy	120	75	65	110	100	75	45	190	100	145	120	188	1333
Roxbury	75	75	145	0	0	57	55	88	81	30	0	0	606
Salem	45	15	0	15	0	75	125	120	55	60	55	25	590
Somerville	115	0	45	110	90	105	115	0	75	0	165	75	895
South Boston	0	0	0	0	15	25	40	35	50	15	0	0	180
Spencer	0	0	0	105	0	30	30	15	30	0	15	0	225
Springfield	568	715	337	440	409	365	432	533	296	531	380	320	5326
Stoughton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	35
Taunton	45	0	15	45	60	15	45	135	30	90	30	45	555
Uxbridge	0	0	15	15	15	0	40	35	0	30	0	0	150
Waltham	105	45	110	125	103	175	165	145	160	195	210	90	1628
Ware	0	15	20	0	25	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	75
Wareham	30	0	30	45	0	75	75	105	195	85	75	25	740
Westboro	0	5	0	30	15	0	0	30	30	30	0	0	140
Westfield	15	0	30	30	90	15	0	70	125	35	50	0	460
West Roxbury	37	15	15	15	115	30	150	60	60	0	15	45	617
Winchendon	15	15	5	45	30	0	15	5	20	40	5	0	195
Woburn	195	105	165	150	105	210	270	405	415	315	330	315	2980
Worcester	191	264	277	262	216	170	203	150	268	209	150	110	2490
Wrentham	45	30	0	0	25	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	115
Statewide Total	4222	3465	3447	3603	3603	3676	3890	5770	4958	3671	3635	3405	47345

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
ADAMS	58	60	245	3.44 %	308.33 %	322.41 %
AMESBURY	1395	2290	1095	64.15 %	52.18- %	21.50- %
ATTLEBORO	530	370	285	30.18- %	22.97- %	46.22- %
AYER	1459	185	0	87.32- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BARNSTABLE	6718	6407	7336	4.62- %	14.49 %	9.19 %
BOSTON	310	135	320	56.45- %	137.03 %	3.22 %
BRIGHTON	435	1645	690	278.16 %	58.05- %	58.62 %
BROCKTON	755	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
BROOKLINE	375	90	409	76.00- %	354.44 %	9.06 %
CAMBRIDGE	1378	2328	2217	68.94 %	4.76- %	60.88 %
CHARLESTOWN	355	175	701	50.70- %	300.57 %	97.46 %
CHELSEA	1010	892	1940	11.68- %	117.48 %	92.07 %
CHICOPEE	1070	755	1960	29.43- %	159.60 %	83.17 %
CLINTON	935	1505	2299	60.96 %	52.75 %	145.88 %
CONCORD	1525	850	940	44.26- %	10.58 %	38.36- %
DEDHAM	1339	1435	2822	7.16 %	96.65 %	110.75 %
DORCHESTER	502	909	4211	81.07 %	363.25 %	738.84 %
DUDLEY	1250	2017	1050	61.36 %	47.94- %	16.00- %
EAST BOSTON	458	677	650	47.81 %	3.98- %	41.92 %
EDGARTOWN	600	250	125	58.33- %	50.00- %	79.16- %
FALL RIVER	255	590	2416	131.37 %	309.49 %	847.45 %
FITCHBURG	680	1873	2547	175.44 %	35.98 %	274.55 %
FRAMINGHAM	1995	2850	2080	42.85 %	27.01- %	4.26 %
GARDNER	25	190	400	660.00 %	110.52 %	1500.00 %
GLOUCESTER	689	1587	1121	130.33 %	29.36- %	62.69 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	164	79	570	51.82- %	621.51 %	247.56 %
GREENFIELD	100	145	120	45.00 %	17.24- %	20.00 %
HAVERHILL	354	1455	1265	311.01 %	13.05- %	257.34 %
HINGHAM	2190	3540	3210	61.64 %	9.32- %	46.57 %
HOLYOKE	395	548	2544	38.73 %	364.23 %	544.05 %
IPSWICH	260	75	5	71.15- %	93.33- %	98.07- %
LAWRENCE	2830	3440	1945	21.55 %	43.45- %	31.27- %
LEE	499	115	215	76.95- %	86.95 %	56.91- %
LEOMINSTER	1630	1914	1586	17.42 %	17.13- %	2.69- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
LOWELL	4098	3930	4775	4.09- %	21.50 %	16.52 %
LYNN	1851	3120	3113	68.55 %	0.22- %	68.17 %
MALDEN	2470	180	175	92.71- %	2.77- %	92.91- %
MARLBOROUGH	745	25	50	96.64- %	100.00 %	93.28- %
MILFORD	455	1085	1165	138.46 %	7.37 %	156.04 %
NANTUCKET	25	0	475	0.00 **	0.00 **	1800.00 %
NATICK	1050	685	100	34.76- %	85.40- %	90.47- %
NEW BEDFORD	4037	3968	5872	1.70- %	47.98 %	45.45 %
NEWBURYPORT	763	1005	720	31.71 %	28.35- %	5.63- %
NEWTON	100	175	290	75.00 %	65.71 %	190.00 %
NORTH ADAMS	415	164	1022	60.48- %	523.17 %	146.26 %
NORTHAMPTON	2381	2664	2905	11.88 %	9.04 %	22.00 %
ORANGE	250	830	1835	232.00 %	121.08 %	634.00 %
ORLEANS	3578	3102	3088	13.30- %	0.45- %	13.69- %
PALMER	210	540	1215	157.14 %	125.00 %	478.57 %
PEABODY	555	463	325	16.57- %	29.80- %	41.44- %
PITTSFIELD	1813	3507	3189	93.43 %	9.06- %	75.89 %
PLYMOUTH	574	1950	736	239.72 %	62.25- %	28.22 %
QUINCY	1275	2410	2188	89.01 %	9.21- %	71.60 %
ROXBURY	0	50	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
SALEM	125	151	745	20.80 %	393.37 %	496.00 %
SOMERVILLE	175	190	321	8.57 %	68.94 %	83.42 %
SOUTH BOSTON	650	150	435	76.92- %	190.00 %	33.07- %
SPENCER	485	730	880	50.51 %	20.54 %	81.44 %
SPRINGFIELD	2715	1700	2045	37.38- %	20.29 %	24.67- %
STOUGHTON	240	270	490	12.50 %	81.48 %	104.16 %
TAUNTON	1626	2670	2206	64.20 %	17.37- %	35.67 %
UXBRIDGE	550	1005	770	82.72 %	23.38- %	40.00 %
WALTHAM	965	855	468	11.39- %	45.26- %	51.50- %
WARE	100	505	100	405.00 %	80.19- %	0.00 %
WAREHAM	832	290	50	65.14- %	82.75- %	93.99- %
WEST ROXBURY	1192	560	270	53.02- %	51.78- %	77.34- %
WESTBOROUGH	400	1050	894	162.50 %	14.85- %	123.50 %
WESTFIELD	125	230	745	84.00 %	223.91 %	496.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1984	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1985	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
WINCHENDON	110	671	350	510.00 %	47.83- %	218.18 %
WOBURN	1535	1780	1835	15.96 %	3.08 %	19.54 %
WORCESTER	3122	2957	1980	5.28- %	33.04- %	36.57- %
WRENTHAM	820	1275	425	55.48 %	66.66- %	48.17- %
** TOTALS **	76935	88268	97566	14.73 %	10.53 %	26.81 %
BERKSHIRE DISTRICT	2949	3925	5241	33.09 %	33.52 %	77.72 %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	6448	7598	10779	17.83 %	41.86 %	67.16 %
ESSEX DISTRICT	3461	6412	4206	85.26 %	34.40- %	21.52 %
MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	5249	3745	2230	28.65- %	40.45- %	57.51- %
NORTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	3355	5963	6782	77.73 %	13.73 %	102.14 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	3140	5887	4759	87.48 %	19.16- %	51.56 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE: JUVENILES

COURT	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,84	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,85	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,86	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985
ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
AMESBURY	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ATTLEBORO	500	30	0	94.00- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
AYER	0	50	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
BARNSTABLE	60	910	1235	1416.66 %	35.71 %	1958.33 %
BOSTON	1910	2159	1462	13.03 %	32.28- %	23.45- %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BROCKTON	50	200	450	300.00 %	125.00 %	800.00 %
BROOKLINE	0	80	58	0.00 **	27.50- %	0.00 **
CAMBRIDGE	1300	1595	600	22.69 %	62.38- %	53.84- %
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHICOPEE	25	175	100	600.00 %	42.85- %	300.00 %
CLINTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CONCORD	0	80	200	0.00 **	150.00 %	0.00 **
DEDHAM	0	110	300	0.00 **	172.72 %	0.00 **
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
DUDLEY	290	0	350	0.00 **	0.00 **	20.68 %
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
EDGARTOWN	50	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
FALL RIVER	480	870	600	81.25 %	31.03- %	25.00 %
FITCHBURG	0	0	450	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
FRAMINGHAM	100	650	150	550.00 %	76.92- %	50.00 %
GARDNER	0	0	72	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
GLOUCESTER	0	0	181	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
GREENFIELD	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
HAVERHILL	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
HINGHAM	0	115	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
HOLYOKE	1775	1450	1025	18.30- %	29.31- %	42.25- %
IPSWICH	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
LAWRENCE	0	250	855	0.00 **	242.00 %	0.00 **
LEE	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
LEOMINSTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
LOWELL	0	0	320	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE: JUVENILES

COURT	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,84	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,85	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,86	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
LYNN	70	0	20	0.00 **	0.00 **	71.42- %
MALDEN	1085	525	100	51.61- %	80.95- %	90.78- %
MARLBOROUGH	205	430	0	109.75 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
MILFORD	0	0	100	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NATICK	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NEW BEDFORD	40	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NEWTON	50	85	0	70.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORTHAMPTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ORANGE	300	200	550	33.33- %	175.00 %	83.33 %
ORLEANS	100	50	60	50.00- %	20.00 %	40.00- %
PALMER	0	50	100	0.00 **	100.00 %	0.00 **
PEABODY	0	70	200	0.00 **	185.71 %	0.00 **
PITTSFIELD	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
PLYMOUTH	370	0	250	0.00 **	0.00 **	32.43- %
QUINCY	0	0	50	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
ROXBURY	0	0	120	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
SALEM	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
SOMERVILLE	0	50	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
SOUTH BOSTON	0	250	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
SPENCER	100	0	50	0.00 **	0.00 **	50.00- %
SPRINGFIELD	450	800	50	77.77 %	93.75- %	88.88- %
STOUGHTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
TAUNTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
UXBRIDGE	0	100	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
WALTHAM	0	100	275	0.00 **	175.00 %	0.00 **
WARE	75	70	0	6.66- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
WAREHAM	75	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
WEST ROXBURY	425	105	25	75.29- %	76.19- %	94.11- %
WESTBOROUGH	50	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
WESTFIELD	15	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
WINCHENDON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
WOBURN	250	0	300	0.00 **	0.00 **	20.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE: JUVENILES

COURT	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,84	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,85	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12,86	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
WORCESTER	0	0	745	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
WRENTHAM	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	10200	11609	11403	13.81 %	1.77- %	11.79 %
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	1020	900	600	11.76- %	33.33- %	41.17- %
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	181	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	305	1130	150	270.49 %	86.72- %	50.81- %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	450	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	440	100	500	77.27- %	400.00 %	13.63 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

YEAR TO DATE SUMMARY: JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT AND JUVENILE PROBATION DISTRICTS

COURT NAME	DELINQUENCY ARRAIGNMENTS	TRANSFER HEARINGS	JUVENILE BINDOVERS	COMMITMENTS TO DYS
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE	[702]	[0]	[0]	[11]
ADAMS	51	0	0	3
GREAT BARRINGTON	102	0	0	1
LEE	64	0	0	0
NORTH ADAMS	141	0	0	2
PITTSFIELD	344	0	0	5
BOSTON JUVENILE	1141	69	5	52
BRISTOL JUVENILE	[2170]	[1]	[1]	[84]
ATTLEBORO	278	0	0	5
FALL RIVER	781	1	1	30
NEW BEDFORD	774	0	0	43
TAUNTON	337	0	0	6
ESSEX JUVENILE	[548]	[1]	[0]	[46]
AMESBURY	87	0	0	5
GLOUCESTER	161	0	0	11
HAVERHILL	145	0	0	17
IPSWICH	26	0	0	3
NEWBURYPORT	129	1	0	10
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE	[763]	[2]	[0]	[61]
AYER	218	0	0	17
FRAMINGHAM	353	2	0	28
MARLBORO	110	0	0	7
NATICK	82	0	0	9
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE	[456]	[0]	[0]	[54]
CLINTON	123	0	0	3
FITCHBURG	170	0	0	24
LEOMINSTER	121	0	0	19
WINCHENDON	42	0	0	8
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE	[845]	[0]	[0]	[35]
DUDLEY	242	0	0	12
MILFORD	168	0	0	2
SPENCER	99	0	0	6
UXBRIDGE	187	0	0	10
WESTBORO	149	0	0	5
SPRINGFIELD JUVENILE	1274	1	1	84
WORCESTER JUVENILE	676	2	1	53
TOTAL	8525	76	8	480

MASSACHUSETTS PROBATION SERVICE
PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT PROBATION
SUPPORT COLLECTIONS 1980-1986

YEAR	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS	YEARLY $ CHG	YEARLY % CHG
1980	$21,807,488	----	----
1981	25,220,707	$3,413,219	15.7%
1982	31,650,034	6,429,327	25.5%
1983	37,240,265	5,590,231	17.7%
1984	47,670,236	10,429,971	28.0%
1985	58,156,723	10,486,487	22.0%
1986	$67,992,895	$9,836,172	16.9%

SOURCE: OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL BUREAU
MONTHLY REPORT OF PROBATION ACTIVITY



SUPPORT COLLECTIONS 1980–1986

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT PROBATION

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT SUPERVISION CASES IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW 01-12 1984	NEW 01-12 1985	NEW 01-12 1986	TERMINATED 01-1284	TERMINATED 01-1285	TERMINATED 01-1286	TOTAL* CASES AS OF 12-84	TOTAL* CASES AS OF 12-85	TOTAL* CASES AS OF 12-86	TOTAL SUPPORT CASES PERCENT CHANGE 84-86	TOTAL SUPPORT CASES PERCENT CHANGE 84-85	TOTAL SUPPORT CASES PERCENT CHANGE 85-86
BARNSTABLE	794	439	275	15	680	631	2141	1900	1544	27.8- %	11.2- %	18.7- %
BERKSHIRE	266	244	271	24	43	45	327	528	753	130.2 %	61.4 %	42.6 %
BRISTOL	669	693	447	311	242	440	1287	1738	2154	67.3 %	35.0 %	23.9 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX	517	545	696	405	314	266	2385	2616	3046	27.7 %	9.6 %	16.4 %
FRANKLIN	87	150	165	29	45	42	237	342	465	96.2 %	44.3 %	35.9 %
HAMPDEN	310	331	656	404	365	542	865	831	945	9.2 %	3.9- %	13.7 %
HAMPSHIRE	196	181	168	155	99	139	583	665	700	20.0 %	14.0 %	5.2 %
MIDDLESEX	1129	895	853	269	390	455	6561	7032	7430	13.2 %	7.1 %	5.6 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK	446	524	655	536	251	285	2297	3656	3502	52.6 %	59.1 %	4.0- %
PLYMOUTH	865	599	527	58	96	87	2733	3236	3676	34.5 %	18.4 %	13.5 %
SUFFOLK	467	363	377	268	269	250	1864	1958	2085	11.8 %	5.0 %	6.4 %
WORCESTER	634	1096	1269	271	522	234	2421	2988	4340	79.2 %	23.4 %	45.2 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	6376	6060	6359	2745	3323	3417	23701	27490	30645	29.2 %	15.9 %	11.4 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE PROBATE & FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1984	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1985	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC,1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1985	PERCENT CHANGE 1985-1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-1986
BARNSTABLE	2347044	2563168	3142959	9.20 %	22.62 %	33.91 %
BERKSHIRE	424529	922293	1376896	117.25 %	49.29 %	224.33 %
BRISTOL	4169946	6107968	6706024	46.47 %	9.79 %	60.81 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX	5625045	6661370	8113114	18.42 %	21.79 %	44.23 %
FRANKLIN	349273	694706	1183357	98.90 %	70.33 %	238.80 %
HAMPDEN	1976460	2172560	2728253	9.92 %	25.57 %	38.03 %
HAMPSHIRE	1105069	1347143	1623290	21.90 %	20.49 %	46.89 %
MIDDLESEX	9167649	10906771	12630542	18.97 %	15.80 %	37.77 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK	7205021	8259779	9196077	14.63 %	11.33 %	27.63 %
PLYMOUTH	5603884	6825976	7955273	21.80 %	16.54 %	41.95 %
SUFFOLK	3829935	4655208	5104913	21.54 %	9.66 %	33.28 %
WORCESTER	5866381	7039781	8232187	20.00 %	16.93 %	40.32 %
** TOTALS **	47670236	58156723	67992895	21.99 %	16.91 %	42.63 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

INVESTIGATIONS IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW 01-12 1984	NEW 01-12 1985	NEW 01-12 1986	--------COMPLETED------- 01-1284	01-1285	01-1286	IN• PROCESS AS OF 12-84	IN• PROCESS AS OF 12-85	IN• PROCESS AS OF 12-86	----NEW CASES---------- PERCENT CHANGE 84-86	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE 85-86
BARNSTABLE	14	25	13	14	32	15	10	3	1	7.1- %	78.5 %	48.0- %
BERKSHIRE	17	0	0	16	5	1	18	13	12	0.0 ••	0.0 ••	0.0 %
BRISTOL	86	61	58	64	76	53	39	24	29	32.5- %	29.0- %	4.9- %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX	159	158	186	189	155	157	88	91	120	16.9 %	0.6- %	17.7 %
FRANKLIN	15	73	155	10	72	152	8	9	12	933.3 %	386.6 %	112.3 %
HAMPDEN	73	66	27	65	82	27	23	7	7	63.0- %	9.5- %	59.0- %
HAMPSHIRE	113	134	176	113	134	176	7	7	7	55.7 %	18.5 %	31.3 %
MIDDLESEX	279	220	208	300	237	198	55	41	51	25.4- %	21.1- %	5.4- %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK	161	207	196	162	193	190	49	66	72	21.7 %	28.5 %	5.3- %
PLYMOUTH	226	159	115	207	159	137	59	39	17	49.1- %	29.6- %	27.6- %
SUFFOLK	513	470	468	553	553	510	386	303	261	8.7- %	8.3- %	0.4- %
WORCESTER	157	146	176	142	153	167	51	44	53	12.1 %	7.0- %	20.5 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	1808	1719	1778	1835	1848	1783	773	647	642	1.6- %	4.9- %	3.4 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

MEDIATIONS IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW 01-12 1984	NEW 01-12 1985	NEW 01-12 1986	--------COMPLETED-------- 01-1284	01-1285	01-1286	IN PROCESS AS OF 12-84	IN PROCESS AS OF 12-85	IN PROCESS AS OF 12-86	----NEW CASES---- PERCENT CHANGE 84-86	PERCENT CHANGE 84-85	PERCENT CHANGE 85-86
BARNSTABLE	190	730	980	190	730	980	0	0	0	415.7 %	284.2 %	34.2 %
BERKSHIRE	48	26	16	46	27	13	1	0	0	66.6- %	45.8- %	38.4- %
BRISTOL	1916	1788	1450	1797	1788	1450	0	0	0	24.3- %	6.6- %	18.9- %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX	2025	2197	2410	2025	2197	2410	0	0	0	19.0 %	8.4 %	9.6 %
FRANKLIN	124	233	444	122	230	449	2	5	0	258.0 %	87.9 %	90.5 %
HAMPDEN	375	483	972	373	486	972	3	0	0	159.2 %	28.8 %	101.2 %
HAMPSHIRE	435	380	357	433	382	357	3	1	1	17.9- %	12.6- %	6.0- %
MIDDLESEX	1112	1246	1288	1107	1260	1290	17	3	1	15.8 %	12.0 %	3.3 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK	3209	3298	3384	3209	3298	3384	0	0	0	5.4 %	2.7 %	2.6 %
PLYMOUTH	2469	1135	1817	2468	1135	1817	0	0	0	26.4- %	54.0- %	60.0 %
SUFFOLK	234	276	383	234	276	383	0	0	0	63.6 %	17.9 %	38.7 %
WORCESTER	1342	935	1651	1331	935	1651	0	0	0	23.0 %	30.3- %	76.5 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	13479	12727	15152	13335	12744	15156	26	9	2	12.4 %	5.5- %	19.0 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CONTEMPTS FILED IN THE PROBATE & FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT	CIVIL 01-12 1984	CIVIL 01-12 1985	CIVIL 01-12 1986	CRIMINAL 01-12 1984	CRIMINAL 01-12 1985	CRIMINAL 01-12 1986	TOTAL 01-12 1984	TOTAL 01-12 1985	TOTAL 01-12 1986	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-85	PERCENT CHANGE 1984-86	PERCENT CHANGE 1995-86
BARNSTABLE	349	286	275	1	2	0	350	288	275	17.71- %	21.42- %	4.51- %
BERKSHIRE	35	31	67	0	0	0	35	31	67	11.42- %	91.42 %	116.12 %
BRISTOL	146	329	329	0	0	0	146	329	329	125.34 %	125.34 %	0.00 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX	292	309	333	0	0	0	292	309	333	5.82 %	14.04 %	7.76 %
FRANKLIN	32	58	45	0	0	0	32	58	45	81.25 %	40.62 %	22.41- %
HAMPDEN	534	557	564	0	0	0	534	557	564	4.30 %	5.61 %	1.25 %
HAMPSHIRE	180	176	217	0	0	0	180	176	217	2.22- %	20.55 %	23.29 %
MIDDLESEX	414	334	328	0	0	0	414	334	328	19.32- %	20.77- %	1.79- %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK	350	368	364	0	0	0	350	368	364	5.14 %	4.00 %	1.08- %
PLYMOUTH	136	180	269	0	0	0	136	180	269	32.35 %	97.79 %	49.44 %
SUFFOLK	254	296	260	0	0	0	254	296	260	16.53 %	2.36 %	12.16- %
WORCESTER	326	502	506	0	0	0	326	502	506	53.98 %	55.21 %	0.79 %
ANNUAL TOTAL	3048	3426	3557	1	2	0	3049	3428	3557	12.43 %	16.66 %	3.76 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CONTEMPTS HEARD IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT (CIVIL AND CRIMINAL)

	1984						1985						1986					
COURT NAME	CONT	DISM	COMM	WAGE ASGN	OTH JUDG	TOTAL	CONT	DISM	COMM	WAGE ASGN	OTH JUDG	TOTAL	CONT	DISM	COMM	WAGE ASGN	OTH JUDG	TOTAL
BARNSTABLE	95	16	0	33	165	309	90	40	1	20	189	340	90	21	0	57	143	311
BERKSHIRE	6	0	0	8	6	20	8	1	0	17	7	33	16	15	1	17	6	55
BRISTOL	76	22	0	27	7	132	133	12	0	25	61	231	139	11	0	40	16	206
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ESSEX	35	37	2	62	119	255	48	42	0	59	140	289	40	51	1	71	118	281
FRANKLIN	35	6	1	20	29	91	55	14	0	18	64	151	64	10	0	4	125	203
HAMPDEN	106	58	5	197	321	687	114	56	5	156	332	663	102	49	13	186	333	683
HAMPSHIRE	301	95	5	115	371	887	322	97	16	89	361	905	324	103	4	74	275	780
MIDDLESEX	225	80	0	235	142	682	281	82	0	65	175	603	280	81	0	89	130	580
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORFOLK	121	15	0	295	156	587	153	0	0	256	170	579	167	0	0	282	198	647
PLYMOUTH	173	23	4	77	21	298	88	14	2	33	10	147	105	5	8	60	3	181
SUFFOLK	1248	51	2	140	504	1945	1176	79	0	147	579	1981	1117	68	0	169	782	2136
WORCESTER	193	128	0	352	82	755	454	346	1	553	329	1683	171	105	1	373	68	718
ANNUAL TOTAL	2614	531	19	1561	1923	6648	2922	783	25	1438	2437	7605	2615	519	28	1422	2197	6781

Office of Jury Commissioner

OFFICE OF JURY COMMISSIONER
for The Commonwealth
1986 In review

During calendar year 1986, the Office of Jury Commissioner successfully introduced the One Day/One Trial jury system into the counties of Norfolk and Plymouth. With these additions, seven counties were fully operational under the new jury-system format.

In addition to administering to the daily juror needs of the courts within the above-mentioned seven counties in 1986, the Office of Jury Commissioner also began preparations to add the counties of Berkshire, Franklin and Hampshire to the new jury-system format beginning January 1, 1987.

The following calendar outlines the series of important events which occurred during the year:

1. October 1, 1985: The Supreme Judicial Court designates Berkshire, Franklin and Hampshire Counties as "participating" counties to begin operating under the new jury-system format on January 1, 1987.

2. December 4, 1985: A training conference is conducted at the Northampton Hilton Inn, Northampton, Massachusetts, for all city and town clerks in the counties of Berkshire, Franklin and Hampshire in order to familiarize them with their new duties and responsibilities under the new jury system. Edward F. Hennessey, Chief Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, appeared as the guest speaker at the request of the Jury Commissioner.

3. January 1, 1986: With the designation of Berkshire, Franklin and Hampshire Counties as "participating" counties, the Office of Jury Commissioner gears up to collect census data from 308 cities and towns to be used in summoning jurors to begin their terms of service during 1987.

4. January 1, 1986: Jurors appear at the Brockton Superior, Dedham Superior, and Wareham District courts for the first time under the One Day/One Trial format. In addition, grand jurors at the Norfolk County and Plymouth County Superior courts begin serving under the new terms as mandated by M.G.L., CH. 234A.

5. January - March, 1986: Court personnel from the new counties of Norfolk and Plymouth receive ongoing training from OJC staff. Most training is of the "on the job" category in which the court personnel learn the system as it is being introduced.

6. June - October, 1986: Adhering to the mandates of M.G.L., CH. 234A, sections 9 - 16, the office prepares a Master Juror List for each of the ten counties who will be participating in the One Day/One Trial jury system on January 1, 1987. The following outline presents an overview of the process.

June 1	OJC Receives Numbered Resident List OJC Receives Numbered Resident File	**Section 10** **Section 11**
July 1	OJC Determines Number of Prospective Jurors for each City and Town	**Section 12**
July 1	OJC Generates Random Numbers and Selects Prospective Jurors for each City & Town from their Numbered Resident Files	**Section 13**
September 1	OJC Prepares Prospective Juror List for each City & Town	**Section 15**
October 1	OJC Mails Two Copies of Prospective Juror List To each City & Town	**Section 15**
October 1	OJC Prepares Master Juror List for each Judicial district (County)	**Section 16**
October 1	OJC Summons Grand & Trial Jurors	**Section 16**

7. October 15, 1986: The Supreme Judicial Court designates the counties of Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes and Nantucket as "participating" counties. Courts in the four counties will begin accepting jurors under the One Day/One Trial format in January, 1988, thereby completing the expansion of the new jury system into all counties of the Commonwealth.

8. November 1, 1986: The Office of Jury Commissioner establishes the statewide and toll-free telephone number **"1-800-THE-JURY"** so that citizens may call the office for information concerning their terms of juror service. The Office averaged 1,000 such calls on a daily basis during 1986.

In addition to the above-mentioned events described in calendar format, Tables 1 through 18 will present data supporting the following statements describing the activities of the Office of Jury Commissioner and the One Day/One Trial jury system as they occurred in 1986:

1. $3,776,077 was expended to operate the One Day/One Trial jury system in 1986. This figure represents all administrative costs of the Office of Jury Commissioner coupled with the fees paid to jurors for travel and daily compensation in the counties of Essex, Hampden, Middlesex, Norfolk, Plymouth, Suffolk and Worcester.

2. The increase of $276,202 in administrative costs was due largely to the increase in postage costs, and the fact that the Office of Jury Commisioner assumed the costs of outfitting new courts with equipment so that they could become operational.

3. Norfolk County juror fees of travel and daily compensation were $228,839 less in 1986 under the One Day/One Trial jury system than they were in 1985 under the traditional 30-day jury system.

4. Plymouth County juror fees of travel and daily compensation were $396,578 less in 1986 under the One Day/One Trial jury system than they were in 1985 under the traditional 30-day jury system.

5. Increases in juror fees in 1986 are reported for Hampden, and Middlesex Counties. A decrease in juror fees in 1986 is reported for Essex, Suffolk and Worcester Counties.

6. 32% of jurors summoned to perform juror service in the seven participating counties were either disqualified or excused from the duty of having to perform such service,

7. The disqualification/excuse rate was highest in Middlesex County at 35%, and lowest in Plymouth County at 23%.

8. The category attracting the highest rate of disqualification in all counties was that of "Age-Over 70". With the new jury system, all citizens who are seventy years of age or older may elect not to serve when summoned.

TABLE 1 *
COSTS OF ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF JURY COMMISSIONER

CATEGORY		1983	1984	1985	1986
1.	Personnel	238,066	322,205	448,817	527,100
2.	Consultant **(Central Account)**	23,703	16,891	19,199	31,393
3.	Travel **(Central Account)**	98	509	5,377	3,302
4.	Advertising, Printing & Forms **(Central Account)**	51,455	134,042	201,228	234,558
5.	Maintenance	3,596	4,536	3,971	10,344
6.	Special Supplies and Expenses	2,851	0	0	0
7.	Office and Administration	9,476	28,455	29,869	36,978
8.	Postage	155,155	317,741	360,360	515,083
9.	Equipment **(Central Account)**	5,594	16,806	36,608	22,564
10.	Rental	7,593	13,799	37,002	37,311
11.	Other	0	0	0	0
	TOTALS	497,587	854,984	1,142,431	1,418,633

* Entries in TABLE are in Dollars for Calendar Years.

TABLE 2

OFFICE OF JURY COMMISSIONER
COSTS OF ADMINISTRATION
CALENDAR YEAR, 1986

Item	Account Subsidiary	Amount Expended	Percentage Of Total Budget	(+) (-)	1985
1. Personnel	(01)	527,100	37.15%	(-)	1.85%
2. Postage	(14)	515,083	36.30%	(+)	4.30%
3. Advertising, Printing & Forms **(Central)**	(11)	234,558	16.53%	(-)	1.47%
4. Office & Administration	(14)	36,978	2.60%	No Change	
5. Equipment **(Central)**	(15)	22,564	1.59%	(-)	1.61%
6. Consultant **(Central)**	(03)	31,393	2.21%	(+)	0.53%
7. Rental	(16)	37,311	2.63%	(-)	0.60%
8. Maintenance	(12)	10,344	0.72%	(+)	0.38%
9. Travel **(Central)**	(10)	3,302	0.23%	(-)	0.24%
	TOTAL	1,418,633	100%		

TABLE 3

1986 POSTAGE EXPENDITURES

SUMMONSES MAILED IN 1986

	TOTAL	AMOUNT
January, 1986	50,558	14,208.84
February, 1986	38,838	10,967.22
March, 1986	40,508	11,375.84
April, 1986	53,964	15,157.24
May, 1986	47,407	13,845.36
June, 1986	86,647	24,354.04
July, 1986	44,059	12,368.20
August, 1986	54,269	12,784.12
September, 1986	60,761	17,060.96
October, 1986	50,023	14,046.84
November, 1986	52,376	14,706.56
December, 1986	62,029	17,404.48
(Business Reply Mail)		134,240.82
TOTAL:	**641,439**	**312,520.52**

CERTIFICATES

	TOTAL	AMOUNT
January, 1986	17,350	3,148.96
February, 1986	16,632	3,019.84
March, 1986	18,004	3,263.04
April, 1986	19,363	3,505.56
May, 1986	18,548	3,364.24
June, 1986	23,986	4,346.28
July, 1986	21,034	3,817.36
August, 1986	16,452	2,990.28
September, 1986	17,129	3,111.66
October, 1986	23,314	4,226.00
November, 1986	19,010	3,447.78
December, 1986	15,781	2,869.18
TOTAL:	**226,603**	**41,110.18**

TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

HANDBOOKS

	TOTAL	AMOUNT
January, 1986	19,440	5,151.85
February, 1986	14,685	3,791.42
March, 1986	27,588	6,170.48
April, 1986	22,398	4,439.59
May, 1986	22,578	4,090.72
June, 1986	27,332	4,953.52
July, 1986	20,011	3,626.24
August, 1986	25,718	4,657.04
September, 1986	22,338	4,043.64
October, 1986	21,243	3,849.30
November, 1986	19,499	3,533.18
December, 1986	20,952	3,810.36
TOTAL:	**241,204**	**52,117.34**

DATAMAILERS

	TOTAL	AMOUNT
January, 1986	43,930	7,946.12
February, 1986	43,213	7,813.22
March, 1986	61,799	11,165.24
April, 1986	51,973	9,393.86
May, 1986	42,997	7,781.90
June, 1986	45,179	8,197.60
July, 1986	53,453	9,666.50
August, 1986	38,999	8,374.22
September, 1986	38,857	7,029.86
October, 1986	28,517	5,537.36
November, 1986	39,371	7,122.86
December, 1986	47,132	8,542.36
TOTAL:	**534,420**	**98,711.10**

TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

JUROR CHECKS

	TOTAL	AMOUNT
January, 1986	0	0
February, 1986	0	0
March, 1986	0	0
April, 1986	0	0
May, 1986	0	0
June, 1986	0	0
July, 1986	521	100.94
August, 1986	0	0
September, 1986	1,225	233.62
October, 1986	2,411	462.38
November, 1986	561	108.58
December, 1986	2,387	456.22
TOTAL:	**7,105**	**1,361.74**

BUSINESS MAILINGS

January, 1986	649.40
February, 1986	950.77
March, 1986	1,121.23
April, 1986	761.73
May, 1986	851.60
June, 1986	1,183.48
July, 1986	633.08
August, 1986	671.87
September, 1986	465.34
October, 1986	769.77
November, 1986	579.76
December, 1986	623.64
TOTAL:	**9,261.67**

TOTAL 1986 POSTAGE EXPENDITURES: 515,083

TABLE 4

JUROR COMPENSATION (DOLLARS)

ESSEX COUNTY

	YEAR	SALEM (Grand Jurors)	SALEM (Trial Jurors)	LAWRENCE (Superior)	NEWBURYPORT (Superior)	PEABODY (Superior)	HAVERHILL (District)	TOTALS
*	1983	19,060	546,530					565,590
**	1984	45,853	95,714	46,435	64,744	31,905	7,778	292,429
	1985	42,754	68,809	30,555	34,280	24,743	2,432	203,573
	1986	44,857	39,056	40,370	45,458	19,280	2,838	191,859

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A.

TABLE 5

JUROR COMPENSATION
(DOLLARS)

HAMPDEN COUNTY

	YEAR	HAMPDEN COUNTY @ SPRINGFIELD (Grand Jurors)	HAMPDEN COUNTY @ SPRINGFIELD (Trial Jurors)	TOTALS
*	1984	38,407	436,973	475,380
**	1985	119,726	111,493	231,219
	1986	127,453	118,193	245,646

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A

TABLE 6

JUROR COMPENSATION
(DOLLARS)

MIDDLESEX COUNTY

	YEAR	CAMBRIDGE (Grand Jurors)	CAMBRIDGE (Trial Jurors)	LOWELL (Superior)	LOWELL (District)	FRAMINGHAM (District)	TOTALS
*	1978	42,848	610,256	171,470	0	17,451	842,025
**	1979	72,800	213,164	16,264	1,882	2,210	306,320
	1980	83,047	241,378	33,874	3,984	2,034	364,317
	1981	95,200	319,082	55,987	814	2,077	473,160
	1982	175,740	334,674	47,491	1,616	3,885	563,406
	1983	103,112	458,525	60,537	4,917	3,111	630,202
	1984	123,342	383,411	43,394	1,587	1,088	552,822
	1985	151,498	361,646	88,572	1,722	1,512	604,950
	1986	169,475	480,683	53,143	1,899	1,255	706,455

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A.

TABLE 7

JUROR COMPENSATION
(DOLLARS)

NORFOLK COUNTY

	YEAR	NORFOLK @ DEDHAM (Grand Jurors)	NORFOLK @ DEDHAM (Trial Jurors)	TOTALS
*	1985	26,112	385,278	411,390
**	1986	45,531	137,020	182,551

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A

TABLE 8

JUROR COMPENSATION
(DOLLARS)

PLYMOUTH COUNTY

	YEAR	PLYMOUTH (Grand Jurors)	BROCKTON (Superior)	WAREHAM (District)	HINGHAM (District)	TOTALS
*	1985	-------	-------	-------	-------	580,148 **
***	1986	54,107	122,190	5,700	1,573	183,570

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** Grand Jurors and Trial Jurors Combined in one Total. Breakdown Not Available.

*** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A

TABLE 9

JUROR COMPENSATION
(DOLLARS)

SUFFOLK COUNTY

	YEAR	SUFFOLK COUNTY @ BOSTON (Grand Jurors)	SUFFOLK COUNTY @ BOSTON (Trial Jurors)	TOTALS
*	1983	62,765	956,083	1,018,848
**	1984	145,770	522,198	667,968
	1985	148,027	580,439	728,466
	1986	128,625	561,304	689,929

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A

TABLE 10

JUROR COMPENSATION
(DOLLARS)

WORCESTER COUNTY

YEAR	WORCESTER (Grand Jurors)	WORCESTER (Trial Jurors)	FITCHBURG (District)	TOTALS
* 1984	27,281	394,599		421,880
** 1985	75,489	105,076	5,698	186,263
1986	78,853	76,510	2,071	157,434

All figures represent jury fees for travel and daily compensation paid to jurors for the given calendar year.

* Last Year of Operation Under the traditional "30-day" Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234.

** First Year of Operation Under the One Day/One Trial Jury System; M.G.L., CH. 234A

TABLE 11

DISQUALIFIED /EXCUSED JURORS

ALL COURTS: 1986

Jurors Summoned for 1986 = 614,249
Disqualified/Excused = 191,868
Pecentage of Summoned Jurors Disqualified/Excused = 32.24%

REASON CODE	REASON	TOTAL	PERCENT
01	Non-Citizen	11,874	1.93%
02	Age-Over 70	59,140	9.63%
03	Age-Under 18	721	0.12%
04	Language	6,604	1.08%
05	Non-Resident Moved	26,785	4.36%
06	Non-Resident: Year	8,816	1.44%
07	Convicted Felon	3,188	0.52%
08	Prior Service	38,951	6.34%
09	Medical	26,311	4.28%
10	Medical-Custodial	2,611	0.43%
11	Judicial	227	0.04%
12	Business Hardship	41	0.01%
13	Childcare Hardship	337	0.05%
14	Deceased	5,967	0.97%
15	Miscellaneous	293	0.05%
16	Undefined	2	0.00%

TABLE 12

DISQUALIFIED JURORS: ESSEX COUNTY

Jurors Summoned = 121,090
Disqualified/Excused = 40,895
Pecentage of Summoned Jurors Disqualified/Excused = 33.77%

REASON CODE	REASON	TOTAL	PERCENT
01	Non-Citizen	2,034	1.68%
02	Age-Over 70	13,657	11.28%
03	Age-Under 18	160	0.13%
04	Language	1,149	0.95%
05	Non-Resident Moved	4,816	3.98%
06	Non-Resident: Year	1,709	1.41%
07	Convicted Felon	628	0.52%
08	Prior Service	9,891	8.17%
09	Medical	4,961	4.10%
10	Medical-Custodial	488	0.40%
11	Judicial	23	0.02%
12	Business Hardship	2	0.00%
13	Childcare Hardship	25	0.02%
14	Deceased	1,246	1.03%
15	Miscellaneous	106	0.09%
16	Undefined	0	0.00%

COURT	SUMMONED	DISQUALIFIED/EXCUSED
Haverhill District	10,374	3,613
Lawrence Superior	19,239	6,415
Newburyport Superior	40,821	13,583
Peabody District	18,460	6,198
Salem Superior	31,467	10,766
Salem Grand Jury	729	320
TOTAL:	**121,090**	**40,895**

TABLE 13

DISQUALIFIED JURORS: HAMPDEN COUNTY

Jurors Summoned = 46,934
Disqualified/Excused = 13,768
Pecentage of Summoned Jurors Disqualified/Excused = 29.33%

REASON CODE	REASON	TOTAL	PERCENT
01	Non-Citizen	811	1.73%
02	Age-Over 70	4,895	10.43%
03	Age-Under 18	80	0.17%
04	Language	653	1.39%
05	Non-Resident Moved	1,574	3.35%
06	Non-Resident: Year	610	1.30%
07	Convicted Felon	286	0.61%
08	Prior Service	1,970	4.20%
09	Medical	2,137	4.55%
10	Medical-Custodial	205	0.44%
11	Judicial	13	0.03%
12	Business Hardship	3	0.01%
13	Childcare Hardship	18	0.04%
14	Deceased	495	1.05%
15	Miscellaneous	18	0.04%
16	Undefined	0	0.00%

COURT	SUMMONED	DISQUALIFIED/EXCUSED
Hampden @ Springfield	46,174	13,543
Hampden Grand Jury	760	225
TOTAL:	**46,934**	**13,768**

TABLE 14

DISQUALIFIED JURORS: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Jurors Summoned = 121,159
Disqualified/Excused = 41,997
Pecentage of Summoned Jurors Disqualified/Excused = 34.56%

REASON CODE	REASON	TOTAL	PERCENT
01	Non-Citizen	2,932	2.42
02	Age-Over 70	11,747	9.70%
03	Age-Under 18	172	0.14%
04	Language	1,236	1.02%
05	Non-Resident Moved	5,691	4.70%
06	Non-Resident: Year	1,948	1.61%
07	Convicted Felon	557	0.46%
08	Prior Service	10,867	8.97%
09	Medical	5,148	4.25%
10	Medical-Custodial	509	0.42%
11	Judicial	57	0.05%
12	Business Hardship	3	0.00%
13	Childcare Hardship	16	0.01%
14	Deceased	1,076	0.89%
15	Miscellaneous	37	0.03%
16	Undefined	1	0.00%

COURT	SUMMONED	DISQUALIFIED/EXCUSED
Middlesex @ Cambridge	62,250	22,655
Framingham District	5,930	2,188
Lowell Superior	36,662	12,585
Lowell District	12,515	4,248
Middlesex Grand Jury	802	321
TOTAL:	**121,159**	**41,997**

TABLE 15

DISQUALIFIED JURORS: NORFOLK COUNTY

Jurors Summoned = 44,752
Disqualified/Excused = .12,368
Pecentage of Summoned Jurors Disqualified/Excused = 27.64%

REASON CODE	REASON	TOTAL	PERCENT
01	Non-Citizen	645	1.44%
02	Age-Over 70	4,116	9.20%
03	Age-Under 18	26	0.06%
04	Language	247	0.55%
05	Non-Resident Moved	2,767	6.18%
06	Non-Resident: Year	902	2.02%
07	Convicted Felon	208	0.46%
08	Prior Service	679	1.52%
09	Medical	1,999	4.47%
10	Medical-Custodial	245	0.55%
11	Judicial	14	0.03%
12	Business Hardship	3	0.01%
13	Childcare Hardship	4	0.01%
14	Deceased	505	1.13%
15	Miscellaneous	8	0.02%
16	Undefined	0	0.00%

COURT	SUMMONED	DISQUALIFIED/EXCUSED
Norfolk @ Dedham	44,089	12,164
Norfolk Grand Jury	663	204
TOTAL:	**44,752**	**12,368**

TABLE 16

DISQUALIFIED JURORS: PLYMOUTH COUNTY

Jurors Summoned = 68,512
Disqualified/Excused = 15,498
Pecentage of Summoned Jurors Disqualified/Excused = 22.62%

REASON CODE	REASON	TOTAL	PERCENT
01	Non-Citizen	686	1.00%
02	Age-Over 70	5,090	7.43%
03	Age-Under 18	79	0.12%
04	Language	278	0.41%
05	Non-Resident Moved	2,967	4.33%
06	Non-Resident: Year	1,165	1.70%
07	Convicted Felon	333	0.49%
08	Prior Service	956	1.40%
09	Medical	2,926	4.27%
10	Medical-Custodial	316	0.46%
11	Judicial	30	0.04%
12	Business Hardship	13	0.02%
13	Childcare Hardship	33	0.04%
14	Deceased	603	0.88%
15	Miscellaneous	23	0.03%
16	Undefined	0	0.00%

COURT	SUMMONED	DISQUALIFIED/EXCUSED
Brockton Superior	45,107	10,000
Hingham District	4,116	1,043
Wareham District	18,703	4,308
Plymouth Grand Jury	586	147
TOTAL:	**68,512**	**15,498**

TABLE 17

DISQUALIFIED JURORS: SUFFOLK COUNTY

Jurors Summoned = 160,393
Disqualified/Excused = 51,916
Pecentage of Summoned Jurors Disqualified/Excused = 32.37%

REASON CODE	REASON	TOTAL	PERCENT
01	Non-Citizen	4,209	2.62%
02	Age-Over 70	13,511	8.42%
03	Age-Under 18	164	0.10%
04	Language	2,718	1.69%
05	Non-Resident Moved	7,164	4.47%
06	Non-Resident: Year	1,670	1.04%
07	Convicted Felon	883	0.55%
08	Prior Service	12,868	8.02%
09	Medical	6,374	3.97%
10	Medical-Custodial	572	0.36%
11	Judicial	67	0.04%
12	Business Hardship	3	0.00%
13	Childcare Hardship	199	0.12%
14	Deceased	1,465	0.91%
15	Miscellaneous	49	0.03%
16	Undefined	0	0.00%

COURT	SUMMONED	DISQUALIFIED/EXCUSED
Suffolk Superior	159,134	51,476
Suffolk Grand Jury	1,259	440
TOTAL:	**160,393**	**51,916**

TABLE 18

DISQUALIFIED JURORS: WORCESTER COUNTY

Jurors Summoned = 51,409
Disqualified/Excused = 15,426
Pecentage of Summoned Jurors Disqualified/Excused = 30.01%

REASON CODE	REASON	TOTAL	PERCENT
01	Non-Citizen	557	1.08%
02	Age-Over 70	6,124	11.91%
03	Age-Under 18	40	0.08%
04	Language	323	0.63%
05	Non-Resident Moved	1,806	3.51%
06	Non-Resident: Year	812	1.58%
07	Convicted Felon	293	0.57%
08	Prior Service	1,720	3.35%
09	Medical	2,766	5.38%
10	Medical-Custodial	276	0.54%
11	Judicial	23	0.04%
12	Business Hardship	14	0.03%
13	Childcare Hardship	42	0.08%
14	Deceased	577	1.12%
15	Miscellaneous	52	0.10%
16	Undefined	1	0.00%

COURT	SUMMONED	DISQUALIFIED/EXCUSED
Fitchburg District	12,352	3,684
Worcester Superior	38,553	11,572
Worcester Grand Jury	504	170
TOTAL:	**51,409**	**15,426**

Massachusetts and the Bicentennial

1987 marks the Bicentennial of the United States Constitution. As one of the original 13 colonies, Massachusetts and her people contributed to the creation and passage of the Constitution.

Chief Justice Edward F. Hennessey of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court chairs the State Commission on the U.S. Constitution. The Bicentennial Year, he said, "must be an extraordinary celebration, education, and dedication."

Founded in 1621, Massachusetts was first settled by the Pilgrims in Plymouth; nine years later, the Puritans followed, carving out a settlement in Boston. These immigrants crossed the Atlantic Ocean in search of religious freedom.

In 1629, the Massachusetts Bay Colony established the General Court "to make, ordeine, and establish all manner of wholesome and resonable orders, laws, statutes, and ordinances, directions, and instructions, not contrarie to the laws of this our realme in England."

The next year the first General Court met in Boston. The General Court created a Court of Assistants and appointed justices of the peace to hear civil matters.

In 1641, the General Court passed its Code of Laws, written by Ipswich minister and lawyer Nathaniel Ward. The Code called for jury trials, protection of personal and property rights, the right of counsel, no double jeopardy, and the abolition of slavery. The Code served as the basis of law through the colonial era.

For most of the seventeenth century the colony ruled on its own with little interference from England, 3,000 miles away. But the colony's charter was revoked, and Massachusetts became a royal province in 1692.

By the beginning of the 1700s, the court system consisted of a court of general sessions of the peace for criminal matters and a court of common pleas for civil cases. The Superior Court of Judicature, created in 1687, served as the highest court. The Governor and his council handled probate cases. This judicial organization existed into the eighteenth century.

Today's Trial Court comprises seven departments: Boston Municipal Court, District Court, Housing Court, Juvenile Court, Land Court, Probate and Family Court, and Superior Court. The highest court is the Supreme Judicial Court, assisted in appellate matters by the Appeals Court.

Meanwhile, several colonial leaders were destined to shape the future of the colony. Lawyer James Otis argued unsuccessfully in 1761 against the writs of assistance, which allowed customs agents to search homes for smuggled goods, before the Superior Court of Judicature in the old State House. John Adams, a fellow lawyer present at the proceeding, later wrote that "American independence was then and there born."

After 14 years of harsh and repressive laws impressed upon the colonies by England, the American Revolution commenced. During the war the people of Massachusetts wanted to write a constitution to establish a legitimate government. In 1778, a constitution was written and rejected by a more than four to one margin because it lacked a bill of rights.

Theophilus Parsons, 27, of Newburyport, wrote a 68-page pamphlet, The Essex Result. Later Chief Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court, Parsons urged that no constitution be approved until the end of the war.

But meetings in Berkshire and Hampshire Counties rallied for a constitution. In response, the General Court approved a resolve for a constitutional convention. The convention met on September 1, 1779, in Cambridge, then meeting in Boston. James Bowdoin was elected president. Among the delegates were Samuel Adams, John Hancock, and John Adams. Seven future governors of the Commonwealth attended this convention. Three days later, a committee of 31 was appointed to draft a constitution. From this large committee, the two Adamses -- they were cousins -- and Bowdoin were assigned the task of writing the document. Sam Adams and Bowdoin left most of the work to John Adams, a proponent of the theory of checks and balances among the three branches of government.

"It is by balancing each of these powers against the other two," wrote Adams, later Chief Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court, Vice President, and second President of the United States, "that the efforts in human nature towards tyranny can alone be checked and restrained and any degree of freedom preserved."

The state constitution was submitted to the convention in January 1780 and sent for public approval. Towns voted on the document article by article. It was ratified on June 16, 1780, effective October 25, 1780.

Finally, the American patriots with French assistance outlasted and defeated the Bristish Army, and the Revolution ended in 1783 with the Treaty of Paris. The first federal government for the United Colonies was called the Articles of Confederation, but it lacked any central authority on taxation, regulation of commerce, and national defense.

In the decade the Revolution ended, initial prosperity changed to inflation. In 1786, farmers and Revolutionary War veterans revolted against foreclosures and jailings for debtors. They called themselves Regulators. Led by veteran officer Daniel Shays, the Shaysites, based mostly in western Massachusetts, decided to close down courts to prevent their peers from prison. This rebellion shocked leaders across the country. The General Court called out the militia. Shays and his men were defeated in several skirmishes and suppressed by February 1787, just three months before the start of the national Constitutional Convention in Philadelphia.

After the signing of the Constituion on September 17, 1787, supporters of the new government sought its ratification. Two-thirds of the colonies, nine, was needed to make if official. Three states already approved the Constitution before it was presented in convention in the Bay State. Massachusetts was crucial. A defeat of the Constitution might sway other states which hadn't voted on it. Sentiment backing the Constitution ran strong along the coast, weak inland. Among the anti-Federalists were Elbridge Gerry, a national convention delegate who failed to sing the Constitution, Sam Adams, and his riding partner, John Hancock. Anti-Federalists opposed the Constitution because it lacked a bill of rights. Federalists were led by James Bowdoin and Fisher Ames.

A total of 364 delegates met in Boston in January 1788. Hancock was elected president. Gout plagued Hancock; the convention was postponed for several weeks.

The Federalists approached Hancock during his recuperation. They offered him amendments to submit to the first Congress for Hancock to read the reopening of the convention and hinted they would support him for President or Vice President. That swung Sam Adams and several key anti-Federalists. On February 7, 1788, a year after the end of Shays Rebellion, Massachusetts ratified the Constitution by a vote of 187 to 168. By June, New Hampshire became the ninth state to approve the Constitution, becoming the law of the land. The Bill of Rights was incorporated into the Constitution by 1791.

Massachusetts played its part.

Chief Justice John M. Greaney, Appeals Court, and Chief Justice Edward F. Hennessy, Supreme Judicial Court

Foreground, the Old State House as seen from the top of the New Courthouse, Pemberton Square, Boston.

Glossary

Administration - the management and settlement of an estate for someone who dies intestate (without a will) or someone with no executor. The court appoints an administrator to oversee the collection of assets, pay the debts and claims against the estate, pay estate taxes, and distribute the remainder of the estate.

Administrative Supervision - A type of supervision in which the primary purpose of the probation officer is the enforcement of the collection of monies and/or any other specific court orders made.

CHINS (Children in Need of Services) - a petition filed in a Juvenile or District Court by a parent, police officer, or a school attendance officer. The petitioner asks the court to intervene on behalf of a juvenile (age 17 or younger) in one of three instances: a runaway child, a disobediant child, and a truant child. In a CHINS case, the court may place the child in the custody of the Department of Social Services.

Care and Protection - alleges that a youngster fails to receive adequate physical and emotional care from his parents or guardian. The court may place the child in the care of the Department of Social Services. This petition is filed in either a Juvenile or District Court by any adult or by the child himself.

Chapter 209A - statute to prevent abuse by any family member. Filed by any person in a District, Probate and Family, or Superior Court, the court may order the defendant to stop abusing the plaintiff, order the defendant to leave the home, award the plaintiff temporary custody of any children, order the defendant to pay temporary support, and for the defendant to pay compensation for any injuries suffered by the plaintiff.

Conservatorship - A court action in which the court determines that a person cannot manage their finances due to age or mental weakness. Court appoints a conservator, who takes over financial decisions for the person.

DUIL - Driving Under the Influence of Liquor. Drunk driving cases.

DYS - Department of Youth Services.

Decree Nisi - The initial judgment of divorce, which can be vacated by the court before becoming absolute, 90 days after entry.

Equitable relief - A court action seeking an injunction to prohibit a party from taking some action or ordering them to do something, as compared to an action at law for a monetary damage award.

G.L.M. - General Laws of Massachusetts.

Guardian ad litem - a court-appointed guardian of a juvenile.

Guardianship - A court action declaring a person incompetent to manage their personal and financial affairs due to mental illness or retardation. Court appoints a guardian for the person or may make orders regarding extraordinary medical treatment.

Irretrievable breakdown - A ground for divorce in which one or both parties claim that the marriage relationship has permanently broken down with no fault attributed to either party.

Juvenile Bindover - Chronic juvenile defendants or juveniles who commit violent crimes may be transferred to the jurisdiction of the Superior Court Department to be treated as adults. In Massachusetts, this transfer is referred to as a Juvenile Bindover.

MCI - Massachusetts Correctional Institute.

OCAJ - Office of the Chief Administrative Justice, 218 New Courthouse, Boston, Massachusetts 02108.

OCP - Office of the Commissioner of Probation, 4th floor, McCormick Building, Boston, Massachuseets 02108.

OUI - Operating Under the Influence. Drunk driving cases.

Partition - A court action in which land owned by several persons is sold and the proceeds divided.

Risk/Need Supervision - A method of differential supervision used by probation officers in the Superior, District, Boston Municipal, and Juvenile Court Departments of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, in which the amount of supervision an offender receives is based upon the risk of the offender committing another crime in the community. Higher risk probationers are required to have more frequent contact with the probation officer than people with lower risks.

SJC - Supreme Judicial Court.

Separate support and maintenance - A case in which a party seeks a court judgment that they are living apart due to the fault of one party but do not want a divorce. Court usually enters a support order.

Summary process - eviction cases.

Surrender/Supervision Violation Notices - A probationer who commits a new offense or does not meet the conditions of probation ordered by the court may be brought back to court for violation of probation. In these cases, a Surrender/Supervision Violation Notice is issued to the probationer to notify him/her that a hearing will be conducted concerning the violation.

Trial de novo - In the District Court, the defendant may appeal a bench trial (only heard by a judge with no jury) for a jury-of-six trial or the defendant originally requests a jury trial.

Trusteeships - A court action in which the court appoints a person (trustee) who holds and administers property or money for the benefit of other (beneficiaries).

Court Folk

Robert Murphy,
Essex Superior Court

Robert Teixeira,
Fall River District

Chief Administrative
Justice
Arthur M. Mason

Nancy Sinagra,
Nancy Turck Foley,
Appeals Court

Bill Gavin,
Woburn District Court

Jeff Ryan,
Dolores Reyes,
Salem District

Roy Silva,
Karen Panchley,
Fall River District

James Poirier,
Framingham District

Ralph Tavaras,
Bristol Superior,
New Bedford

Judge Lawrence Feloney
and Tom Begley,
Cambridge District

Joseph Aurelio,
Middlesex Superior

Charles Trombly,
Land Court

Office of the Chief Administrative Justice
The Trial Court
300 New Courthouse
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

Special 4th Class Rate-Book
U.S. Postage
PAID
Permit No. 57495